

Bayer CropScience

OWNERSHIP STATEMENT

This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Bayer PropSeience No part of the document or any information contained therein may be disclosed to any third party

The summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unsublished proprietary data submitted for the purpose of the assessment undertaken by the regulatory outboatter of the assessment undertaken by the regulatory outboatter of the summaries and to be assessment and the summaries are summaries and the summaries and the summaries and the summaries are summaries are summaries and the summaries are summaries From Bayer CropScience; or
 From other applicants once the period of data protection has spiret.
 From other applicants once the period of data protection has spiret. summaries and evaluation of unpublished proprietary data contained in this document unless they



	Version history	
Date	Data points containing amendments or additions ¹ and brief description	Decument identifier and version number
	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	
¹ It is suggested th	at applicants adopt a similar approach to showing revisions a	nd version histor@as outfined if
SANCO/10180/20	To Chapter 4 How to revise an Assessment Report	
	L B J L	
		g & A A
		Ĵ, Ĵ
		<u>_</u>
Š		
in the second se		
a.		
~Ģ		
A A		
Å.		
4		
a C		
Ű,		
Å, Å	A S	
	Or in the second	



Table of Contents

	I able of Contents	7.0	~
		0	ð
	Ĩ	age	- F
CA 8	ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE ACTIVE SOBSTANCE	. Æ6	<i>y</i>
CA 8 1	Effects on hirds and other terrestrial vertebrates		
$CA \times 11$	Effects on Dirds	,4.0 	8-
CA 0.1.1		00	2
CA 8.1.1.1	Acute oral toxicity to birds	. S	0.
CA 8.1.1.2	Short-term dietary toxicity to birds	, 9 0	Ś
CA 8.1.1.3	Sub-chronic and reproductive toxicity to birds	. 13	Oʻ
CA 8.1.2	Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than birds	. 18	4
CA 8.1.2.1	Acute oral toxicity to mammals	73	
CA 8.1.2.2	Long-term and reproduction toxicity to machinals	13	
$C \land 8 \downarrow 3$	Effects of active substance biocomentration invirey at hirds and mammals	14	
$CA \ 9.1.3$	Effects on tomostrial vortebrate vildlife (birds monopole, rentile and	• 1 7	
CA 8.1.4	Effects on terrestrial vertebrate unding (on the manimum reputes and b	Ũ.	
~	amphibians)	. 14	
CA 8.1.5	Endocrine disrupting properties.	. 14	
CA 8.2	Effects on aquatic organisms.	. 15	
CA 8.2.1	Acute toxicity to fish	. 21	
CA 8.2.2	Long-term and chronic toxicity to dish.	.26	
CA 8 2 2 1	Fish early life stage toxicity test	26	
$C \land 8 2 2 2$	Fish full life cycle test	20	
CA 0.2.2.2	Disconceptuation field and the second s	· 20	
CA 0.2.2.5		. 20	
CA 8.2.3	Endocrine distupting properties	. 29	
CA 8.2.4	Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	. 30	
CA 8.2.4.1	Acore toxicity to Daphria magna	. 30	
CA 8.2.4.2	Acute toxicity to an additional aquatic invertebrate species	. 31	
CA 8.2.5	Long form and choonic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	. 37	
CA 8.2.5.1	Reproductive and development toxicity to Daphnia magna	. 37	
CA 8.2.5	Reproductive and development toxicity to an additional aquatic invertebrate		
je standard and a standard and a standard a s	speciety of the second	37	
C \ 8 2 5 2	Dave long of the second s	12	
$CA \ 8.2.5.5$	Solimont divergence on Charlen Species	. 4 2	
CA 8.2.3.4	Sectiment dwetting organishes	.42	
CA 8.2.6	Effects on algal growth.	. 46	
CA 8.2.6.1~Q	Effects on growth of green algae	. 46	
CA 8.2.6.2	Effects on growth of an additional algal species	. 47	
CA 8.2	Effects on a quatic macrophytes	. 55	
CA 8,2.8	Further testing on aquatic organisms	. 60	
CA48.3	Effect or arthropods .	. 60	
CA 8.3.1	Effects on bees	. 60	
CA 8 3 1 1	A cute toxicity to bees	61	
$C \land 8 2 1 1 $	A auto orastovicity	61	
CA 0.5.1.51	Acute of a toxicity	.01	
CA 8.3. 101.2		. 64	
CA 8.2.1.2	Unromic toxicity to bees	. 66	
CA \$3.1.30	Ettects on honeybee development and other honeybee life stages	. 69	
CA\$8.3.1	Sub-lethal effects	. 75	
CA 8.2.2	Effects on non-target arthropods other than bees	. 75	
CA 8.3.2.1	Effects on Aphidius rhopalosiphi	. 75	
CA 8.3.2.2	Effects on Typhlodromus pyri	. 75	



B/

CA 8.4	Effects on non-target soil mesoand macrofauna76
CA 8.4.1	Earthworm, sub-lethal effects
CA 8.4.2	Effects on non-target soil mesoand macrofauna (other than earthworms) 2 78
CA8421	Species level testing
$C \land 8 5$	Effects on soil nitrogen transformation
CA86	Effects on terrestrial non target higher plants
CA 8.0	Summory of concentration date
CA 0.0.1	Testing on your torget plants
CA 8.0.2	
CA 8.7	Effects on other terrestrial organisms (flora and tauna)
CA 8.8	Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment
CA 8.9	Monitoring data
~	
Ő	
, Q	
<u>i</u>	
~Q	
à,	
La	
<i>"</i> ¢	
.(
Ő	
L.	
, yr	
N B	
	45°
Ô	

BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies Prothioconazole

CA 8 ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE

Indroduction

A dossier on prothioconazole (CAS No. 178928-70-6) was submitted February 2002 by Bayer CropScience to the EU RMS United Kingdom for agricultural use as a fungicide. Prothioconazole was included into Annex I of the Council Directive 91/414/EEC by the Commission Directive 2008/44/EC published 4 April 2008, with an entry into force by 1 August 2008.

This Supplemental Dossier contains only detailed summaries of studies, which were not part of the dossier during the first Annex I inclusion of prothiceonazole and were, therefore, not evaluated during the first EU review of this compound. In order to facilitate discrimination between new and old information, the new information is written in black letters whereas gov letters describe the old information.

All studies, which have been already submitted by Bayer CropScience for the first Annex Finclusion, are contained in the Monograph and its Addenda and are included in the Baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience.

A synonymous name for prothioconazole used as several locations in this Supplemental Dossier is JAU 6476.

Due to changes in trigger for metabolites to be further assessed as well as due to new studies on the route of degradation in various environmental compartments since the first Annex I inclusion of prothioconazole, additional metabolites are proposed to be included in the residue definition for the risk assessment (see Table CA 8-4). Accordingly, studies have been prepared to describe the ecotoxicological profile of these metabolites in the relevant environmental compartment.

Compartment	Residue definition for risk assessment
Soil	Promocoro ole,
	AU 64764S-mcbyl (M64) and A A
Groundwater	Protection agence in the second
Ŵ	$J_{AO} 6476 3$ -meth $\mathcal{G} (M0O)$ and $O' O'$
~Q	JQU 640-destand (MOAV
Surface water	Prothioconaze, A G Q
D'	JAU26476-Simethyl $(M01)$
	IAD 6476-desthis (M04) Q
	AU 6470-thiazocine (API2),
	$1,2,4$ \mathcal{O} azol \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}
<u> </u>	JAU 6476-triazoly ketone (1142)
Sediment	Prothiocoazol
	JAU 6456-S-methyl (M01),
	JAU&476-d&thio (M04),
	JAU 6476 Priazocine (M12),
	1274-triazole (M13) and
	ØAU 6496-triazolylketone (M42)
Avir 🖉	Prothioconazole and
Č ^{O*}	JAU 6476-desthio (M04)

Table CA 8-1: Definition of the residue for risk assessment

*Justification for the residue definition for risk assessment is provided in MCA Sec.7, Point 7.4.1



Plant metabolites

In addition to the active substance, its metabolite JAU 6476-desthio is assessed in the dietary experience of terrestrial vertebrates (birds and mammals). In addition to the active substance, its metabolite JAU 6476-desthio is assessed in the dietary experimentary and and the advice of the advi

A DOMENTIAL THE ACTIVITY OF THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDR



CA 8.1 Effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates

CA 8.1.1 **Effects on Birds**

Studies on bobwhite quail and mallard duck have been conducted with the active prothioconazole and were evaluated and accepted during the Annex I inclusion.

Test substance	Test species	Ecotoxicological endp@nt	Reference
Prothioconazole	acute, oral <i>Colinus virginianus</i> (Bobwhite quail)	LD ₅₀ 2000 Sy a.s./kg bw	M-0¥3030-01-1
	acute, oral <i>Serinus canaria</i> (Canary)	LD ₅₀ C 2000 for a.s. / Sg bw	(2010) M-364387-01-1 ° RCA & 1.1/05
	Short-term, 5-day feeding Colinus virginianur (Bobwhite quail	LDD 1413 ng a.s. Qg bw/s	(\$701) MG54770-01-1 & CA 8& 1.2/01
	Short-term, 5-day Reding Anas platyrlonchost (Mallar Guck)	C_{50} > 00 mg a.s./k Odiet DD_{50} 2457 Q_{2} a.s./k bw/ 0	 ↓ (1998) ↓ M-055523-01-1 ↓ ČA 8.1.1.2/03
	Reprod 21 w dibury Anas patyrhynchos (Mallard Hick)	NCEC 700 mg X./kg dot NOEL 78 mg a.s./kg dot/d	(2000), M-035123-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.3/02
	Rorod. 20w dietsty Coliny virgingus (Boowhite gaail)	V NGEC $\geq 1000 \text{ mg } Qs./kg det NOEL Q > 36 \text{ mg } a.s./kg det$	(2000), M-042334-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.3/01
AU 6476-	Bobwhite gail)	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L\phi_{50} = 2\phi_{0} mg \phi_{m./kg} bw$	(1990) M-013315-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.1/02
	Stort-tern 5-day feeding	C_{50} C	(1998), M-056229-02-1 KCA 8.1.1.2/02 and
	(Dobwhi Squail) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		(2006) M-268832-02-1 KCA 8.1.1.2/04
	Report. 22 Idieta@ Sinus virginianus (Boby duite quoi)	NOPC 173 mg p.m./kg diet NOPL 14.8 mg p.m./kg bw/d	(2002), M-090509-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.3/03
r År	Reprof. 20 whietary Anas plats hynche (Malland duck)	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & $	et al. (2001), M-079949-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.3/04

;; 2006; M-268832-02-1, KCA 8.1.1.2/04: For JAU 6476-desthio the short term LC₅₀ for bobwhite wail was determined to 24090 mg pm/kg diet by probit analysis. As for the parent compound the short-term risk seession to for this management will be based on the daily dietary dose that caused 50% mortality (LDD₅₀). To calculate the LDDC value for this study the mortality data have been re-evaluated by probit analysis on the base of the gaily dose data from the report. The probit analysis has been conducted with the computer program "ToXRatPps", Version 2.09. A LDD₅₀ of 603 mg pm/kg bw/d has been calculated. For further details, please refer to CA & 1.1.2.



CA 8.1.1.1 Acute oral toxicity to birds

For studies already evaluated during the first EU review of prothioconazole, please refer too corresponding section in the Monograph, addenda and to the studies in the baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience.

Report:	KCA 8.1.1.1/03 ,; ; ; 2010; M-364387-91-1 , ;
Title:	Toxicity of JAU 6476 technical (promioconazole), during an actue oral LD50 with
	the canary (Serinus canaria)
Report No.:	EBJAL065
Document No .:	M-364387-01-1
Guideline(s):	OPPTS 850.2100
	OECD 223
Guideline deviation(s):	- Canary bird feed (Living World Prentum Canary Food) contaminant screening
	analysis was not conducted for this study however the nutrient analysis was
	presented from the supplier. These data were not collected in accordance with Good
	Laboratory Practice procedures (no protocol, study director, or in-life inspections).
	[40CFR160.90@]
	- Public wate Canalysts was conducted by the Kansas City Mossouri Water Services
	Laboratory These data were not collected in accordance with Good Laboratory
	Practice procedures (no protocol study the ctor or in-life inspections).
	[40CFR(60.90(g))]
	- Compoil screening analyses for pesticides, chlorinated hydrocarbons, and toxic
	metals were conducted by Covance Laboratories Madison, WI. These data were not
	collected in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice procedures (no protocol,
	study director or in-life inspections). Q40CFR160.90(g)]
GLP/GEP:	$\tilde{\varphi}$ yes $\tilde{\varphi}'$ $\tilde{\varphi}'$ $\tilde{\varphi}'$ $\tilde{\varphi}'$ $\tilde{\varphi}'$ $\tilde{\varphi}'$ $\tilde{\varphi}'$

Objective:

The purpose of this study was to estimate the acute of al toxicity of PAU 6476 technical (Prothioconazole) to the Canary (*Seminus canaria*). Test methods were in agreement with OECD 223 and US Environmental Protection Agency test guidelines.

Material and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 technical (Prothioconazote), purity: 98.3% w/w, Batch No. AE 1344248-02-1, TOX07816-01, Origin Batch No. PFX9672333

Å

Adult canaries (*Serinus cunaria*) were orally dosed based on body weight with the JAU 6476 technical (Prothioconazole) at a famit dose level of 2000 mg active substance (a.s.)/kg body weight. Five males and five females were tested per treatment level and observed daily for clinical symptoms for 14 days post-dose administration.

During the whole experiment, birds overe individually housed indoors in stainless stell breeder type cages. Average temperature was 22°C and average humidity was 54%. The photpheriod was 10 h light and 14 h dark with a light intensity of 304 lux. The birds were provided food *ad libitum* during acclimation and study duration. However, birds were fasted for approximately 16 h prior to dose administration.

Study endpoints of bird body weight and daily feed consumption were also monitored during the study period.



Findings:

No dose-related effects were seen in adult canaries dosed with 2000 mg a.s./kg body weight. There were no statistically significant reductions in body weight or growth at the 2000 mg a.s./kg body weight dose level. There were also no dose related reductions in feed consumption at the 2000 mg a.s./kg body weight dose level. No mortalities were noted during this study. Post-morter examinations were not conducted for this study.

Ô

Table CA 8.1.1.1- 1: Acute o	ral toxicity of JAU 647	6 technical to	Serinus canari
		• • • • • • • • • • • •	

	"ððult n	nortality (mg
LD ₅₀	⇒ 2000	. ~~
Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL)	⊗ > 2000	
No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) (%)	20 00	\$ \$

Conclusion:

The acute oral LD₅₀ of JAU 6476 technical (Prothioconszole) to the carary was >2000 mg a.s./kg Body weight based on a limit dose test. The WOAEL was 2000 mg a.s./kg body weight and the LOAEL was >2000 mg a.s./kg body weight based on all protestigated parameters.

CA 8.1.1.2 Short-term dietary toxicity to binds

In the 5 day dietary LC₅₀ study with JAU 6476-desthio in Bobwhite apail (**1999**); 2006; M-056229-02-1, KCA 8.1.1.202), late mortalities were observed at the two top dose level after several days of reduced food consumption and body weight effect of the two top dose level after several days of reduced food consumption and body weight effect of the two top dose level after several days of reduced food consumption and body weight effect of the two top dose level after several days of reduced food consumption and body weight effect of the two top dose level after several days of reduced food consumption and body weight effect of the two top dose level after several days of reduced food consumption and body weight effect of the two top dose level after several days of the two to

Results from this study are presented below

Findings:

Table CA 8.4-1.2- 1: Effect on mortality, bodyweight and tood consumption after 5 days of exposure to JAU

			N N		0			
Test	Mortality 🔊	Bodywe	ight ²⁾	Bodym	éight ²⁾	Food cons	umption ²⁾	
level	# dead / ab	g din	·d 🎭 🛛 🖉	🖉 🔿 chang	e [%]	[g/bird/d]		
conc. ¹⁾ (dose)	(day 🎻 mortality) 🖉	day1 day	50 day 8	dacy1⇔ day 5	day 5 ⇔ day8	(d1-d5)	(d5-12)	
0 (0)	~©~20 Č	26.0 35.	2 49.1	+35.0	+39.6	6.3	10.2	
313 (101)	© [*] 0/10	24.1~Q 37.8	3 5155	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × 57.1	+ 36.1	9.8	9.7	
625 (166)	0/10	26.8	3 Q51.8~	+ 37.1	+ 40.9	8.3	13.4	
1250 (297)		304	2 43Q5	+ 29.7	+44.2	6.4	8.5	
2500 (408)	(5)	3.9 28.	1 ~\$40.2	+17.7	+43.0	4.1	7.9	
5000 (705)	7/4 (3,4,5,5,5,6)	22 6 20.0	5 34.1	- 9.1	+65.9	2.9	11.8	

(1 cone:: nonamal concentration in [mg/kg food];

dose: daty dietary dose in [mg/kg bw/d] based on measured concentrations

⁽²: for birgs alive at the respective time point



At the two top test levels mortalities occurred after several days of reduced food consumption leading to severe body weight loss. The seven chicks dying around day 5 at 5000 ppm had a mean bodyweight of 16.3 g/bird (see Table CA 8.1.1.2-3); i.e less than 50% of the control bird weight of 35.2 g at day 5 All birds found dead were extremely emaciated. Since no other severe clinical symptoms were observed, it has to be assumed that they died on starvation.

During the post-exposure period the food consumption and bodyweight of the surviving birds recover.









		e	&V		~	9	¥ a	7.					
No	minal dietar	ã.	\sim	- S	#"Dea	ıd Şirçî	s / # 🔊	posed	Birds	D			
С	oncentratiôn 🛛 🛓	d3	*t 2	∉d1	¢₽¢	d,Ì	d?Ŷ	d	d A	d.5	d.6	d. 7	Total
0	mg ai kg diet	0/200	0/20 🔇	₫0/20%	0/20	Ø /20	₫⁄20	4 20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20
313	mg ai./kg diæ	0/10	0/10	0/1/0	0/10	0/10	0/10	^O /10%	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
625	mg ai./kg diet	\$ /10	Ø ∰10	0,10	0/10	0/10	0/10/	0/100	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
1250	hag ai./kg diet	<i>0/10</i>	0/10	% /10	0/10	<u>Ø</u> 10	Ø/YO	`0Φ≬	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
2500	mg ai./kg diet	0/10>	0/10	0/10	×0/10	≫0/10 _{&}	0/10	%0 //10	0/10	1/10	0/9	0/9	1/10
5000	mg ai./kg diet	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	1/9	4/8	1/4	0/3	7/10
	0	A	Å.		. 🔊	~	Ū,						

	× Ó	V Q	Ĩ. M	\$~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	, C
a.	Ň	, _N	Õ	Ö.	Ő
	e e	Q	°~	\sim	°an a'
Table CA 9 1 12	2. D. d	QL4 -	A Marth	0×	Co ^N
Table CA 8.1.1.2-	5: Body	wengnt a	Baeath	Si l	2

Table CA 8,1.1.2- 3: Bodywer	ght at death Q	Y D
Concentration 🖗	Day of death	Body weight at death
2500 mg ai/kg diet	∽yd 5 💉 🗳	9 19.8g
∠,5000 mg ai/kg diet ∠	[™] • [™] d 30 ~ [™]	13.3g
5000 mg ai/kg diet	C d4 C	11.9g
5000 mg an kg diet 🛇	@ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	18.4g
5000 mgQai/kg diet	^o d 5 _O	18.6g
5000 mg ai/kg diet 🔘	_& d^\$	17.7g
5000 mg ai 🚱 diet 🔍	o d 5	20.0g
5000 mg av kg diet	S d 6	13.9g



Conclusion:

The LC₅₀ was determined at 4090 mg/kg feed. Based on the measured concentrations the 5-d lethal dietary dose (5-d LDD50) of 603 mg/kg bw/day was calculated by S; 2006; M-268&3Ž-02-1, KCA 8.1.1.2/04. Ò

Effect profile and time course suggest that mortality occurred only after multiple dosing over several days, and is associated with increasing weight loss and starvation over the theatment duration. Therefore the results of this study are not meaningful in the acute risk assessment intended to address a single day oral exposure event.

Sub-chronic and reproductive toxicity to birds CA 8.1.1.3

No additional studies were performed. Please refer to the corresponding section in the Monograph and to the studies in the Baseline Dossier provided by Baseline Corresponding section in the Monograph and to the studies in the Baseline Dossier provided by Bayer CropScience.

Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than bird CA 8.1.2

Studies with mammals that have been conducted with the active substanceprotheconacele are reported in the toxicology section MCA 5. \mathcal{A}

	· · · ·		
Test substance	Testospecies	S Ecotoxicological endpoint	Reference
Prothioconazole	Acute		(1998)
	S Rad	ED ₅₀ % % 6200 grg a.skkg bw ~	M-012312-01-1
			KCA 5.2.1/01
Ő	(2-ser)repro study	NQ(X)EL 95.6 mg s.s./kg bw/d	(2001) M-036206-01-1
	RO O		KCA 5.6.1/02
JAU 6476	Acute on the	2205 mg 00m./kg hw	(1991)
desthio	OMous &	LD _{50 (female} 459 no p.m./kg bw	M-008521-01-1
			KCA 5.8.1/34
	Long-terra		&
Ŭ.	(2-gen reprostudy)	NQ(X)EL Mg p.m./kg bw/d	(2001) M-036130-01-1
	O ^Y Ro		KCA 5.8.1/23
¥	<u> </u>		

Endpoints used in risk assessment for prothis conazole and its metabolites Table CA 8.1.2-1:

CA 8.1.2.1

Acute of al toxicity to mammals

No additional studies were performed. Please refer to the corresponding section in the Monograph and to the studies in the baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience.

Ś Long Ferm and reproduction toxicity to mammals CA 8.1.2.2

Q,

No additional studies were performed. Please refer to the corresponding section in the Monograph and to the studies of the baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience.

Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies Prothioconazole

CA 8.1.3 Effects of active substance bioconcentration in prey of birds and mammals

Substances with a high bioaccumulation potential could theoretically bear a risk of secondary possible for birds and mammals if feeding on contaminated prey like fish or earthworms. For organic chemicals, a log $P_{OW} > 3$ is used to trigger an in-depth evaluation of the potential for bioaccumulation. As the log P_{OW} of the active substance prothioconazole is below the trigger, the potential for bioacounulation is low and an evaluation of secondary poisoning is not required.

Since prothioconazole metabolites JAU 6476-desthio and JAU 6476-Somethly are above the figget, the potential risk for bioaccumulation due to feeding or contaminated prey like fish or eathwron's are evaluated in the ecotoxicological section MCP section 10 CP 10 \$1 & CP 10 \$2. The log PW value for the metabolites triazolylketone, thiazocine and 1,2,4-triazolevare below the trigger value and calling a very low risk of secondary poisoning.

Effects on terrestrial vertebrate wildlife (birds, mammals, reptiles and CA 8.1.4 amphibians)

Information on effects of prothioconagole on reptites is not available and not expected of additional value for the re-evaluating the active substance. Data on amphibutins is given under CA 8.2, & CA 8.1.5 Endocrine disrupting properties

Birds

The population relevant effects of prothioconagole on birds were studied in reproductive toxicity studies on Bobwhite quail and Mallard duck, Mallard ducks proved to be more sensitive than Bobwhite quails. No statistically significant effects on adult birds offspring or reproductive parameters were found at 700 mg prothioconazole@g diet in Mallard ducks and 100@mg prothioconazole/kg diet in Bobwhite quails. The effect determining the NOEC was "% 14 day survivors of normal hatchlings". This effect is likely caused by general toxicity rather than by an endocrine mediated prechanism. Based on the absence of any indication of relevant effects it can be concluded that prothioconazole is not a (potential) endocrine disrupter in burds.

Wild Mammals

A detailed analysis of all the apical toxicological studies (subchronic, chronic / onco-genicity, reproduction and developmental toxicity) on prethioconazole revealed no endocrine disrupting effect. Slight effects at high dos devels on thyreid related hormone levels were without any histopathological correlate They were considered as a compensated thyroid status, secondary to increased thyroid hormone excretion due to increased liver szyme@nduction. Effects on some reproductive and progeny parameters in the rat reproductive toxicity study were seen only at dose levels that caused severe maternal toxicity and retarded development of the offspring due to that maternal toxicity. Therefore, based on a complete toxicol gical data set, there is no evidence for endocrine disrupting properties of prothioconazole in mammals.

Amphibians and Reptiles

Currently no test methods are established to assess the population relevant effects of chemicals to anaphibians or reptiles. While an amphibian metamorphosis test protocol is available, this test was developed to evaluate to potential effect on the thyroid system and not to measure population relevant effects. Therefore no further studies can be suggested at this time for these groups of organisms.

As a conclusion, no further testing for endocrine disrupting properties is warranted.



CA 8.2 Effects on aquatic organisms

In order to complete the aquatic risk assessment and to address new data requirements according to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, additional studies were performed. According to the current data requirements from the EU Regulation 283/2013, no studies on marine organisms are necessary to assess edge of field risk of plant protection products to the aquatic organisms. However, data for marine species have been generated for registration in the USA, which were not evaluated during the first EU Review? of this compound. Additional studies with marine species will be summarized, and as a conservative approach, marine studies resulting in lower endpoints will be considered for risk assessment? For studies already evaluated during the first EU/Review of prothioconazole, please refer to corresponding section in the Monograph, amendments to the Monograph and to the studies in the baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience Two new major metabolites were identified: JAU 6476 thiazoone (M12) and JAU 6476 triazoly ketone (M42). JAU 6476-thiazocine (M12) can be formed by in the aquatic environment by photolytic

degradation of the parent compound. JAJA 6476-Griazely ketone (M42) can be transported to surface water bodies via run-off and drainage. For further details reference, is made to Section 7: "Fate and behaviour in the environment". Several new studies were conducted with JAL26476 Friazolyketone (M42). Summaries of these new aquatic studies are provided below. No new studies were conducted for the photolytic metabolite JAU 6478 thiazocine (M12). Indeed information is available on the structural properties of this metabolite and on its residual pesticidal activity as detailed in K., 2015 (M-536612-01-1, KCA 8.2/01). This information clearly shows that the toxophore is lost and that M12 has no residual fungicidal, herbicidal or insecticidal properties. Consequently no JAP 6476-thiazocinespecific endpoints are available which could be used in the tisk assessment. For pretabolites with such properties, the EFSA Guidance on pered risk assessment for plant protection products for aquatic organisms in edge-of-field surface waters (2013), cited in the following paragraphs as "EFSA AGD (2013)", prescribes to assume that the acute and chronic toxicity of the metabolite is equal to the toxicity of the a.s. (parent compound) for all first tige taxonomic groups Therefore, the endpoints of the parent compound prothioc pazole were used in the risk assessment of M12, @

Endpointoused in risk assessment

The relevant endpoint from each aquatic study was defined according to the current data requirements from the EU Regulation 283/2013 and the EFSA AGID (2013) and based on recommendations from the relevant standard test goldeline e.g. Growth rate (r) is the most suitable endpoint from algae inhibition tests for use in risk assessment, as stated by OECD Guideline 201 and the EFSA AGD (2013). TER and RAC calculations presented in this dossier are thus based on the ErC₅₀ values. Indeed, processes in ecosystems are dominantly rate driven and therefore, the unit development per time (growth rate) appears more suitable to measure effects in algae Also, growth rates and their inhibition can easily be compared between species, test flurations and test conditions, which is not the case for biomass. Moreover, the current test guidelines OECD TG 201, the EU-Method C3, the EC regulation for Classification and Labelling (C regulation 272/2008) and the PPR Opinion (EFSA Journal 461, 1-44; 2007) list growth rate as the most suitable endpoint of the algae inhibition test.

In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and with the EFSA AGD (2013), studies resulting in lower endpoints were used for the risk assessment. Although Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 place no data requirement on marine species, marine studies resulting in lower endpoints compared to freshwater studies were considered for risk assessment as a conservative approach.

Ň

Õ







Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Reference
	Fish, acute Oncorhynchus mykiss (Rainbow trout)	LC50 1.83 mg a.s./L	(19%) M-015215-019 KCA 8.2.101
	Fish, acute Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill sunfish)	LC ₅₀ 4.59 mg a.s./L	(1999) M-020259-01-3 KCA8.2.1/6
	Fish, acute Cyprinus carpio (Common carp)	LC ₅₀ 6.91 mg a.s	54000), € M4037382€01-1 OKCA 82.1/02
	Fish, acute Cyprinodon variegatus (Sheepshead minnow)	10.3 mg a.s.	M 107721 01-1 C A & 2.1/09
	Fish, early life stage Oncorhynchus mykiss (Rainbow trout)		©2001)© [*] [•] [•] M ₇ 088492 [•] 01-1 [•] [•] M ₇ 088492 [•] 01-1 [•]
	Fish, early life state Oncorhynchus nykiss (Rainbow trout)	NOFC W49 mg.a.s./I	M 91414-91-1 KCA 8.2-2.1/03
	Fish, biocon@ntrati&, Lepomis.procrochirus (Bluegill sun%h)	BCID A 190 G	M-08/902-01-1 K&A 8.2.2.3/01
	Inversebrate, acute & Daphnic hagna (Classicerant)	EC. O mga.s./L	(1999) M-013690-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.1/01
Prothio- conazole	Crassistrea vyrginicu Crassistrea vyrginicu (Eastern øyster)	EC50 2 2 mg a s/L	M-055051-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.2/03
	<i>CAmericamysis bahia</i> (Mysid skyimp)	*LC50 5 2.4 mg a.s.	M-083057-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.2/02 & (2001)
	Dapinnia magna (Cladocesin) Sedingat dweber, chronic	NOEC 0.56 mg a.s./L	M-055997-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.1/01 (2000)
~0	Concerns rip Concerns States S	NOSC Ø.14 mg a.s./L	M-047356-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.4/01 (2000)
	G subc tata	Er& 2.18 mg a.s./L	M-027625-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.1/01 &
	Skeletonem(costatum)	ErC50 0.046 mg a.s./L	(2004) M-000954-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.2/01
	Naveula petriculosa (freshwa@r diatom)	E _r C ₅₀ 0.355 mg a.s./L	M-001064-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.2/02
	PAnahaena flos-aquae (blue-green alga)	E _r C ₅₀ >9.12 mg a.s./L	M-000348-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.2/03
	Lemna gibba (Duckweed)	ErC50 >0.404 mg a.s./L	M-000532-01-1 KCA 8.2.7/01



Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Reference 🖉°
	Fish, acute Oncorhynchus mykiss (Rainbow trout)	LC ₅₀ 6.63 mg p.m./L	M-013303-010 KCA 8.2.104
	Fish, acute Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)	LC ₅₀ 11.4 mg p.m./L	Ø& (2003) M-1047∂9-01-7 KCÅ 8.2.1/49
	Fish, acute <i>Leuciscus idus melanotus</i> (Golden orfe)	LC ₅₀ 13.2 mg p.m.	(187) 0 M 01330 01-1 OKCA 82.1/00
	Fish, early life stage Oncorhynchus mykiss (Rainbow trout)	NQCC 0.00334 mg p.m.QL	(2002) M-03838601-1 OCA 8:22.1/02
	Fish, chronic	Ϋ́ Ψ΄ Τ΄ Τ΄ Τ΄ Τ΄ Ψ΄ Τ΄ Τ΄ Τ΄ ΝΌΕC Ψ΄ Φ. 074 25	et al. (2004) ²⁾ M-001562-01-1 KCA 8.2.52/01
	(Fathead minnov		(2006), 5 M-579573-01-1 6 A 8.927.2/02
	Fish, bioconcentratico, Lepomis for crochiris (Bluegili sun ish)	BCRAS whole fish	(2001) M-166749-01-1 KCA 8.2.2.3/02
	Invertebrate, acute Daphnia nagna (Cladoceran)	EC ₅ > Wingp.m./L	(1990) M-013308-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.1/02
JAU 6476- desthio	Americanysis bahia	LC ₅₀ > 1.009 mg pt.m./L	© M-104620-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.2/02
	AnverteDrate, acute	4C ₅₀ 50.060 mg p.mc/L ³)	et al. (2002) M-083055-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.2/01
E, V	Procanibarus Etarkii	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	(2004) M-001051-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.2/01
Ą	In Pertebrine, chrome Daphila magna (Oradocom)	NSEC 0.10 mg p.m./L	(2001) M-073861-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.1/02
	Invertebrate, chromic Americanysis bahia (Mosid shrimp)	NOEC 0.064 mg p.m./L	et al. (2003) M-104620-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.2/02
, O	Chironomis ripcous	NOEC 2.0 mg p.m./L ⁴⁾	(2000) M-023234-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.4/02
	Chironome divertor, chronic Chironome riparius (chironomid)	NOEC 50 mg p.m./kg dw (development rate)	(2008) M-312780-01-1 KCA 8.2.5.4/03
	Genedermus subspicatus (green alga)	ErC ₅₀ 0.55 mg p.m./L	(1990) M-013305-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.1/02
	<i>Lemna gibba</i> (Duckweed)	ErC50 0.0809 mg p.m./L	M-104599-01-1 KCA 8.2.7/02



Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Reference Q°	~
	Fish, acute Oncorhynchus mykiss (Rainbow trout)	LC ₅₀ 1.79 mg p.m./L	& (2001) M-074388-01-1 KCA 8.2,1/05	
	Fish, bioconcentration (estimated value)	BCF whole fish	M-459145-0171 KC2 8.2,23704	
JAU 6476- S-methyl	Invertebrate, acute <i>Daphnia magna</i> (Cladoceran)	EC ₃ 2.8 mg pQn./L	(2019) ↓ M-054853-01-1 ↓ KNA 8.2,49/03	
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (Green alga)		[™] (2001) M-@1047-@1-1 [™] &ÇA 8.2.%1/03	
	Sediment dweller, chronic Chironomus ripartes (chironomic)	NOEC 0.7 mg p.m./L Č	2006) M-26605-01-1 S KQ 8.2.5 404	
	Fish, acuQ Oncorhynch@s myk#S (Rainb)\$ trout)	CLCsoff 496 mg par/L	M-046022-01-1 KCO 8.2.1/06	
1,2,4-Triazole	Fish, ju Qnile growth to Oncomynch s mykiss Rainb W trout	NOEC 34 mg p.m./L	& (2002) M-030491-01-1 KCA 8.2.2/01	
~	Invertebrate Ocute	>ECst > 10,0 mg p	M-088901-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.1/06	
	Sevandrichneriella subcapilaa G(gregCalga)	ErC50 7>31 mg p.m. L %	M-077067-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.1/04	
	Fisty, acute Soncorhynchus, mykiss (Rainbox trout)	₩C ₅₀	(2006) M-266572-01-1 KCA 8.2.1/11	
JAU 6476- triazolyl- ketone	Daylania magna (Cladoceran)	َنَّرُ صَّ گُرُدہ کی کہ >100 mg p.m./L	(2006) M-266597-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.1/07	
2. 2. 2.	Reudokhehneriella S subcapitata Green arga)	E ₄ C ₅₀ >100 mg p.m./L	(2006) M-266567-01-1 KCA 8.2.6.1/05	
, M	C Fish ocute C Oncorh Chus mykiss (Resolvent trout)	LC ₅₀ 1.83 mg a.s./L ⁷)	(1999) M-015215-01-1 KCA 8.2.1/01	
JAU 6476- thiazocine	Fish, early life stage Oncorhynchus mykiss (Rathbow trout)	NOEC 0.49 mg a.s./L ⁷⁾	M-291414-01-1 KCA 8.2.2.1/03	
	In vitebrate, acute Daphnia magna (Cladoceran)	EC ₅₀ 1.3 mg a.s./L ⁷)	(1999) M-013690-01-1 KCA 8.2.4.1/01	



Test substance	Test species		Endpoint	Reference ©°
	Invertebrate, chronic <i>Daphnia magna</i> (Cladoceran)	NOEC	0.56 mg a.s./L $^{7)}$	& \$6001) M-055997-01 KCA 8.2.54,01
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (green alga)	ErC50	2.18 mg a.s./L ⁷⁾	M-027625-014 KC X .2.6 1/1

a.s.: active substance; p.m.: pure metabolite

Bold values: Endpoints considered relevant for risk assessment.

- ¹⁾ The fish early life stage study submitted in the Base me Dossier under the number M-088492-0127 (KC& 8.2.2.1/01) has been qualified as invalid by some authorities since the egg hatering rack in the control was judged too low. A new study has been requested by these authorities as confirmation data. Therefore, a new valid study has been conducted in 2007 (______, D.; ______, V.; 2007; M-291414-01-1, KCA 8.2.2.1/03), which is used in the risk assessment.
- ²⁾ Revised NOEC, based on a delayed time to first spawing at 9.148 mg/L
- ³⁾ The LC₅₀ of 0.06 mg/L from this acute study was inconsistent with results from the full life-cycle toxicity test conducted later on in the same laboratory (**100**, A*5.; **100**, T.Z.; **100**, A*6.; **104**, T.Z.; **100**, A*6.; **104**, T.Z.; **100**, A*6.; **104**, C.; **200**, M-104620-01-1, KCA 8.2.5.2/02). Reasons for this inconstancy were investigated in **104**, C.; **200**, (M-104620-01-1, KCA 8.2.5.2/02): two additional acute studies were conducted, that yielded **10**, where > 2 mg/L (nominal) and > 1.009 mg/L (mean measured). These data suggest that the results from the first acute test by **100**, et al. (2002) are not repeatable. Therefore, the DC₅₀ of 0.06 mg/L is deemed utreliable. The LC₅₀ of > 1.009 mg/L from the **100**, et al. study (2003) is thus used for the risk assessment.
- ⁴⁾ NOEC according to the list of endroints given in the EFSA conclusion of protherionazof (2007), the original study endpoint is the EC = 4.4 mg/L; the cited NOEC was not statistically derived as was explained in the DAR by the RMS but proposed as a conservative endpoint.
- DAR by the RMS but proposed as a conservative endowint. Of the proposed of the
- ⁶⁾ EU agreed endpoint is derived from the EFSA Scientific Report (2014) 12(1):3485, Conclusion on the peer review of tebugonazole
- ⁷⁾ JAU 6476-thiazocine has lost the toxophore and shows no perficidal activity as explained in detail in a statement by 2015 (M-536612-01-1,4) CA & 2/01). For metabolites with such properties, the 'EFSA Guidance on tiered rist assessment for plant protection products for aquatic organisms in edge of field surface waters (2013)' prescribes to assume the acute and chronic toxicity of the metabolite is equal to the toxicity of the a.s. (parent compound) for all first tier taxonomic groups'' Therefore, the endpoints of the parent compound prothioconazole from studies on first tier species were used for the acute and chronic risk assessment of JAU 6476-thiazocine.
- ⁸⁾ This BCF value has been estimated based on the current EU agreed value of log P_{ow} for prothioconazole (i.e. 3.82, as estimated using the method OECD 107 by **1000** s; 2001; M-067502-01-1). **1000** and **1000** (2014; M-492539-01-1) re-estimated the based of prothioconazole according to OECD 117 and found a value of 2.0. Therefore, the proposed BCF value for JAU-6476-S-methyl is worst-case and should be re-estimated based on the new value of log Pow for prothioconazole.

Statement on the structural properties of AU 6476-thiazocine

Report: □; 2015; M-536612-01-1 KCA 8.2/01 Title: Report on predictive molecular modelling results and on biological test results of the protheoconazole derivative JAU 6476-thiazocine (M12). Report No.: M^{*}\$36612-01-1 Document & M-536612-01-1 Guideline(s): none Guideline deviation(s): none **GLP/GEP:** no

Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies Prothioconazole

Potential properties and biological activities of the prothioconazole photolytic degradation product JAU 6476-thiazocine were calculated by diverse computational methods. The structural conformation of AU 6476-thiazocine is different from prothioconazole and other azole fungicides. Docking of JAU 6476thiazocine into a protein model of cyp51, the target protein of azole fungicides, demonstrates that a binding like other azoles is impossible. Forced binding of JAU 6476-thiazocare into cyp51 requires a totally different docking pose from other azoles and therefore is unlikely.

Actual pesticidal biological activities of JAU 6476-thiazorine were retrieved from Bayer CropScience internal biological database 'Bioquick'. Pesticidal activity of JAU 6476-thiazocine was dested agains eight diverse fungi and ten other types of organisms. With the exception of two likely technical Gailures JAU 6476-thiazocine was proved inactive in all biological tests

In conclusion, inhibition of sterol biosynthesis by AU 6476-thiazocine like by prothiocomazole, is highly unlikely based on structural considerations This theoretical finding is stronglocorroborated by experimental results. JAU 6476-thiazocine is pesticidally inactive against fungi and other organisms (oomycetes, insects, acari, nematodes, flants) under sensitive screeping conditions.

Acute toxicity to fish CA 8.2.1

M-197721-01-1

FIFRA

Three additional studies have been performed. The corresponding study symmaries are presented below. Existing studies have been evaluated during the Annex Dinclusion. They have been summarized in the Monograph and are included in the baseline dessier.

Report:	KCA08.2.1,09 I. (007724)01-1
Title:	Asute tox to the contract of t
	variegatus) under static-venewaty conditions of the
Report No.:	~ 200615 $\sim m^2$ $\sim \sqrt{2}$

Document No. Guideline(s) Guideline deviation(s) GLP/GEP:

Objective:

A 96-hour static-renewal test was conducted to determine the acute toxicity of prothioconazole technical to the sheepshead minnow (Cyprimodon variegatus). The primary endpoint for acute toxicity was mortality. Sublethal and behavioural effects were also assessed during the course of the study. Results of the testwere expressed as a the hour median lethal concentration (LC50).

Materials and methods;

Test item: JAU 6476 technical (prothioconazole), CAS number #178928-70-6; Purity 97.8%, Batch No. F1.6233/0031 L.

The test was conducting according to the FIFRA Guideline 72-3(a). Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatis; approximately of days old at test start) were exposed under static-renewal conditions (renewal after 2 days) for 96 hours to the following nominal concentrations of the test item: control, softent control, 0.75, 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, and 12.0 mg a.s./L. Water samples were collected from all test vessels on Day 0, Day 2 and Day 4 and were analysed to measure actual exposure concentrations. One replicate of 20 fish was used in the control, solvent control (acetone), and the five tested concentrations.



The light cycle was programmed to produce an overall photoperiod of 16-hours light and 8-hours dark. Fish were not fed during the test and test solutions were not aerated. Salinity and pH were measured in all test vessels on Day 0, Day 2 and Day 4, whereas temperature and dissolved oxygen were measured daily. Water quality parameters were adequate and remained within expected ranges during the whole experiment. The test temperature ranged from 21.6 to 21.9°C (mean = 21.7° as measured hourly by the datalogger. Dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 6.0 to 7.6 mg/L, corresponding to 75 to 95% saturation, respectively. The pH values ranged from 7.4 to 7.9 and the salinity ranged from 16 to 17‰ throughout the test.

Daily observations were made for mortality and sublethal effects

Findings:

Validity criteria:

deviations to the The Guideline used as reference does not state validity eriteria o significant Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed valid.

Analytical results:

re 0.69, 1.39, 2.51, 5.42 and The mean measured concentrations of YAU 6476, during the test period w 10.3 mg a.s./L, which represented \$4 to 92 percent of the nominal concentrations All reported results refer to mean measured concentrations of the test solutions.

Biological results:

No compound related mortalities or subjethal offects were noted at any test level during the 96-hour exposure to JAU 6476 Since no differences were opserved between either of the control groups and any JAU 6476 test level, for statistical comparisons could be made. The LCo, NOEC and LOEC values were thus determined empirically. Based on the motiality data collected and the mean measured JAU 6476 concentrations the 96-hour LC50 was >10.3 mg a.s./L the 9@hour lowest observed effect-concentration (LOEC) was >10.3 mg a.s./L

and the 96-hour no-observed effect-congentration (NOPC) was 10.3 mg a.s./L.

Test substance N O	JAU 6476
Test object	Speepshead Minnow
	¹⁰ 96 hour, Static-Renewal
	>10.3 mg a.s./L
Lowest Deserved Effect Conceptration LOEC	>10.3 mg a.s./L
Highest Test Concentration without Toxic Effect (NOEC)	10.3 mg a.s./L
Threshold Effect Concentration, TPC (geometric mean of LOF and NOEC)	>10.3 mg a.s./L

Table CA 8.2.1-1: Acute toxigity of JAU 64% (technical) to Sheepshead minnow

Conclusion:

Sheepshead minnow were exposed for 96 hours in a static renewal system to prothioconazole. prothioconazole caused no adverse effects to sheepshead minnow near the practical limit of solubility in the test system (measured concentration = 10.3 mg a.s./L). The LC₅₀ was >10.3 mg a.s./L and the NOEC was 10.3 mg a.s./L.?



Report:	KCA 8.2.1/10 ,; ; 2003; M-104709-01-1
Title:	Acute toxicity of JAU 6476-Desthio to the fathead minnow (Pimephales promela)
	under static-renewal conditions
Report No.:	200151
Document No.:	M-104709-01-1
Guideline(s):	FIFRA Guideline 72-1
~	Acute Toxicity Test for Freshwater Fish
Guideline deviation(s):	no significant deviations
GLP/GEP:	yes a d'a d'a d'a d'a
Objective:	
A 96-hour static-renew	al test was conducted to determine the acute toxicity of FAU 64%6-desthio to the
fathead minnow (Pime	ephales promelas). The primary endpoint for acute toxicity was mortality.
Sublethal and behaviou	ral effects were also assessed faring the course of the study. Results of the test
were expressed as a 9	6-hour median lethal concentration (LCO). If possible estimates of the No
Observed Effect Conce	ntration (NOEC) and the Sweet Observed Effect Concentration (IDEC) Ware
	Initation (NOEC) and the powers observed Effect Concentration (EQEC) were
made.	
Materials and method	s: A O Y Y Y Y A A A
Test item: JAU 6476-de	esthio (metaboliteof prothioconazole) (AS #92098064-4 Purity 96.5%, Batch
No. RUX76-105/8a.	
The test was conducted	ed incording to the FURA Guideline 72-1 Fatherd minnows (Pimenhales
	1. 70 days ald at the the state of the second window days a day of the products
prometas; approximate	Ty 78 days old at less start, where exposed under static-genewal conditions for
96 hours to nominal go	hcentrations of 0.94, 1.88, 3.75, 7,30 ano15.0 mg metabolite/L, and to a water
and solvent control fac	etone). Test solutions were renewed apapproximately 48 hours. Water samples
were collected from all	vest vessels on Day Day 2 and Day 4 and were analysed to measure actual
exposure conceptration	š. Š LO ^V L ^V S Š ^V

exposure concentrations. One replicate of 20 pish was used in the control, solvent control, and in the five toxicant levels. Fish were not fed during the test and test solutions were not actated. The light cycle was programmed to produce an overall photoperiod of 16-hours light and 8-hours tark. Hardness, pH, conductivity, and alkalinity were measured in all test vessels every other day. Dissolved oxygen was measured daily. The test temperature during the 96-hour exposure ranged from 25.3 to 23.2 °C (mean = 21.7 °C) as measured hourly by the datalogger. Dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 5.6 to 8.6 mg/L corresponding to 64 to 98% saturation at 22 °C, respectively. The pH values ranged from 7.4 to 7.7.

Daily observations were made for motality and subtethal effects (loss of equilibrium, quiescent, on bottom offest vessel, alsurface of test vessel, darkened coloration and erratic swimming behavior).

Findings:

Validity Criteria

The Guideline used as reference does not state validity criteria. No significant deviations to the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed valid.

Analytical resolts:

The mean neasured concentrations of JAU 6476-desthio during the test period were 0.96, 2.06, 3.85, 7.99, and 6.3 mg metabolite/L, which represented 102 to 110 percent of the nominal concentrations. All reported results refer to mean measured concentrations of the test solutions.

Biological results:

Behavioral/sublethal effects were noted in the 7.99 mg metabolite/L test level. No behavioral/sublethal effects were observed in control, solvent control and lower test levels. Behavioral/sublethal effects could not be observed in the 16.3 mg metabolite/L test level since all fish died at Day 0. No dose related mortalities occurred at the control, solvent control, 0.96, 206, 3.85, or 2.99 mg metabolite/L test levels during the exposure period. One dead fish was found atome 3.85 mg metabolite/L test concentration on Day 1. Since no sublethal effects were observed at this concentration and not mortalities occurred at the next higher concentration, this mortality was not considered to be dose related. All fish at the 16.3 mg metabolite/L test level died on Day 0 resulting in a currulative mortality of 100%.

utstino			la	<i>v</i>		4		200		
Mean measured	4 hours		24 hours		48 hours		72 hours		96 hoprys	
concentration (mg metab./L)	D	Obs.	D	Obs	D D	Obs. 4	d d	obs.	چک	Obs
Control	0	20N	0	20N		2007	0~~	20N 5	0	ØN
Solvent control	0	20N	0 🖉	20N 0	0	≫0N 🔊		200	0°	20N
0.96	0	20N	Ś	2017 2017	0	20N 🖉 🖉	[≫] 0	200N 80		20N
2.06	0	20N 🐇	0	20N	⁰	20N 2	0	20N) ₀	20N
3.85	0	20NØ	10	19N 2	21	19N ,	, C	191 J	1	19N
7.99	0	*ULE,Q; & \$12 Q	-770 -772	5 OB, LE, Q; 2AS, LE, Q; 7 LE; 2 Q; 9 N		✓ 3 AS (DC, Q, LE; ♀OB, ♀ PG, Q, LE, ♀Q; 11⊘	0%	▲AS, QCE; 1 OB, QLE; 1 E.LE; 1 Q; 1 DC; 15 N	0	1 OB, LE, Q; 1 AS, LE, Q; 1 E, LE; 17 N
16.3	Ŵ	naÖ	20	na	20	na 🖉 🖉	∀20 ¢	ma	20	na

Table CA 8.2.1-2: Cumulative mortality and behavior observations in the fathead michow exposed to JAU 6474 desthio

D = Dead = Cummative number of dead, Obs. = Observations (number of individuals observed plus observation)

N = Normal, LE^C Loss of equilibrium, Q = Quiescent, QB^C On boutom of Cink, AS^C At surface,

DC = Darkened coloration, E= Erratic behavior

Since mortality only occurred at the 16.3 m_{g} metabolite/L test level, neither the moving average nor the probit method can be used for the statistical analysis of mortality data. Therefore, a binomial probability analysis was performed. Based on the mortality data, the estimated 96-hour LC₅₀ was 11.4 mg metabolite/L

The NOEC and LOEC were determined by visual examination of mortality and clinical observations. The no-observed-effect concentration (NOEC), based upon sublethal effects, was 3.85 mg metabolite/L and the lowest-observed-effect-concentration (LOEC) was 7.99 mg metabolite/L. The threshold effect concentration, TEC (georpetric mean of LOEC and NOEC), calculated based on sublethal effects was 5.5 mg metabolite/L.

Table CA 8.2.1-3: Acute toxicity of JAU 6476-desthio to fathead minnow

Test substance	JAU 6476-desthio
Test object	Fathead Minnow
Exposure	96 hour, Static-Rerowal
LC ₅₀	11.4 mg metabola /L
Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC)	7.99 mg metabolite/L
Highest Test Concentration without Toxic Effect (NOEC)	3.85 mg metabolite/L
Threshold Effect Concentration, TEC (geometric mean of LOEC and NOEC)	5.5 mg metabolite/L
v@ ^v	

Conclusion:

Based on the mortality data collected and the mean measured VAU 6476-desthio concentrations, the 96hour LC₅₀ was 11.4 mg metabolite/L. Based of sublethal effects, the 96- hour NOEC and LOEC were 3.85 and 7.99 mg metabolite/L, respectively.

Report: KCA 8.2.1/4 fish (Oncothynchus mykiss) Acute toxicity of AU 6436-triazorylk Title: under staric conditions Report No.: EBJAX306 Document No.: M-266572-®} Guideline(s): EPA-FIFRA § 72 1/SEP EPA-540/9-85-006 (198 OPPTS \$50.1075 (Public Draft, 199 tive 92/69/EEC. Guideline deviation **GLP/GEP:**

Objective:

A limit test at 100 mg metabolite/L was performed in order & show that rainbow trouts (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were not affected at this test level by the notabolite JAUG476-triazolylketone, so the 96h-LC₅₀ is above this limit concentration. Mortally was the care test endpoint. Behavioural effects were also monitored during the whole exposure period, $\sqrt{2}$

Materials and methods

Test item: JAU 6476-triazolylketone (ten.) (metabolite of prothioconazole), Purity: 99.5%, Batch No. HSRM 595.

The test was conducting according to the FIFRA Guideline 72-1, OPPTS 850.1075 (Draft) and OECD No. 203 (rev.1992). Test organisms (*Oncorlonchus mykiss*) had a mean body length 4.1 cm and a mean body weight 6.6 g at test initiation. Thirty fish were exposed (as a single replicate) in a limit test for 96 hours under static test conditions to a nominal concentration of 100 mg pure metabolite/L and a water control (*With also* 30 fish). Recoveries of JAU 6476-triazolylketone were measured in all test levels at the beginning of the test (day 0), after 48h (day 2) and at test termination (day 4) to confirm nominal concentrations.

Dissolved oxygen, water temperature and pH values were determined daily in each aquarium. Water temperature was additionally measured in the control aquarium and recorded hourly with a data logger. Dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 91 to 100 % oxygen saturation, the pH values ranged



from 7.0 to 7.4 and the water temperature ranged from 11.7°C to 12.3°C in all aquaria over the whole testing period.

Findings:

Validity criteria:

All validity criteria for this study were met as given by the mentioned guidelines.

Analytical results:

La Contraction of the second s of the nomina Based on analytical determination of JAU 6476-triazolylketone, 95 1099% (mean 98 concentration (100 mg metabolite/L) were found over the testing period of 96 hours. All reported rest are based on nominal concentrations of the pure metabolite.

Biological results:

lethal or lefnal There were neither any sub-lethal effects nor any more effects occurred in treated fish after 96h of exposure

Conclusion:

In a limit test, the metabolite JAU 6476-triazoly ketone (tech.), did not cause any mortality to the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) at 100 mg metabolite/ Therefore, the 96h C C 50 was clearly above 100 mg pure metabolite/L. There were no behavioral effects observed during the whole exposure period. Therefore, the NOEC after 96 hours is considered to be 2100 mg metabolited

Song-term and chronic toxicity to fish CA 8.2.2

CA 8.2.2.1 Fishcearly life stage toxicity test

The study suburited in the Baseline Dossier under the number M-088492-01-1 (KCA 8.2.2.1/01) has been qualified as invalid by some authorities since the egg hatching rate in the control was judged too low. A new study has been requested by these authorities as confirmatory data. Therefore, a new valid study is available und the number M-2914M-01 O (KCA/8.2.2, 9/03), which should be used in the risk assessment. A summary of this new stud Dis provided below.

Report: 2.2.1.03
Title: Title: Title Early life stage to sight of prothioconazole technical to the rainbow trout
(Oncorlage thus get kiss) and on the second
Report North BLAX 13 0 2 2 2
Document No.: 3/1414-01-1
Guideline(s):
PPTS paideline 850. 400 (draft)
OECD Guideline 210
Guideline deviation(s) not secified \Im
GLP/GEP: Ver yer w

Objective:

A flow-through early life stage test was conducted to determine effects of prothioconazole technical on the rainbow trout (Oncorfornchus mykiss) over a 91 days period. This study was designed to establish a no-observed-effect-concentration (NOEC), a lowest-effect-observed-concentration (LOEC) and a Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration (MATC), which equals the geometric mean of the NOEC and LOEC.



Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 technical (prothioconazole), CAS number #178928-70-6, Batch No. PFV0672533 Purity: 98.3%. 0

The test was conducting according to the FIFRA Guideline 72-4, the OPPTS (Guideline 850.1400 (draft) and the OECD Guideline 210. Freshly fertilized rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) eggs (a) <240 hours) were observed for time to hatch and hatchability, fisht were assessed for abnormal behaviour, physical changes, swim-up behaviour, mortality and growth (standard length, do weight). Study duration was 91 days under flow through conditions.

Nominal concentrations were: control, solvent control, 0.0625, 0.25, 0.25, 0.50 and 1.00 mg a.s./ During the exposure period, test solution samples were collected weekly from two alternating dest vessels to confirm exposure concentrations of prothioconazole. Each treatment was oplicated four times with 30 eggs at initiation (thinned to 15 aleven after hatching phase). Photoperiod was set to 16 hours light and 8 hours dark (577-849 lux). Developingembress/larvae were shielded from lightexpositre until one week post hatch.

Water quality parameters including pH hardness, alkalinity conductivity and discolved oxygen levels were measured weekly. They were adequate and remained within expected ranges during the whole experiment. The test temperature anged from $10.5 \neq 0.12.0$ (mean = 19.1°C). Dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 7.4 to 11.4 mg/L/corresponding to 69 and 100% separation, respectively. The pH values ranged from 7 to 8.2 throughout the test.

Findings:

<u>Validity criteria:</u> All validity criteria for this study were not as given by the mention of Guidelines. The oxygen level dropped for a short fime 600% saturation in two replicates of the 0.5 ppm test level. This occurred very late in the study (one day before study termination) and did not influence the outcome of the study. Therefore the rudy is considered to be value.

Analytical results:

Recovery ranged from 84% to 98% and mean measured test concentration were as follow: control (<0.005), solvent control (0.005), 0.052, 0.107, 0.22, 0.49 and 0.94 mg a.s./L All reported results were based on mean measured test concentrations."

Biological results:

~ With the exception of one fish in the control (exophilalmic) all other symptoms only occurred in the highest test level and were considered to be dose related. The symptoms were either transient in nature (study days 33-45; light-colored) or being associated with fish prior to death. At study termination all

(sugy days 53-45; ugnt-colored) or being associated with fish prior to dea surviving fish showed normal behaviour and were without malformations.



Table CA 8.2.2.1-1: Results from the Fish early life stage toxicity test exposing rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) to prothioconazole technical

Test substance	Prothiocor	nazole Technical		<u> </u>	Ņ	
Test object	Rainbow t	Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)				
Exposure	91 Day, flo	ow-through ELS	- Â	4 . 4		
Fry survival (Study Day 91):	NOEC	0.49 mg a.s./L	LQEČ	0.94 mga.s./L		
Percent Hatch:	NOEC	0.94 mg a.s./L	FOEC	> 0.94 mg a.\$2L		
Time to Hatch:	NOEC	0.94 mg/a.s./L	LOEC	> %9 4 mg a.s./L	a	
Time to Swim-up (Study Days 46-48):	NOEC	0.49 mg a.s./L	LOEC	0,94 mg as./L ≪	Ş	
Growth (Standard Length):	NOEC	0.94 mg a.s./L0*	LOEC	🏷 0.940mg a.s.∰ 🖇 🖓)	
Growth (Dry Weight):	NOEC	<u>B</u>.94 mg a.s./L	LOEC	$> 0.94 \text{ mg a}$ (3.7L $^{\circ}$		
Morphological & Behavioural Effects:	NOEC	00.49 mg a.s.A.	LOEQ	0.64 mg a.s./L		
Maximum Acceptable Toxicant	0.68 mg a.	s./L (based on fry survi	val, sogim-u	pand V X		
Concentration (MATC)	morpholog	gical Behavioural effects				

Conclusion:

The 91-day exposure of rainbow trout *(Pncorhynchus mykisk)* to prothiocorazole technical resulted in an overall NOEC of 0.49 mg a.s./L and a LOEC of 0.94 mg a.s./L base Con fry survival, swim-up and morphological / behavioural effects The maximum acceptable toxican concentration (MATC) was 0.68 mg a.s./L.

CA 8.2.2.2 Fish full life cycle cest

No additional studies have been performed, existing studies have been evaluated during the Annex I inclusion. They have been supmarized in the Monograph and are included in the Baseline Dossier.

CA 8.2.2.3 Bioconcentration in fish

Report:

Title:

Report No.: M-459/45-01-1 Document No.: M-459/45-01-1 Guideline(s): not applicable Guideline deviation(s): not applicable GLP/GEP: mo

Objective

Exposure concentrations of prothioconazole-S-methyl in surface water bodies leading to critical bioconcentration in fish are not likely to occur. However, prothioconazole-S-methyl has a log Pow >3 which triggers the need to address its breaccumulation potential. In order to reduce vertebrate testing, this was done using a non-testing approach. First, information from existing bioaccumulation studies with the parent compound (Prothioconazole) and another metabolite which has a very similar structure (Prothioconazole-desthio was reviewed in order to evaluate the a priori bioaccumulation potential of prothioconazole methyl. Second, the bioconcentration factor (BCF) value for prothioconazole-S-methyl sing Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSAR).

Information from existing BCF studies:

A bioconcentration and biotransformation study with the parent compound prothioconazole (1997), 1997), 2001; M-087902-01-1, KCA 8.2.2.3/01) detected only low levels of prothioconazole-S-methyl in edibles and viscera of the bluegill sunfish. No concentration increase was

observed during the exposure phase between day 7 and day 14. This clearly indicated that formation and degradation of the metabolites were in balance after 7 days already. The BCF for the parent compound prothioconazole for the whole fish was determined to be 18.8 (normalized to 6% lipid content) Based on the total radioactive residues (TRR), a BCF of 57.8 was determined, indicating low accumulation of labeled metabolites.

metabolite Another bioconcentration and biotransformation study was conducted with the 2001; M-136749-01 KGA prothioconazole-desthio (8.2.2.3/02). This study resulted in a BCF of 45 for the Whole fish (normalized to 6% lipid content). It was concluded that the accumulation potential in fish is low for both the parent compound well as the metabolite prothioconazole-desthio. Since the structure of prothioconazole-S-methyl is very similar for that of prothioconazole-desthio, a comparable boconcentration in this can be expected for this metabolite.

QSAR modelling of the BCF using the US EPA EPIS TE toolbox:

QSAR calculations of the bioconcentration factor were conducted with EPISOITE. Estimation Program Interface (EPI)SuiteTM is a Windows®-Based suite of physical chemical property and environmental fate estimation program developed by the US EPA.

Calculation of the bioconcentration factor and its logarithm was done with the program BCFBAF[™] of the EPISUITE toolbox using two different methods. The first is the traditional regression based on log Pow (and any applicable correction factors), and is analogous to the WSKOWWINO method. The second is the Arnot-Gobas method, which calculates BCF values from mechanistic first principles. BCFBAF also incorporates prediction of apparent metabolism half-life in Fish, and estimates BCF and BAF (bioaccumulation factor) for three trophic leves.

Based on the chemical structure and taking into account the experimentally determined log Pow values for the different substances, BCE values for fish were estimated with BCFBAF (v.3.01) for the parent compound prother constant, as well as for the metabolites prothio on azole, desthio and prothio con azole-S-methyl.

Regression hased BCF values for prothioconazole, prothioconazole-Smethyl were 154, 47.08 and 279.3, respectively. The BCF values predicted by the regression-based method matched the alues which were actually measured in fish. The regression-based approach thus gives a realistic, yes conservative estimation of the bioconcentration factor in fish.

Conclusion: _@

Based on information from Efate studies and predicted environmental concentrations in surface waters, exposure levels of prothioconazole-S-methylleading to critical bioconcentration in fish are not likely to occur in the environment. Bioconcentration and biotransformation studies with the parent prothioconazole and the metabolice profilioconazole-desthio (which have a very similar structure as prothioconazole-S-meth minicated a low boaccumulation potential of prothioconazole-S-methyl in fish. To reduce vertebrate testing, the BOF value was estimated based using QSAR models. The regression-based models predicted a BCE value of 319.3 for prothioconazole-S-methyl.

Endogrine disrupting properties CA 8.24

Population pelevant effects of prothioconazole on fish were studied in two early life-stage tests (ELS) with rainbow trout (O. mykiss, M-088492-01-1, M-291414-01-1). The studies show NOEC values of 0.308 $\mu g/L$ (endpoint: time to reach the swim up stage) and 0.49 $\mu g/L$ (endpoints: time to reach the swim-up stage, survival, swimming ability, discoloration). Both studies indicate that sublethal symptoms occur at or close to lethal concentrations.

Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies Prothioconazole

No specific studies on endocrine effects of prothioconazole in fish are available. However, as there is no indication of an endocrine disrupter potential in mammals and birds no further testing is indicated to evaluate the endocrine disrupter potential of prothioconazole to fish.

CA 8.2.4 Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

none

Acute toxicity to Daphnia magna

CA 8.2.4.1

KCA 8.2.4.1/07 Z; 2006; M-266597-01-1 Acute toxicity of JAU 6476-triazolylketone (tech.) to the waterflea Baphnia magnatin a static laboratory test system EBJAX305 M-266597-01-1 DECD - 202 (1984) and corresponding revised draft lated February 01, 2004 L, § 7, 2 (1982) **Report:** Title: Report No.: Document No.: Guideline(s): U.S. EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision E, § EEC Directive 2/69/KEC part 2 (1967) OPPTS Guidenne 856/1010 Braft 1992) JMAFF 12 Wousan No. 8147 (2000)

Guideline deviation(s): **GLP/GEP:**

Objective:

The aim of the study was to determine possible acute effects of JAU 6476 triaz (v) ketone on mobility of Daphnia magna affer 48 hours of exposure in a static haboratory test system, expressed as EC50 for immobilisation. Morality was the primary test endpoint. Subjethal effects were also monitored along the test.

Materials and methods: «

Test item: JAU 6476-triazolylketone (tech.) (metabolite of prothiogonazole); Purity 99.5%, Batch No. HSRM 595. Ô

The test was conditions according to the FIFRA Guideline 72-2, the OPPTS Guideline 850.1010, the JMAFF 12 Nousan Guideline So. 8147 and the OECD Guideline 202. Daphnia magna (1st instars < 24 h old) were exposed in a static test system for 48 hours to nominal concentrations of 0 (control), 0.399, 0.878, 1.93, 4.25, 9.34, 20,6, 45,2 and 00 mg pure metabolite/L, respectively. In addition, a solvent control (test medium, containing 100@L of the solvent dimethylformamide/L) was tested. Recoveries of JAU 6476-triazoly Retone were measured at start and end of the 48 hours exposure period.

The test vessels consisted of chemicallo clean 100 mL glass beakers, individually labelled and filled with 50 mL of the test solution, corresponding to a fluid level of approximately 3 cm height. Four vessels (replicates), each containing give daphnids were utilised per treatment group and control (= 20 animals per study group). The water fleas were pot fed and the test solutions were not artificially aerated during exposure

After 24 and 48 hours behaviour of the water fleas was visually evaluated by counting mobile daphnids, defined as animals with swimming movements (slight movements of antennae were not interpreted as swimming movement) within approximately 15 seconds after gentle agitation of the test vessel. Additionally, all possible signs of sublethal effects were recorded.



Water quality parameters were monitored along the test duration (i.e. temperature, pH, O₂ concentration, conductivity, hardness and alkalinity). The measured values for the physical/chemical parameters and the required range and yielded no deviation from guideline recommendations.

Findings:

Validity criteria:

All validity criteria were met, as given by the mentioned guidelines.

Analytical results:

The measured concentrations of JAU 6476-triazolylketone in the freshly prepared test solution at tes initiation revealed an average recovery of 99% of the targetted nominal concentrations. At the end of the 48 hours exposure period, the average recovery reached 100% of the mitial measured concentrations, demonstrating stability of the test item in the test system. No residues of JAUG476-Diazoby ketone were detected in samples from untreated water Control All reported results were based on mominal concentrations of the pure metabolite.

Biological results:

During 48 hours of static exposure, no monoplities occurred at or below the highest tested concentration of 100 mg pure metabolite/L. Minor sublethal effects, such as being predominantly situated on the bottom of the beaker (due to distinctly decreased frequency of antennae povements) were observed after 24h and 48h for four and two exposed daphnids respectively

Conclusion:

Acute (48 hours) static exposure of juvenile Daphila magua to JAU 6476-trizzolylketone (tech.) in aqueous solution revealed no immobilisation at obelow the highest tested concentration of 100 mg pure metabolite/L. Based on mininal exposure concentrations, the EC50 and the NOEC for immobilisation after 48 hours of static exposure were above 900 mg/pure metabolite/L.

ute toxicity to an additional aquatic invertebrate species

Report Title:

CA 8.2.4

Q004: M¥00105₽-01-1 $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{242}$

JAU 6476 Desthic Acute oxicity to cravish (Procambarus clarkii) under staticrenewal conditions

Report No .: Document No, Guideline(s):

OPPTS 859.1075 Crayfish Acute Toxicity Test, Freshwater and Marine; "Public Draft" EPA 712 C-96-198; April 1996

Guideline deviation(s) none 🔍 GLP/GÈP:

M-001051-0

Objective:

The purpose of this study was to estimate the acute toxicity, as expressed by a LC₅₀, of JAU 6476desthio to crayfish Procarbarys clarkly under static-renewal conditions. Mortality was the primary test endpoint.

Material and methods: Test item; Priazole (JAU6476-desthio), Purity:98.5%, Batch No. RUX76-105-1G.



Procedures used in this toxicity test followed those described in Springborn Smithers Protocol No.: 031003/EPA/STR-Crayfish/Bayer (Appendix I). The methods described in this protocol meet the testing requirements of the U.S. EPA's Pesticide Assessment Guidelines for other freshwater species. Procambarus clarkii (mean wet weight: 0.97-6.3g, mean total length: 31-65ppn) were exposed in a static test system for 96 h to nominal concentrations of 1.6, 3.1 6.3, 13 and 25 mg a.s./L, respectively. After 48 h, crayfish were transferred to a new set of test solutions. Water samples were regularly removed from the replicate solutions of each treatment level and the controls in order to confirm exposure to the targeted nominal concentration.

'All aquaria ^C Ten animals were used per treatment level and controls (two replicates of five soumals) were examined at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h of exposure for mortalities, sublethal effects and physical characteristics of the test solutions. Dead crayfish were removed and the findings recorded. The pH, dissolved oxygen concentration and temperature were measured daily on each test vessel. Temperature ranged between 23°C and 25°COThe photoperiod was set to 16 h bet and 8 h darkness (320 to 430 lux). All water quality paraméters remained within acceptable levels for the driving of

crayfish over the test duration. Findings: <u>Validity criteria:</u> The protocol states that mortality in the control at test termination should not exceed 10%. During this test mortality in the control at a climate control at test termination should not exceed 10%. During this test, mortality in the control and solvent control was 20% at test termination. Mortality was directly related to molting and subsequent fannibalization, and in thus not considered to be colated to the health of the organisms or the suitability of the exposure system. Therefore, the test was considered acceptable.

Analytical results:

Test item recovery ranged between 100 and 120%. Mean measured conceptrations were 1.9, 3.3, 6.8, 13 and 26 mg a C/L, respectively. All results were thus based on mean measured concentrations. L.

Biological posults:

At test termination (96 hours); 20% mortality was observed in the control and solvent control. Mortality of 40, 10, 10, 10 and 20% was observed an ong crayfish exposed to the 1.9, 3.3, 6.8, 13 and 26 mg a.s./L treatment levels, respectively. All of the observed mornality (controls and treatment levels) was directly

treatment levels, respectively. All of the observed mortality (controls and treatment levels) was directly related to molting and subsequent camibalization. None of the observed mortality is considered related to exposure to AU 6076-desitio.

Table CA 8.2.4.2- 1: Mean measured concentrations tested, corresponding cumulative mortalities, and observations made during the 96-hour static renewal toxicity test exposing cragish (Procambarus clarkii) to JAU 6476-Desthio.

Mean measured	Cumulative Morality												
mg a s /L	24 h			48 h			72 h			~96 h~~		Ĉo	
ing a.s./L	Α	В	Mean	Α	В	Mean	Α	B	Mean	A_{\sim}	₿	Mean	j j
Control	0	0	0 ^b	0	20 🦽	©10 ^{ce}	20	20	20°	20	20	20	a di anti anti anti anti anti anti anti ant
	(0)	(0)		(0)	(1)		(1)	$\mathbb{Q}(1)$		$\mathbb{Q}(1)$		Ŵ	Š
Solvent control	0	20	10 ^{cd}	0	20/	10	0°	20	10 🐇	ب 20 🔍	20	∱ ⁵ 20° §	
	(0)	(1)		(0)	<u>(1)</u>		Æ)	(1)	L.	(1)	* (1)Ĉ	۵, ۲	,
1.9	20	20	20 ^{cd}	20	¢ 20	20 🔊	4 0	20	~QQ)℃	ÓŎ	20	400	
	(1)	(1)		(1)	(1).	Ĩ	(2) 🎘	y (1) (}, }, ∕≥	(3)	≪(ľ)	\sim	
3.3	0	0	0	% \$	<u>f</u>		Q.	0	0.0	0	[≫] 20	^{10°}	
	(0)	(0)		\bigcirc (0)	$\langle (0) \rangle$	Ô	(O)	20)	ď	(0)	(1)	, °	
6.8	0	20	10 ^{cd}	0_0	گ 20	<i>10</i> 10	∛ 0 .	20	A10	Q	25	Ø	
	(0)	(1)	L.	(0)	(1)	C, I	(0)	×(1)	p 🕺	J (0)	(1)	s S	
13	20	0	₫0°	20	s Ø	v.LØ	_20	<i>6</i> 60°	10	20	0 (10	
	(1)	(0)	₿ &	×(1) #	$\widetilde{C}(0)$	NG I	$\widetilde{(1)}$	(9)		Ø	(0)		
26	20	201	200	20	20 *	20	20 🐧	<u>)</u> 20	≈ 20	$\sqrt{20}$	20	20	
	(1)	(\mathcal{H})	Ò	<i>(</i> þ)	(Ô)	8	$(1)^{O}$	(1)) () (1)	≫(1)		

^a The actual number of mortalities & presented in parentheses.

^b One live crayfish observed being cannibalized appeared to be molting

^c Molts observed in tank. The crayfish that had molted were cannibalized. ^d One live crayfish in each tank observed being can ubalized approximately two hours after test initiation.

Crayfish that were being cannibalized appeared to be moleng.

^e One live crayfish observed being cannibalized.

Based on the results of the study the 96 hour C_{50} value was empirically estimated to be >26 mg a.s./L, the highest mean measured concentration tested. The No-Obser ed-Effect Concentration (NOEC) for this study was 26 mg a.s./L

Conclusion:

6 desthic showed no adverse effects on adult mortality of the crayfish The test item JAU 96 h exposure The ODEC was 26 mg a.s./L and the LC50 was (Procambarus clarkii) after >26 mg a.s./L

· •		Ó*****		
1	° _N			
OF Y	la de la companya de la compa	<u> v x . +</u>		
Report:®	∑√KCA 8⁄2.4,2	2/02 カュ;	•	;
<i>"</i> «	2002; b	-0830@7-01-1		
Title	JANÚ 64Ž6 . A	A 96 Hour flow-through acu	te toxicity test with the sa	ltwater mysid
a)	Mysidopsis	bania) 🗸		
Report No.:	<u>^110</u>	Ş Y		
Document No.	"∽у" Мф983057-0	1-1_0		
Guideline		~Q		
Guideline deviation	ń(s):0			
GLP/GEP:	yes S			
	Ô' È			

Objective

The objective of this study was to evaluate the acute effects, as expressed by the LC₅₀ values, of JAU 6476 (prothioconazole) on the saltwater mysid (Mysidopsis bahia) during a 96-hour exposure period



under flow-through test conditions. Mortality was the primary test endpoint. Sublethal effects were also monitored along the test.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 (technical), Batch No. 6233/0031, (Original) Purity: 98.4%, expiration August 22, 2001. (Subsequent recertification resulted in a purity of 97.8% with an expiration date of February 21, 2002. The original purity of 98.4% was used in all calculations for this study.)

The test was conducting according to the OPPTS Guideline 850.1039, US EPA guideline EPA 540/9, 85-010 and ASTM guideline E729-88a. Juvenile sattwater mysids (*Mysidopsis bahia*, <24 hours old) were exposed for 96 hours to a geometric series of five test item concentrations under flow through conditions. The nominal test concentrations were: 0.25, 0.50, 9.0, 20, and 40 mg r.s./L, respectively. Mean measured test concentrations were determined from samples of test water collected from each treatment and control group at test initiation, at approximately 48 hours and at test termination. A negative control (filtered saltwater) as well as a solvent control (0.1 mL dimethylformamide/L) were tested.

Two replicate test chambers (9-L glass aquaria, filled with approx 05-L obtest solution) were maintained in each treatment and control group, with 10 saltwater mysids in each test chamber for a total of 20 saltwater mysids per test concentration. Observations of mortality and other clinical signs were made approximately 5, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after test initiation. The juvenile mysids were fed live brine shrimp (*Artemia* sp.) nauplij daily during the test to prevent cannibalism.

Water quality parameter's were monitored daily. A photoperiod of 16 hours of hight and 8 hours of darkness was controlled with an automatic liner. Water temperatures were within the range of 25 \pm 2°C. Dissolved oxygen concentrations temained \geq 5.6 mg/L (76% Caturation) throughout the test. Measurements of pFI ranged from 8.1 to 8.3. Salinity of the dilution water at test initiation was 21‰. Cumulative percent meriality observed in the treatment group was used to calculate LC₅₀ values at 24, 48, 72 and 66 hours. The no-observed effect concentration (NOEC) was determined by visual examination of the mortality and clinical observation data.

Findings:

Validity Criteria:

The Guideline used as reference does not state validity criteria. No significant deviations to the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the Guide is deemed valid.

Analytical results:

The measured concentrations of JAU 6476 at test initiation ranged from 101 to 105% of nominal. In samples collected at 48 and 96 hours, measured concentrations ranged from 96 to 102 and 98 to 101% of nominal, respectively. Mean measured test concentrations were 0.25, 0.51, 0.99, 2.0 and 4.1 mg a.s./L which represented 100, 102, 99, 100 and 103% of the nominal concentrations, respectively. All results are presented based on mean measured concentrations.

Biological results:

Daily observations of mortality and other signs of toxicity observed during the test are shown in the table below.

		(exposed to	JAU	6476							
Mean measured		5	hours	2	24 hour	4	8 hour	7	2 hour	30°,	96 hour	Cum.
tration (mg a.s./L)	Rep*	#D	Observ.	#D	Observ.	#D	Observ.	#D	Observ.	#D	Observ.	• N tor- Ctality
Negative	А	0	10AN	0	10AN	0	1000N	0	10AN	0	×10AM	â
control	В	0	10AN	0	10AN	0	10AN	0	Q10AN	0_0	0 10 Â	N N
Solvent	А	0	10AN	0	10AN	0	2 10AN	0	10AN	Ő	10 AN	
control	В	0	10AN	0	10AN	<i>A A A A A A A A A A</i>	10AN	<u> </u>	100AN	Ô ³ 0	MOAN U	
0.25	А	0	10AN	0	10AN	\otimes_0	10AN	×0	>10AN	1	9AQ	
0.25	В	0	10AN	0	10AN	0	9 10AN	0,0	10AN	S	9AN,1E	03
0.51	А	0	10AN	0	10AN	¢,	10 4 N	Ĩ	IOAN	0	O ^{10AN}	ŝ
0.31	В	0	10AN	0	MAN	\sim	MAN &	, ^v 0	A10AN	0 🛒	10AN	
0.00	А	0	10AN	0	<i>©</i> Ĩ0AŊ°≫	0	© 10AN	00	10AN	gŞ	JOAN Ô	
0.99 H	В	0	10AN	0 0	₩ 10 Å \$	<u> </u>	10AN	<i>P</i>	AN ,		210AN	0
2.0	А	0	10AN	Â,	10AN	ð	2AN,1C	≥ 1	ĜAN,2₿Ĵ	چ 2	SAN,2É,IM	25
	В	0	10AN	, Ŏ	10AN	0	8C,2	15	8AN HE	ð,	5AN,2E,1M	23
4.1	А	0	10AN	1	8AN E	6	3C,YE	Ĩ	∂ ∂ M	Ϋ́ο	0	100
4.1	В	0	10AN	ð	KAN (<i>5</i> /5	Ø5С	[≫] 10 ∝	2M ~	10 @	b	100

#D = Cumulative number of dead mysids; Observ. = Observed effects: AN # Appear Normal, C = Bethargi, E = Effects: swimming, M = Missing & assumed dead

* Rep = Replicate (10 mysids per reposate)

Saltwater mysice in the negative and solvent control appeared healthy and normal throughout the test. After 96 hours of exposure, mortality in the 0.25, 0.51, 0.99, 2.0 and 40 mg a.s./L treatment groups was 5, 0, 0, 25 and 100%, respectively. Based on the guideline (US-EVA, OPPTS 850.1035), up to 10% mortality is allowed for normal control performance. Consequently, the mortality in the 0.25 mg a.s./L treatment was not considered to be treatment related LC₅₀ salues and 95% confidence limits were calculated from the mortality data and are as follows:

			Ö	
A	LC ₅₀	Lower	opper	Statistical method
		95% confidence	95% confidence limits	
, ~~··		limits y y		
L.	mg a.s./L	mgas./L o	mg a.s./L	
24	>4.1		¹	Visual interpretation
48	3.9 🔬 🛇	$\mathcal{Q}^{2.0}$ \mathcal{Q}^{*} \mathcal{Q}^{*}	¹	Binomial probability
72	2.6	2.0	4.1	Binomial probability
96	24,0	2.0% ~	4.1	Binomial probability

Table CA 8.2.4,223: Current alues for saltwater myseds exposed to JAU 6476

¹95% confidence intervals could not be calculated from the data

Concrusion

The 72-hour and 96-hour LC_{50} values for saltwater mysids (*Mysidopsis bahia*) exposed to JAU 6476 were 2.6 and 2.4 mg a.s./L, respectively. The lower and upper 95% confidence limits were 2.0 and 4.1 mg a.s./L, respectively for both the 72h and 96h-endpoint. The NOEC was 0.99 mg a.s./L.



Report:	KCA 8.2.4.2/03 ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;;				
	01-1				
Title:	JAU 6476: A 96-hour shell deposition test with the eastern Syster (Crassostrea				
	virginica)				
Report No.:					
Document No.:	M-055051-01-1				
Guideline(s):					
Guideline deviation(s):					
GLP/GEP:	yes				
Objective:					
The objective of this st	udy was to evaluate the acute effects, as expressed by an E 30, of VAU 6476 on				
shell deposition of the	eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica) during a % hour exposute period, under				
flow-through test condi	itions.				
Matarials and mathed					
Wraterials and method					
Test item: $JAU 6476$ (te	echnical); Batch No ₆ 6233/0031, (Original) Purply: 98.4%, experation August 22,				
2001. (Subsequent rece	ertification resulted in a purity @ 97.8% with an exposition date of Vebruary 21,				
2002. The original puri	ty of 98 4% was used for all study calculations.)				
The test was conductin	g according to the OPPTS Guideline 850.102\$, US EPA guideline EPA-540/9-				
85-011 and ASTM gu	ideline \$729-88a. Eastern orsters (Grassostrea virginia) were exposed to a				

85-011 and ASTM guideline A729-88a. Eastern opsters (*Grassostrea virginia*) were exposed to a geometric series of five test concentrations, a negative (unfiltered seawater) control and a solvent (0.10 mL dimethylformanude/L) control. One test chamber was maintained for each treatment and control group, with 20 overs in each test chamber.

Nominal test concentrations were 0.31, 0.63, 1.3, 2.5 and 5.0 mg a.s./L. Mean measured test concentrations were determined from samples of test water collected from the treatment and control groups at the beginning and end of the fest.

A photoperiod of 16 hours of light and 8 hours of darkness was controlled with an automatic timer. Water quality parameters were monitored daily. Water temperatures were within the limits of the $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C range established for the test. Dissolved oxygen concentrations remained $\geq 6.8 \text{ mg/L}$ (88% of saturation) throughout the test. Measurements of pt ranges from 8.1 to 8.3. The salinity of the dilution water measured at test initiation and termination ranged from 21 to 22‰.

Measurements of shell deposition (i.e. growth) for each oyster were made at 96 hours and were used to estimate the EC₅₀ value and the no-observed effect concentration (NOEC).

Resalts:

Validity Criteria;

The Guideline used as reference does not state validity criteria. No significant deviations to the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed valid.

Analytical results:

Õ

Initially measured concentrations ranged from 97 to 115% of nominal. Samples collected at 48 and 96 hours had measured concentrations that ranged from 111 to 133% and 102 to 118% of nominal, respectively. Mean measured test concentrations were 0.37, 0.76, 1.4, 2.8 and 5.4 mg a.s./L. All reported results were based on the mean measured concentrations.


Biological results:

Oysters in the negative control and solvent control were normal and healthy throughout the test. the shell deposition data for the negative control was compared with that in the solvent control, no statistically significant differences were found at the 95% level of confidence Therefore, the control groups were pooled and percent inhibition was calculated relative to the pooled control data. Oysters in the JAU 6476 treatment groups also appeared normal and healthy with no mortalities or sublethal effects observed. Shell growth inhibition for the \$37, 0.76, 1.4, 2,8 and 5.4 mg a.s./L treatment groups was calculated to be 10, 22, 29, 47 and 98%, respectively. The 96 hour EC50 value was estimated to be 2.9 mg a.s./L with 95% confidence limits of 1.9 and 3.6 mg@i.s./L. Wilcoxon's mink such test showed that shell growth was significantly reduced in the 1.4, 2.8 and 5.4 mg a.s.L treatment group comparison to the pooled controls ($p \le 0.05$).

Table CA 8.2.4.2- 4:	Shell deposition a	nd shell growt	h inhibition	during a 96-1	hour test with JA4 64	76。。
					- W	

		4 (O' O' \sim
Mean measured test concentration	t	Shell deposition		Shell growth in	hibition
(mg a.s./L)		Man ± SB/I			
Negative control		291 ± 135 %		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Solvent control	4	2.16 ± 0.805			y .~~
Pooled controls	a.	2.23 ± 1.10		V 8 ~0	la la
0.37	Ź	2.00 ± 1.16		, 10, Or	Õ
0.76	\sim	&1.74±€821 _{		24 . Q	2
1.4	<u></u>	0.756	r \ \	29 ~~ L	2
2.8	A.	1.18*±1.1		47 √° 25	
5.4		0.0450* ± 0.201		98 ~	

¹ Mean and standard deviation for 200 ysters

² Percent inhibition celative to the pooled controls

* Indicates a significant difference from the pooled controls wing Wilcoxon's rank sum test ($p \le 0.05$).

Conclusion:

L. Ő The 96-hour EC₅₀ value for eastern oysters (Crassostred virginica) exposed to JAU 6476 was 2.9 mg a.s./L. The 95% confidence limits were 7.9 and 3.6 mg a.s./L, respectively. Based on a statistically significant reduction in shell growth of the 1.4, 2.8 and 5.4 mg a.s./L treatment groups, the NOEC was 0.76 mg a.

CA 8.2.5

Longsterm and garonic toxiesty to aquatic invertebrates

Reproductive and development toxicity to Daphnia magna CA & 2.5.1

No additional studies have been performed existing studies have been evaluated during the Annex I inclusion. They have been submarised in the Monograph and are included in the Baseline Dossier.

CA 8.2.52 Reproductive and development toxicity to an additional aquatic invertebrate species



Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies Prothioconazole

Report:	KCA 8.2.5.2/01	;;	;	; 2002;	~
Title:	M-083055-01-1 Desthio JAU 6476: A 96-hour mysid (Mysidonsis bahia)	r flow-through a	acute toxicity test	with the saltwater) V
Report No.: Document No.: Guideline(s):	110979 M-083055-01-1		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
Guideline deviation(s): GLP/GEP:	 yes	Č V			¢
Objective: The objective of this stu	dy was to evaluate the acute	Affects of IAI	6476edesthie	an the saltwater my sid)"
(<i>Mysidonsis bahia</i>) duri	ing a 96-hour exposure period	od under flow	-through test cor	nditions. as expressed	
by a LC_{50} . Mortality wa	s the core test endpoints				
Materials and method Test item: JAU 6476-D	s: esthio, Batch Ng RUX76-1	05 Sa, Putity o	of 96:5%		
The test was conducting 85-010 and ASTM guid 0.013, 0.025, 0.050, 0.14 (0.1 mL dimethylforms samples of test water co and at test termination. Two replicate test chair mysids in each test chair mortality and other clim A photoperiod of 16 ke Water quality paramete 25 ± 2 °C range establist saturation throughout water at test initiation w Findings: <u>Validity critecta:</u> The Guideline used as Guideline fecommendat <u>Analytical results:</u> The mean measured te represented 160, 104, 1 reported based on mean	g according to the ØPPTS deline E729-88a Saltwater 0 and 0.20 mg metabolite / L amidé L) control. Aftean into oblected from each treatment abers were maintained in se mber for a total of 20 saltw ical signs were made 4/24, ours of light and 8 hours of rs were regularly measured bed for the test Dissolved of the test Measurements of as 21%.	validity criter udy is deemed	1035 US EFA g exposed to nomi- dered saltwater oncentrations w group at test initi- and control group er test concentra bours after test controlled with . Water tempera rations remained in 8.2 to 8.3. Sa ia. No significa- l valid.	guideline EPA-540/9- nal concentrations of control and a solvent ere determined from inton, after 48 hours, up, with 10 saltwater tion. Observations of initiation. an automatic timer. tures were within the $d \ge 6.0 \text{ mg/L}$ (82% of alinity of the dilution ant deviations to the 0.20 mg a.s./L which vely. All results were	
Saltwater mosids in the the solvent control appe	nègative control appeared heared lethargic at 72 hours; he	ealthy and nor owever, at 961	mal throughout t nours, all mysids	the test. One mysid in in the solvent control	
appeared normal. After 96-hours of expo groups was 10, 5, 15, 95	sure, mortality in the 0.013 5 and 100%, respectively. Th	, 0.026, 0.050 he 96-hour LC	, 0.099 and 0.20 $_{50}$ value for salty	0 mg a.s./L treatment water mysids exposed	



to JAU 6476-desthio was 0.060 mg metabolite / L. The 95% confidence limits were 0.046 and 0.079 mg a.s./L.

Mean measured concentrations tested, corresponding cumulative mortalities during Table CA 8.2.5.2-1: the 96-hour flow-through toxicity test exposing saltwater mysids (Mysidopsis bahia) to JAU 6476-desthio

Mean measured Concentration	4	h	Cui 24	nulati h	ve num 48	ber of	dead r	nysids 2 h		5 h	Cumu	hative nortal	percent ity	
ing inclabolite/L	Α	В	Α	В	Α	B	∛ A	B	γĂ	B	Õ	Q.		×
Control	0	0	0	0	0		0	0 ~	00	0	Y X	/ 0		1
Solvent control	0	0	0	0	0	ØŎ	0	Ì	$\sim 0^{\circ}$	0 3				
0.013	0	0	0	0	1 🕵	0 (°1 .	$\sqrt[n]{0}$,≪í	× P	Ĩ,	°~10	L,	
0.026	0	0	0	0	10″	_ 0 @	1	0	1 🔊	0	d'a	5	1	
0.050	0	0	0	0	<u></u> 0	Ô	, Ø	6Q,	1	2	<u> </u>	150		
0.099	0	0	10	5 8	€″ 10 🔍	≫9 ,	¥0	≫9`	A)	, O	*1	93°	Å.	
0.20	0	0	9	10	10~	10 @	10,	10	6 ⁷ 10	NO NO	Ş	<u>100</u>	N.]

Conclusion:

Bahig Pexposed to DA The 96-hour LC₅₀ value for saltwater mysids 6476-desthio was (Mysidopsis) 0.060 mg metabolite / L. The 95% confidence limits were 0.046 and 0.079 mømetabolite / L.

Report: KçA 8.2. \$2/02
5 64620 63 -1 $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$
Fitle: Desthio JAU 6476: A blow-through life-cycle foxicity test with the saltwater mysid
(MyGdopsisGahia) () ()
Report No.: 0 200485
Document So.: $M-104620-01-1$ O O
Guideliners): CUSERS OPPTS 850.1350 S S
Guideline deviation(s) by a straight by a
GLP/GEP: S'yes S' S S
Dejective:

Objective:

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of JAU 6476-desthio on the survival, growth and reproduction of the saltwater mysid (Mysidopsis bahla) in a life-cycle toxicity test under flow-through test conditions. NOEC, LOEQ and MATC (geometric mean of the NOEC and LOEC) values were determined for each of these test endpoints.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAO 6476-destbo (metabolite of prothioconazole), Batch No. RUX76-105/8a, (Original) Purity of 96,4%, expiration date December 21, 2000. (Subsequent recertification resulted in a purity of 97.0% with an expiration date of January 29, 2003. The most recent purity of 97.0% was used in all calculations for this study)

The test was conducting according to the OPPTS Guideline 850.1350 and ASTM Standard E1191-90. Mysidopsis bahia neonates (age <24 h) were exposed to a geometric series of five test concentrations, a negative (saltwater) control and a solvent (dimethylformamide) control for 29 days. Nominal test concentrations were based upon the results of an exploratory range-finding toxicity test and an acute



definitive study. Nominal test concentrations were 16, 32, 63, 125 and 250 µg metabolite/L. Mean measured test concentrations were determined from samples of test water collected from the treatment groups and control groups at the beginning of the test, at weekly intervals during the test and at test termination.

Four replicate test chambers, each containing one compartment with 15 my gds, were maintained for each treatment and control group. A total of 60 mysids were exposed in each treatment and control group. On day 14 of the test, female and male adults were paired, and the reproduction of the paired mysids was monitored until Day 29. Observations of mortality, Minical signs of testicity, and reproduction were made daily. At test termination, the total body lengths and dry weights of all surviving first-generation mysids were measured.

A photoperiod of 16 hours of light and 8 hours of darkness was controlled with an automatic timer. Light intensity was 40 lux over the surface of one representative test changer at test initiation. Water quality parameters were regularly monitored along the test Measurements of shinity in the negative control were 20% throughout the test. Measurements of pff ranged from 8.0 to 8.3 and emperature was maintained within the $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C range established for the yest. Dossolved oxygen concentrations rengained >5.8 mg/L (79% of saturation) throughout the test.

Findings:

Validity Criteria:

Validity Criteria: The Guidelines used as reference do not state validity criteria. No Significant deviation So the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed Valid. Ő

Analytical results:

Mean measured concentrations for the entire study ranged from 88 to 905% of nominal concentrations and were as follows: 16, 32, 64, 28, and 252 ug metabolited, which represented 100, 100, 102, 102, and 101% of nominal concentrations, respectively. The results of the study are based on the mean measured test concertrations?

Biological results:

Survival to Pairing (Days 0-44)

There were no statistically significant differences (p > 0.05) in survival from test initiation until pairing between the negative and solvent control groups. Therefore, the control data were pooled for comparisons adong the JAUC6476, desthis Preatment groups.

Fischer's exact test was used to evaluate the superival data. After 14 days of exposure, survival in both the negative control and solven control group was 95 and 98%, respectively. Survival in the 16, 32, 64, 128, and 252 µg metabolite/L treatment groups was 95, 92, 90, 92, and 97%, respectively, and was not statistically different from the pooled controls (p >0.05). Consequently, the NOEC for survival from Days 0-14 was 252 µg metabolite/L, the highest concentration tested.

Survival After Pairing (Days 15-29): 🥡

All surviving mysids during that time appeared normal. There were no statistically significant differences $(p \ge 9.05)$ in survival to pairing between the negative and solvent control groups. Therefore, the control data were pooled for comparisons among the JAU 6476-desthio treatment groups.

Fischer's exact test was used to evaluate the survival data. After 29 days of exposure, survival in both the negative control and solvent control group was 94%. Survival in the 16, 32, 64, 128, and 252 µg metabolite/L treatment groups was 91, 95, 88, 95, and 90%, respectively, and was not statistically different from the pooled controls (p > 0.05). Consequently, the NOEC for survival from Days 15-29 also was 252 µg metabolite / L.



Reproduction:

For each female, the number of reproductive days was defined as the number of days that the female, was alive from the day of first brood release of any female in the test to the end of the test. The day of first brood release in this study was Day 17. The mean number of young produced per reproductive day in the negative control and solvent control groups was 0.592 and 0.573, respectively. Reproduction rates in the 16, 32, 64, 128, and 252 µg metabolite/L treatment groups were 0.527, 0.610, 0.615, 0.398, and 0.407 young per reproductive day, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences (p > 0.05) in survival to pairing between the negative and solvent control groups. Therefore, the control data were pooled for comparisons among the JAU 6476 desthip treatment groups. Bonferroni's test showed that reproduction in the AU 6476-desthip treatment groups at concentrations < 252 µg metabolite/L was not significantly different in comparison to the pooled controls (p > 0.05). However, there was a 32 and 31% reduction reproduction in the 128 and 252 µg a.s./L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the pooled controls (see table below). Although this reduction in reproduction was not statistically significant, it was concentration-dase dependent and is thus betweed to be treatment related. Consequently, the NOEC for reproduction was 64 µg a.s./L and the LOEC was 128 µg a.s./L.

Table CA 8.2.5.2- 2:	Mean Number o	f Young	Broduced	Mysidopsis	bahia Per I	Reproductive D
	7776	X 7 B				

Mean measured test	Total number of 🔨	Total number of ${}^{\mathbb{O}}$ `	🔬 Mean number of
concentration	young produced	reproductive days	young/reproductive day ^{1, 2} ± SD
[µg a.s./L]			
Negative control	. 27 & (2210 &	6592±0.139
Solvent control	× ~ 149	260° O	0.573 ±0.168
16	12 12 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~ 2060 ₍₁₎	0.527 ±0.034
32 🔊	0° 2144 V	> >234 0	Ø 0.610 ±0.177
64	× *160 &	لا ي 260 ي	≪J [™] 0.615 ±0.173
128 2	910 4		@ 0.398 ±0.117
252	1695	258	$\sqrt[6]{0.407 \pm 0.088}$

¹ There were no statistically significant (p²0.05) differences from the poled controls (Bonferroni's t-test) ² Results were generated using Scel 2000. Manual capitalities may Offer slightly

Growth:

Qî

The mean total length and mean dry weight in the negative control and solvent control groups were 7.70 mm and 0.817 mg, and 7.79 mm and 0.861 mg, respectively. Mysids in the 16, 32, 64, 128, and 252 μ g metabolite/L had mean total lengths of 268, 778, 7.75, 7.75, and 7.76 mm, respectively, and mean dry weights of 0.838, 0.852 0.863 0.853, and 0.875 mg, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences (p > 0.057 in survival to pairing between the negative and solvent control groups. Therefore, the control data were pooled for comparisons among the JAU 6476-desthio treatment groups.

K,

A

Bonferroni's test showed that reproduction in the JAU 6476-desthio treatment groups at concentrations $< 252 \ \mu g$ metabolite/L was not significantly different in comparison to the pooled controls (p > 0.05). Consequently, the NOEC for growth was 252 μg metabolite / L.

Additional confirmation acore toxicity testing:

Based on the results of the present study, additional toxicity testing was conducted to address the difference in toxicity shown in the 96-hour acute mysid study from C;

; 2002; M-083055-01-1, see KCA 8.2.5.2/01. It run in November of year 2001, whereas the present life-cycle toxicity study run in the fall of year 2002.



While the 96-hour acute study had an LC₅₀ value of 60 μ g metabolite/L, there were no treatment related effects on survival in the 16, 32, 64, 128, and 252 μ g metabolite/L treatment groups in the life cycle test. Two additional acute tests, an exploratory non-GLP study and a GLP study were run late in the fall of 2001 to confirm the results of the earlier acute test. A summary of the GLP acute study and fon-GBP acute biological data are presented in Appendix 9 of the present study report. The LC₅₀ of the non-GLP acute study was > 2000 μ g a.s./L (nominal), and the LC₅₀ of the GLP acute study was > 1009 μ c a.s./L (mean measured).

These data suggest that the results from the first acute test were not repeatable. The more scient acute tests are consistent with the toxicity observed in the life cycle test. The reason for the differences observed between the earlier and later acute tests could not be determined. However, these tests were run with different batches of saltwater, food, and organisms.

Conclusion:

Saltwater mysids (*Mysidopsis bahia*) were exposed to mean measured concentrations of 16, 32, 64, 128, and 252 µg metabolite/L of JAU6476-desthio for 29 days. There were no treatment related effects on survival or growth of the mysid shrime exposed to concentrations 252 µg metabolite/L, the nighest concentration tested. Although not statistically significant, there was a reduction in reproduction of the mysid shrimp exposed to concentrations > 128 µg metabolite/L. Consequently, the NOEC was 64 µg a.s./L. The LOEC was 128 µg as /L and the MATC was 91 µg a.s./L.

CA 8.2.5.3 Development and emergence in Chironomus species

ediment dwelling organisms

M-312780-01-

No additional studies have been performed existing studies have been evaluated during the Annex I inclusion: They have been summarised in the Monograph and are included in the Baseline Dossier.

CA 8.2.5.4

Report:

KCA 8.2.5.4/03 WAU 6476-deathio - Full life-cycle to vicity test with sediment-dwelling midges (Chironomus riparity) under static conditions, following OECD guideline 218 EBVAY008

Report No.: Document No.: Guideline(s):

Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s) Guideline deviation(s) Guideline deviation(s) Guideline deviation(s) Guideline deviation(s) Guideline deviation(s) Guideline food and water screening analyses were conducted at GeoLabs, Inc., Braintree Massachusetts asing standard U.S. EPA procedures and are considered facility records trider Springborn Smithers Laboratories' SOP 7.92. Since the analyses were conducted following standard validated methods, this exeption has no impact on the study result.

GLR/GEP:

Objective:

B

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of JAU 6476-desthio on the survival, growth and maturation of larvae to adult midge (i.e., percent emergence and development rate) for a period of 28 days in a static water-sediment system (spiked sediment exposure), expressed as NOEC, LOEC and ECx for emergence ratio and development rate.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476-desthio-triazole-3,5-[¹⁴C], Lot No. 2000BRP213-183, radiochemical purity: 97.4% and JAU 6476-Desthio, Batch No. RUX76-105-1E, purity: 98.8%.



The test was conducting according to the OECD Guideline 218 for spiked-sediment tests. Eight replicates of 20 Chironomus riparius larvae (three days old) were exposed to spiked sediment in a static water-sediment test system for 28 days. Artificial sediment was prepared according to OECD Guideline? 218 (organic carbon: 2.4%, particle size distribution: 80% sand, 2% silt, and 10% clay, pH; 0, solids: 69.24%). Nominal concentrations in the artificial sediment were 6.3, 13, 250 50 and 100 mg/kg dry weight. Pore water samples of selected test vessels were collected on day 0, 10 and 28 and were analyzed by liquid scintillation counting (LSC) for total [14C]residues. Sediment samples of selected test vessels were also collected on day 0, 10 and 28 and were analyzed by combustion followed by LSC for total [14C]residues and high performance liquid chromatography. With radiochemical detection (HPLC/RAM). Photoperiod was 16 h light and 8 h darkness (590-030 lux). Temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration were measured continuously Total hardness, alkabinity, specific@onductivity.ond total ammonia of the test solutions were determined at test initiation and at test termination in a composite sample from the highest treatment Qvel and the control solution. Midge larval survival was determined in four randomly selected replicates on day 10. The growth of

surviving midges was recorded and dry weight was estimated in each of the four replicates. For the remaining vessels, general behavior and emergence was recorded on daily basis ontil day 28.

Findings:

Validity criteria: Water quality did not meet the validity criteria in some instances. Missor deviations to the guideline validity criteria occurred, which were temporary and remained within the tolerance of C. riparius. These deviations did not have a negative impact on the results or interpretation of the soudy, as shown by the fact that biological validity offeria, ourvival, emogence, were met. Therefore, the study is deemed valid.

Analytical results

Analytical results of sectiment pore stater and overlying water, JAU 6476-desthio that was applied to sodiment remained bound to the sodiment and 1,00% of the measured radioactivity in the sediment was associated with JAU \$476-desthio Therefore, all reported results refer to nominal concentrations. Å

Biological results

On test day 100 there was a statistically significan difference in survival among midges exposed to the 6.3 mg/kg treatment level compared to the survival of the pooled control (99%). Although statistically significant, this effect was not believed to be biologically relevant due to the lack of a dose-response at higher concentrations

No statistically significant difference in from the was observed in any of the treatment levels compared to the solvent control.

At test termination, no statistically significant difference was determined for mean percentage emergence. However there was a significant difference in the mean development rate of male and female midge in the 100 mg/kg reatment level compared to the solvent control.



Table CA 8.2.5.4-1: Influence on emergence and development rate of Chironomus riparius after 28 days of exposure JAU 6476-desthio

Nominal concentration (sediment) mg metabolite/kg	Mean percent emerged	Mean Development rate (mate/female midge)
Control	88	A 0.0541 × ×
Solvent control	71	0.06307
Pooled Control	79	V NE V
6.3	81 6	0,0694 N N
13	78	6.0610 ° N
25	66	× 6° ×0.0614 K
50		<u>`</u> ©`` [™] 0.0,589 , © ©
100	4.78 ° 5	2 00466 ^b 2
a NIA – NIA Annlinghia Tura	we and date and a man and a date of all and	admitual data for this and a sint

^a NA = Not Applicable. Treatment data w@ compared to solvent control data for this endpoint.

^b Significantly reduced compared to the solvent control based on Dunnett's Test

Conclusion:

Based on the nominal concentrations of applied test substance and midge development rate (male/female combined), the 28d-NOEC was established to be 50 mg/kg/dry weight. Since no concentration tested resulted in \geq 50% inhibition of midge emergence of development rate, the

28-day EC_{50} values were empirically estimated to be > 100 mg/kg dry weight, the highest concentration tested.

 Report:
 KCA 8 2 5.4/04
 ,; 2006; M-266605-05-1

 Title:
 Chironomus siparius 28-day chronic toxicity test with JAU 6476-S-methyl in a water sediment system using spiked water

 Report No.:
 EBJAX303

 Document No.:
 M-266605-01-b

 Guideline deviation S:
 OECP Guideline 219; "Sediment-Water Chronomid Toxicity Test Using Spiked Water" (adopted 13 April 2004)

 Guideline deviation S:
 none

 GLP/GEP:
 yes

Objective: 🔌

The aim of the study was to determine the influence of JAU 6476-S-methyl on the development and emergence of *Chironomus ruparius* for 28 days in a static water-sediment-system (spiked water exposure), expressed as NOFC, LOEC and ECX for emergence ratio and development rate.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JA6 6476-5-metbyl (metabolite of JAU 6476); Batch No. HUPP0658-MP, Purity of 98.9%.

The test was conducting according to the OECD Guideline 219 for spiked-water tests. First instar of *Chirotomus oparius* larvae were installed in 4 beakers per test concentration and control with 20 animals each. Larvae were exposed in a static test system for 28 days to nominal concentrations in the overlying medium (spiked water application) of 0.001, 0.01, 0.10, 1.00 and 10.0 mg metabolite/L. In addition, an untreated control and a solvent control (dimethylformamide; DMF) were tested. Recoveries of JAU 6476-S-methyl were measured three times during the study: 1 hour, 7 days and 28 days after application, in one additional test container of each nominal initial test concentrations of



0.001, 0.10 and 10.0 mg metabolite/L and control (only on day 0) of the overlying water and the pore water of the sediment.

Water quality parameters were measured in several beakers of each test concentration over the whole period of testing. Dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged in the water phase from 8.0 to 9.3 Mg O₂(J_{2}), the water pH values ranged from 8.4 to 8.7 and the water temperature ranged from 20.0°C to 20.3 ©

Findings:

Validity criteria:

Test conditions met all validity criteria, given by the mentioned guideline OECI validity criteria were also met.

Analytical results:

Chemical analysis of overlying water and portwater over time reflected expected aquatic fate data with high recoveries of 92% to 100% for test conceptration of 0.001 and 0.10 mg meaboliter L at the beginning of the exposure period in the overlying water. For the highest test concentration of 1000 mg metabolite/L, only 68% of nominal was found on Day 0. The relatively dow resovery for the Dighest concentration is related to the water whull the under exposure conditions. The mean recovery on Day 0 was 86.6% of nominal, which is within the acceptable range of \pm 20%. Therefore, all reported results were based on nominal concentrations

Biological results: One dead pupae and one wot fully emerged notice out of 80 inserted large were observed in the test concentration of 0.1 mg/metabolite/L@

Emergence started on Days 14 15 for the control and test concentrations from 0.001 to 1.00 mg metaboliter. The start of emergence was delayed for four days at the highest test concentration of 10.0 mg metabolited 89.4% of the inserted (1=160) Tarvae maturated to adults in the controls (control and solvent control pooled) after 28 days, fulfilling the guideline requirements.

Nominal concentration &	Number of emerged midges	Emerger	ice of inserte	d larvae	Development Rate
(overlying water) [®] mg p.m.仏		total \$\langle \langle \langl	made S(%)	female (%)	(pooled sex)(1 / d)
Control (pooled)	Qr43 ~Q	£ 89.4°	\$58.8	30.6	0.060
0.0001	\$ 65 × 65	ປ້ 8 <u>ໄ</u> . ສັ	53.8	27.5	0.058
09.01	55 ~	6 8.8 ~	46.3	22.5	0.059
_∡ ≪0.10		Ø83.7 X	46.2	37.5	0.060
1.00	6 ⁷ 54 6 ⁷	^{~%} 67,© [™]	41.3	26.2	0.056
10.0	5	63	5.0	1.3	0.050
n m = nure metabol	ite X	~~~			

Emergence and the development rate of Chirofomus riparius after 28 days of Table CA 8, 2.5.4-2: exposuce to JAC 6476-S-methyl

For further statistical analyses of emergence male and female results were pooled to increase the statistical power. Statistically significant effects (p < 0.05) were observed on the emergence ratio and on the development rate of males (and of pooled population: male and females) exposed to 1.00 mg metabolite/L (= LOEC), resulting in a NOEC of 0.10 mg metabolite/L. For the development rate of females, the LOEC was 10.0 mg metabolite/L, resulting in an NOEC of 1.00 mg metabolite/L.

ECx values were determined based on nominal concentrations of JAU 6476-S-methyl/L in the overlying water and are as follows:

Table CA 8.2.5.4- 3:	EC15 and EC50 values for emergence ratio and develo	pmentrate
		A ~

seudokirchneriella subcapitara: growth

Endpoints	EC ₁₅	EC ₅₀
emergence ratio (pooled sex)	0.126	المع المع المع المع المع المع المع المع
development rate (pooled sex)	8.66	> 10.000

Further ECx values (x = 1-99) are listed in Appendix of the study

Conclusion:

ater and midge development Based on the nominal concentrations of JAL 6476 metle rate (male/female combined), the 28d-NØEC was establishe 01 mg.metabolite/L weight and the d to be 28d-LOEC was established to be 1 mg metabolite

CA 8.2.6 Effects on algal growth:

een älgae CA 8.2.6.1 Effects on

KČA 8.2.6.1/0

riazolylketone

Report:

Title:

Report No .: Document No .: Guideline(s):

MAX304 266\$67-01-Draft Proposal for Updating OECD Guideline 201: "Freshwater Alga and Inhibition Fest October 22, 2004)

inhibition test with prothioconazole-

Guideline deviation(s): GLP/GER?

Objective:

the influence of prothio conazole-triazolylketone on exponentially wasto deten The aim of the study copress as NOEC, LOEC and ECx for growth rate of algal growing Peudokirchnertella sub stata biomass.

Materials and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole tu 6476-triazolylketone), Purity of 99.5%, Batch No. HSRM 595.

The test was conducting according to the OECD Guideline 201. Peudokirchneriella subcapitata (freshwater microalgae, Dirmerly known as Selenastrum capricornutum) was exposed in a chronic multigeneration fest for 3 day Ounder static exposure conditions to the nominal concentrations of 0.954, 3.05, 9.97, 313 and 100 mg pure metabolite/L, in comparison to a negative control. Concentrations of prothioconazole-triazoly ketone were measured in all treatment groups and in the control on Day 0 and Day 3 of the exposure period.

Water quality parameters were regularly monitored during the test. The pH values ranged from 7.9 to 8.4 in the controls and the incubation temperature ranged from 22.2°C to 23.5°C (measured in an



Page 47 of 86 2015-12-16

additional incubated glass vessel) over the whole period of testing at a continuous illumination of 7,929 lux. L'I BAN TO BAN

Findings:

Validity criteria:

All validity criteria given by the mentioned guideline were met.

Analytical results:

Ö V Concentrations of prothioconazole-triazolylketone in the treatment lovels at Day Were 90 to 100% of targeted nominal values (average 101%). On Day 3, concentration of 97 to 109% of nominal Caverage 102%) were found. Therefore, all reported results are based on nonminal concentrations of the pare metabolite.

Biological results:

Biomass increased in the control by a factor of 23.6 within & days? The growth tate in controls was homogenous along the study duration and among replicates. Similar results were observed for every tested concentration. Algae growth pattern and biomass after 72 hour of exposure were as follows:

	72 h 🚕 🍾			
Nominal	Cell Number	(0-72h)-Average	Inhibition of	Doubling Time of
Concentration [mg metabolite/L]	mean per mL	Specific Growth	Average Specific	✓ Algae Cells [days]
control	236268	A 054		0.658
0.954	252980	A A1.0775	-2.2	0.644
3.05	266,70 ≪	J 👡 1.099 🤇	-3.40	0.636
9.77	245920%	1.067 ~	~-¥Ĵ	0.650
31.3	^ب ر 233780 م	¥ 21.049 O	<u>1</u> 0.4	0.661
100 0	22300	1.032	≪ 2.0	0.671

of prothioconazole triazoviketone on *Recudorachneriella subcapitata* after Table CA 8.2.6.1-1: Effe

Test initiation with 10,000 cells/mb -% inhibition: increase in growth elative to the control

Õ Based on these results, the NOE for the Average Growth Rate (0 - 72 h) was empirically estimated to ErC_{50} were empirically estimated to be > 100 mg be $\geq 100 \text{ mg metabolice}$ metabolite/L

Conclusion:

Prothioconazole-triagelylketone has no significant toxic effects on the green alga Peudokirchneriella subsapitata at concentrations up to 100 rog metabolite/L. The 72-hour E_rC_{50} was > 100 mg metabolite/L.

CA 8.2.6.2 on growth of an additional algal species Effects

Report:	,; ; ; ; ; 2004; M-000954-01-1
Title: 5	Toxicity of JAU 6476 technical to the saltwater diatom Skeletonema costatum
Report No.:	200434
Document No.:	°M-000954-01-1
Guideline(s):	USEPA Guideline 123-2, Growth and Reproduction of Aquatic Plants (Tier 2)
Guideline deviation(s):	none
GLP/GEP:	yes



Objective:

A static 96-hour algal growth test was conducted to determine the effects of prothioconazole (JAU 476 technical) to the saltwater diatom *Skeletonema costatum*. The primary objective of this growth study was to estimate the fifty percent effective concentration (ErC_{50}), which represents the concentration that produces a fifty percent reduction in growth. A secondary objective was to determine the no-observed-effect-concentration (NOEC), which equals the lowest concentration without a statistically significant (p > 0.05) reduction from the control for the measured parameters. The response parameters used in this study were cell density (standing crop), cumulative biomass, and growth rate. The variable used to calculate the response parameters was cell density based on daily cell counts.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 technical, Batch No. 6233/0031, Purity 998

The test was conducting according to the E1FRA Guideline 123 2 Skeletonema costatium was exposed under static conditions (shaken cultures) for 96 hours to the following nominal concentrations: 3, 7.7, 19.2, 48.0 and 120 µg a.s./L. A water control and a solvent (acetore) control were also implemented. Samples of test solutions, control and solvent control, were taken on Day 0 and Day 4 to measure actual exposure concentrations.

Each replicate was inoculated with *Skeletonema costdum* certs at a hominal density of 10,000 cells/mL. Three replicate vessels were prepared for each concentration and used to determine daily cell density. An array of cool white fluorescent lights produced a 16 hours of illumination and a light intensity of approximately 392 foot-candles (4.2 klbx). Water quality parameters were monitored regularly along the test. The test temperature ranged from 19.3 to 203° C mean 19.8° C), as recorded hourly by the datalogger. The pH measurements canged from 79 to 88° for all test levels during the exposure period. The salinity was maintained at 26 ppt.

Findings:

Validity Craeria:

The Guideline used as reference does not state validity criteria. No significant deviations to the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed valid.

Analytical result

The measured concentrations of JAU 6476 on Day 0 were 3.00, 7.30, 17.5, 46.8, and 117 μ g a.s./L, which represented 91 to 98% of the noninal test concentrations. The test compound dissipated rapidly from the test. Indeed, measured concentrations of JAU 6476 on Day 4 were 0.7, 1.66, 7.85, 22.1 and 98.8 μ g a.s./L, which represented 22 to 82% of noninal concentrations. No undissolved test substance was visually observed in the test vessel throughout the test period. All results refer to the initially measured concentrations?

Biological results:

No physical abnormalities were observed in the controls or treatment groups during the study. Biomass increased exponentially in the control by a factor of 118 within 3 days. Algae growth pattern and biomass after 72 and 96 hours of exposure were as follows:



Table CA 8.2.6.2-1: 72-hour and 96-hour cell density, cumulative biomass and growth rate during the exposure of Skeletonema costatum to JAU 6476 (technical) Ø

							Æ,
Initial measured concentration [ug a.s./L]	Mean density [cells/mL x 10 ⁴]	Percent (%) inhibition ^{a)}	Mean calculated cumulative biomass ^{b)}	Percent (%) inhibition _{a)}	Negan Growth rate	Persent (%) inhibition	ð" Fa
			72-hour	ч С	Ú ×		
Control ^{d)}	118.1	-		- 07	- 2	S - N	.C
Solvent control	141.2	-	- **	- Q	- 0	Z - Z	Å
Pooled control	132.0	-	2667,6	-2	0.06761		, U V
3.0	128.2	3	2496.4	Ŕ	° 0. 6 6740 <u>(</u>	C_0	ŗ
7.3	139.5	-6	2 579.2	~y3_@*	0.96848O″	\$ -1 \$	
17.5	67.8*	49	1270,4*	<i>©</i> 52 <i>, ∕</i>	_@0.058 #§ * ∝	145	
46.8	8.6*	93	190.4* 😒	95	0.02930*	× 456	
117.0	0.9*	99	\$9.2* O	100 C	-0.00443	^ » 00 کر	
		, C	96-hour	A. A	St		
Control ^{d)}	195.4	- 4	<u> </u>	2 - X			
Solvent control	219.3	Q. U.K.				Q	
Pooled control	209.7		\$ 67 44.0		695549	_Ô	
3.0	207.8		6504.0	م م م	C0.05530	0	
7.3	203.5		a 667 <u>2</u> 20		0.05532	1	
17.5	164.4 🔍	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	40 393 .2* √		0.093310	5	
46.8	23.9* 🔊	& 89 Š	£556.8*	√92 ×	003232*	42	
117.0	1.1*©	ଠ <i>ଁ</i> 99 ଚ	-2.8*	100	0.00068*	99	

* Statistically significant from control (Dunnett's the tailed test $p \le 0.05$) K,

^a % Inhibition=100-((Treatment group, frean density/p@led captrol mean density)*100

"Replicate A was excluded from the cell density data "Replicate A was excluded from calculations due to reduced growth ECso, NOEC, LOEG, and TEC values were determined for each endpoint based on these results, and were as follows:



Table CA 8.2.6.2-2: Toxicity of JAU 6476 (technical) to Skeletonema costatum

Test objectSkeletonema costatumExposure96 hour, staticEndpoint description:96 hour, static96-h EC_{50} - cell density25.6 μ ca.s./L96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass20.1 μ g a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - growth rate20.1 μ g a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - growth rate49.9 μ g a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - cell density16.7 \Box 19.4 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cell density17.1 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect468 μ g a.g./L (growth rate)96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect468 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect468 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect47.5 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect17.5 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect17.3 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)	Test objectSkeletonema costatumExposure96 hour, static Endpoint description:Endpoint: 96-h EC ₅₀ - cell density25.6 μ ca.s./L96-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative biomass20.1 μ ca.s./L96-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative biomass20.1 μ ca.s./L96-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate49.9 μ ga.s./L96-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative biomass100 μ ga.s./L96-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate49.9 μ ga.s./L72-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative biomass100 μ ga.s./L72-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative biomass17.1 μ ga.s./L72-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate45.6 μ ga.s./L72-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate45.6 μ ga.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect46.8 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration with an effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without roxic effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without roxic effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without roxic effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without roxic effect17.5 μ ga.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without roxic effect17.5	Test substance	JAU 6476			
Exposure96 hour, static95% Confidence Interval:Endpoint description:Endpoint:95% Confidence Interval:96-h EC_{50} - cell density $25.6 \ \mu c a.s./L$ $23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $20.1 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - growth rate $49.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.5 \ s.4.2 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - growth rate $49.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.5 \ s.4.2 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $17.1 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $16.7 \ 0.19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - growth rate $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $157 - 184 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $45.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 44.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $45.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 44.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 44.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 44.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 44.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 44.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$	Exposure96 hour, staticEndpoint description:Endpoint:96-h EC_{50} - cell density $25.6 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $25.6 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $20.1 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - growth rate $49.9 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cell density $45.5 \ s.4.2 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 97-h EC_{50} - cell density $10.0 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $10.0 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $17.1 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - growth rate $45.6 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect $46.8 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) $43.6 \ -4.0 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 96-h Highest Concentration with an effect $46.8 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration with an effect $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration with an effect $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ $72-h$ Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ $72-h$ Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.5 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ (NOE_rC) $17.3 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ $72-h$ Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ $72-h$ Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu c.a.s./L$ $73-\mu c.a.s./L$ <td< td=""><td>Test object</td><td>Skeletonema costatu</td><td>ım</td><td>~</td><td>5 0</td></td<>	Test object	Skeletonema costatu	ım	~	5 0
Endpoint description:Endpoint:95% Confidence Interval:96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass25.6 μ g.a.s./L23.6 - 27.6 μ g.a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass20.1 μ g.a.s./L19.0 - 21.2 μ g.a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - growth rate49.9 μ g.a.s./L45.5 $-34.2 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass18.0 μ g.a.s./L16.7 $-19.4 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass17.1 μ g.a.s./L15.7 $-18 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g.a.s./L15.7 $-18 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)48 μ g.a.s./L43.6 $-4 \ 0 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)17.5 μ g.a.s./L43.6 $-4 \ 0 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate17.5 μ g.a.s./L45.6 μ g.a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.5 μ g.a.s./L43.6 $-4 \ 0 \ \mu$ g.a.s./L72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)17.5 μ g.a.s./L45.6 μ g.a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.5 μ g.a.s./L45.6 μ g.a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.5 μ g.a.s./L45.6 μ g.a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.3 μ g.a.s./L45.6 μ g.a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.3 μ g.a.s./L45.6 μ g.a.s./L	Endpoint description:Endpoint:95% Confidence Interval:96-h EC_{50} - cell density $25.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $20.1 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - growth rate $49.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.5 \ s.34.2 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - cell density $16.7 \ 0.19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $16.7 \ 0.19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - growth rate $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $16.7 \ 0.19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) $17.5 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 73 htga.s./L derowth rate (DOErC) $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 74.6 \ \mu g a.s./L $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 74.7 \ \mu g a.s./L $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 75.7 \ \mu g	Exposure	96 hour, static			
96-h EC_{50} - cell density $25.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $20.1 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $19.0 - 212 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - growth rate $49.9 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.5 - 54.2 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $100 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - growth rate $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $16.70 \ 19.4 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ (LOE _r C) $45.5 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 96-h Highest Concentration with an effect $46.8 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ (LOE _r C) $45.5 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect $45.8 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $100 \ rcc$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 96-h Highest Concentration with an effect $45.8 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.9 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 72 -h Lowest Concentration with an effect $45.9 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.9 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu ga.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration with an effect $175 \ \mu ga.s./L$ $47.3 \ \mu ca.s./L$ $47.3 \ \mu ca.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $47.3 \ \mu ca.s./L$ $47.3 \ \mu ca.s./L$ $47.3 \ \mu ca.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $7.3 \ \mu ca.s./L$ $47.4 \ \mu ca.s./L$ $47.4 \ \mu ca.s./L$ <td>96-h EC_{50} - cell density$25.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass$20.1 \ \mu g a.s./L$$19.0 - 202 \ \mu g a.s./L$96-h EC_{50} - growth rate$49.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.5 \ 54.2 \ \mu g a.s./L$72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass$10.7 \ 19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$$16.7 \ 19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$72-h EC_{50} - growth rate$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$157 - 18.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect$45.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$$43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu g a.s./L(LOE_rC)96$-h Highest Concentration with an effect$46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$$43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Lowest Concentration with an effect$45.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$(LOE_rC)$$72$-h Highest Concentration with an effect$45.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Lowest Concentration with an effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$$72$-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect$17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$$45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$</td> <td>Endpoint description:</td> <td></td> <td>Endpoint:</td> <td>95% Confide</td> <td>hce</td>	96-h EC_{50} - cell density $25.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $23.6 - 27.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $20.1 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $19.0 - 202 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h EC_{50} - growth rate $49.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.5 \ 54.2 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass $10.7 \ 19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $16.7 \ 19.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72-h EC_{50} - growth rate $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $157 - 18.4 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect $45.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ (LOE _r C) 96 -h Highest Concentration with an effect $46.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $43.6 - 47.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Lowest Concentration with an effect $45.8 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ (LOE_rC) 72 -h Highest Concentration with an effect $45.9 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Lowest Concentration with an effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$ 72 -h Highest Concentration without toxic effect $17.3 \ \mu g a.s./L$ $45.6 \ \mu g a.s./L$	Endpoint description:		Endpoint:	95% Confide	hce
96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass20.1 kg a.s./L19.0 - 212 µg a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - growth rate49.9 µg a.s./L45.5 -54.2 µg a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass17.1 µg a.s./L16.70 19.4 µg a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 µg a.s./L157 - 18 4µg a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect45.6 µg a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 µg a.s./L(LOE _r C)46.8 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration with an effect46.8 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L(LOE _r C)72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect46.8 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L(LOE _r C)72-h Highest Concentration with an effect17.5 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L(LOE _r C)72-h Lowest Concentration without toxic effect17.5 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L(LOE _r C)72-h Lowest Concentration without poxic effect17.5 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L(NOE _r C)72-h Highest Concentration without poxic effect17.5 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L(NOE _r C)72-h Highest Concentration without poxic effect17.5 µg a.s./L45.6 µg a.s./L	96-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass20.1 kg a.s./L19.0 - 212 µg a.s./L96-h EC_{50} - growth rate49.9 µg a.s./L45.5 $\sqrt{34.2}$ µg a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass10.0 µg a.s./L16.70 19.4 µg a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate10.0 µg a.s./L16.70 19.4 µg a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect45.6 µg a.s./L43.6 - 44.6 µg a.s./L(LOE_rC)46.8 µg a.s./L43.6 - 44.6 µg a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 µg a.s./L43.6 - 44.6 µg a.s./L(LOE_rC)72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect46.8 µg a.s./L46.8 µg a.s./L(LOE_rC)11.5 µg a.s./L16.70 19.4 µg a.s./L43.6 - 44.6 µg a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect45.8 µg a.s./L43.6 - 44.6 µg a.s./L(LOE_rC)11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L(NOE_rC)11.5 µg a.s./L12.6 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L73 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L74.6 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L75.7 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L74.6 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L75.7 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L76.7 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s./L11.5 µg a.s	96-h EC50 - cell density		25.6 μĝ/a.s./L	23.6 - 27.6 µg	a.s./12 .
96-h EC_{50} - growth rate49.9 μ g a.s./L45.5 $\sqrt{34.2} \mu$ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass16.0 μ g a.s./L16.70 19.4 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L157 - 18 4 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L16.70 19.4 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L16.70 19.4 μ g a.s./L97-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)45.6 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)45.9 μ g a.s./L47.5 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L47.5 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L47.5 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)47.5 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)47.5 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L	96-h EC_{50} - growth rate49.9 μ g a.s./L45.5 $\sqrt{34.2} \mu$ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass100 μ g a.s./L16.70 19.4 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L15.7 - 18 4 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect46.8 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L(LOE_rC)46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L(NOE_rC)47.1 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect46.8 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L(LOE_rC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L(LOE_rC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L(LOE_rC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L73-h Growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L74-h Growth rate46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L	96-h EC50 - cumulative bior	nass	20.1 pg a.s./L	² 19.0 - 21 ² μg	agyl v
72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass100 μ g a.s./L16.7 0 19.4 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L157 - 184 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)47.5 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)47.5 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)47.3 μ g a.s./L47.6 μ g a.s./L	72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass100 μ g a.s./L16.7019.4 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate120 μ g a.s./L157 - 184 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)45.6 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)155 μ g a.s./L16.7019.4 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)17.5 μ g a.s./L16.7019.4 μ g a.s./L97-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (LOErC)17.5 μ g a.s./L16.7019.4 μ g a.s./L97-h Lowest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)16.7019.4 μ g a.s./L19.40097-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40097-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40097-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40097-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40098-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40098-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40099-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.40019.40099-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)19.400	96-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate		49,9 μg a.s./L	🚿 45.5 📢 45.2 μg	a.s./L (
72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass17.1 μ g a.s./L157 - 184 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L43.6 - 47.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L45.6 μ g a.s./L72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)46.8 μ g a.s./L46.8 μ g a.s./L72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)17.5 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.5 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.3 μ g a.s./L (growth rate)	72-h EC_{50} - growth rate157 - 18 4 μ g a.s./L72-h EC_{50} - growth rate45.6 μ g a.s./L96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)468 μ g a.s./L96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (LOErC)468 μ g a.s./L72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)47.5 μ g a.s./L72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC)47.5 μ g a.s./L72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)17.3 μ g a.s./L	72-h EC ₅₀ - cell density		1. 6 /0 μg a.s./L	16.7©19.4 µg	a.s./
72-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate 43.6 - 47.6 μg a.S./L 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 46.8 μg a.S./L (growth rate) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 46.8 μg a.S./L (growth rate) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 45.6 μg a.S./L (growth rate) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 17.5 μg a.S./L (growth rate) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 17.5 μg a.S./L (growth rate)	72-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate 45.6 μg a.s. 43.6 - 47.6 μg a.g./L 96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 46.8 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 46.8 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 47.5 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 47.5 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 47.5 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 47.5 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 47.3 μg a.g./L (growth rate) 47.3 μg a.g./L (growth rate)	72-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative bior	nass	T7.1 μg a.s./L	ي 157 - 18 🗐 μg	a.s./L
96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without poxic effect (NOE _r C)	96-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	72-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate	Q	🕽 45.6 µg a.s. 🗘 🛛 🔩	43.6 - 4√.6 μg	a.s./L
(LOE _r C) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	(LOE _r C) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	96-h Lowest Concentration	with an effect 🛛 🌾		th rate	
96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without foxic effect (NOE _r C)	96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration without toxic effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	(LOE_rC)	0″	175 μg a.s./L (grow	thate)	4
(NOErC) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOErC) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOErC)	(NOE _r C) 72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	96-h Highest Concentration	without toxic effect			
72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without Oxic effect (NOE _r C)	72-h Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	(NOE _r C)				
(LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOE _r C)	(LOE _r C) 72-h Highest Concentration without texic effect (NOE _r C)	72-h Lowest Concentration	with an effect y the second		th rate	
72-h Highest Concentration without oxic effect (NOE _r C)	72-h Highest Concentration without exic effect	(LOE_rC)	Q vy	1.3 μg a.s./L (grow	theate)	0
$(NOE_rC) \qquad \qquad$	$(NOE_rC) \qquad \qquad$	72-h Highest Concentration	without toxic effect			<u>Q</u>
		(NOE _r C)				× V

Conclusion:

Skeletonema costatum were exposed under static conditions for 96 hours to IAU 6476 (technical). The 72-hour E_rC_{50} value was 45.6 µg a.s./L (95% confidence interval: 43.6 – 47.6 µg a.s./L) and the 96-hour E_rC_{50} value was 49.9 µg a.s./L (95% confidence interval: 43.6 – 47.6 µg a.s./L) based on initially measured test concentrations.

The 72-hour NOB_rC and LOE_rS were 17.5 and 46.8 μ g a.s. \hat{H} , respectively. The 96-hour NOE_rC and LOP_rC were 7.3 and 17.5 μ g a S/L, respectively.

, Ô	
R.Y.	
Report:	,; 2004 ; M-001064-01-1
Title:	Toxicit of JAU 6476 technical to the beshwater diatom Navicula pelliculosa
Report No.:	20025 0 x x
Document No	~ ⁰ [*] M-001064+01-1 ~ ⁰
Guideline(s):	: STM: Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-h Toxicity Tests with
A	Microalgae; E1218; 1997.
la l	Sector State Constraint State and St
~	Nontarget Plants; EPA-5409-82-020; 1982.
\sim	- QS EPA, Short Q erm Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents
	and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms; EPA 600/4-89/001; 1985.
S.	🔥 US PA; Standard Qaluation Procedure, Non-Target Plants: Growth and
, Ó ^y	Reproduction of Aquatic Plants - Tiers 1 and 2; EPA-540/9-86-134; 1986.
Guideline deviation	((s): pône 🔬 🔊
GLP/GE	ý Čýes 🔊
19 D	
Ohiective:	

A static 96 hour growth test was conducted to determine the effects of prothioconazole (JAU 6476 technica) to the freshwater diatom *Navicula pelliculosa*. The objective of this growth study was to estimate the fifty percent effective concentration (EC_{50}), which represents the concentration that produces a fifty percent reduction in growth. The response parameters used in this study were standing



crop (cell density), cumulative biomass, and growth rate. The variable used to calculate the response parameters was cell density based on daily cell counts.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 technical (prothioconazole), Batch No. 6233/0031, Purity of 97.5%.

The test was conducting according to the Guidelines EPA-540/9-82-020, EPA 600/4-89/004, EPA 540/9-86-134 and ASTM Standard E1218. *Navicula petitculosa* was exposed under static conditions (shaken cultures) for 96 hours to the following nominal concentrations: 26, 64, 160, 400 and 1000 mg a.s./L. A water control and a solvent (acetone) control were also implemented. Samples of test solutions, control and solvent control were taken on Day 0 and Day 4 to measure actual exposure concentrations. Each replicate was inoculated with *Navicula pelliculosa* cells at a nominal density of 10,000 cells mL. Four replicate vessels were prepared for each concentration and used to determine daily cell density. An array of cool white fluorescent lights produced 24-flour illumination and a light intensity of approximately 412 foot-candles (4.4 klux).

ranged from 24.4 to 25.3 °C (mean = 24.9 °C); as recorded hourly by the datalogget. The pH measurements ranged from 6.5 to 3.5 for all test levels during the exposure period. The conductivity ranged from 127 to 139 µmhos/gm.

Results:

Validity Criteria:

The Guideline used as reference does not state validity criteria. No significant deviations to the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed valid.

Analytical results.

Measured concentrations of QAU 6476 on Day 6 were Control (<2.6), Solvent Control (<2.6), 23.5, 56.6, 146.3, 356.4 and 889.5 mg &s./L, which represented 89 to 91% of the nominal test concentrations. The test compound rapidly distipated from the test water. Indeed, measured concentrations of JAU 6476 on Day 4 were <LOC, 8.3, 34.8, and 2062, and 720.7 μ g a.s/L, which represented 0 to 72% of the nominal test concentrations. No undissolved test substance was visually observed in the test vessels throughout the test period. All results refer to the initially measured concentrations.

Biological results:

No physical abnormalities were observed in the controls or treatment groups during the study. Biomass increased exponentially in the control by a factor of 109 within 3 days. Algae growth pattern and biomass after 12 and 96 hout of exposure were as follows?

after \$2 and 96 hour of exposure were as follows?

Ò



Table CA 8.2.6.2-3: 72-hour and 96-hour cell density, cumulative biomass and growth rate during the exposure of Navicula pelliculosa to JAU 6476 (technical) a.

	-			,	,	
Initial measured concentration [µg a.s./L]	Mean density [cells/mL x 10 ⁴]	Percent (%) inhibition ^{a)}	Mean calculated cumulative biomass ^{b)}	Percent (%) inhibition _{a)}	Mean Growth rate	Percent
			72-hour			
Pooled control ^{d)}	108.7	-	1584.6 💭	-	0.06507	<u> </u>
23.5	94.9 ^(*)	13	1347.6 ^(*)	15 Q	0.06319	3 4
56.6	88.2*	19	1220	23 ^O '	0.06220(*)	
146.3	51.4*	53	718.2*		• 0.05450* "	° Q6 (Q'
356.4	9.9*	91	101 .2*	~90	0.93176*	õ 51 🔊
889.5	0.38*	100	-24.9* 。	102×	<i>₀</i> 0.014 ≈2 * .	≪° 123§
			96-hogr 💉		à Â ,	4
Pooled control ^{d)}	206.4	4	5341.8	Q C	0.5547	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
3.0	170.2*	18 🔊	°4503.6*	× 16 A	Ø 05349 ^(*)	4
7.3	182.8*	11	s, √4448 <i>7</i> ,¥	L 17	\$0.0542 ⁴ (*)	
17.5	142.4*	A C	✓ 3019.2*		0.0\$460* 🖉	* Q
46.8	53.2*		*8\$4.1*	~ 83 ~	0.04107.5	<i>26</i>
117.0	0.13*	Q [*] 100,	-42.9*	× 101	0.022#8 [*]	140

ð

* Statistically significant from controls (Dynnett's one-tailed test p# 0.05)

(*) Statistically significant from Controls (Dunnett's one Tailed 19st; p #005), but determined not to be biologically significantly different

biologically significantly different () a % Inhibition=100-((Treatmont group mean parameter/pooled control mean parameter)*100).

^b Cumulative biomass is equal to the area under the growth curve?

^c Growth rate is calculated from the cell density data.

^d Two-tailed planned comparison t-test indicated that the control and solven control groups could be pooled. Comparisons were made to the pool controls.

 EC_{50} values were determined for each endpoint based on these results, and were as follows:

Ro	•		4		~
Table CA 8006 7 1.	— То	vioitwoff	IATEA76	(toohnigo) to	Navioula molliouloga
1 abic CA 0.2.0.2-4.	¥ V		AU 0 + 70	(usumical) to	
	.~	<u>.</u>	1/12 -	()	

Test Substance 🖉 🖉 JAU 647.60 💞 💭)`
Test object of A Ravicultupellicitiosa	
Exposure of A & 96 hours, static O	
	95% Confidence Interval
Endpoint description: C C Lindpoint (ug a.s./L):	(µg a.s./L):
96-h ECA - cell density \heartsuit \diamondsuit \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	193.1 - 237.0
96-h E@o - cumulativ@biomass @ 163	152.6 - 175.1
96-h BC ₅₀ - growth rate 395.3 0	317.4 - 473.2
72 M/EC ₅₀ - cell depsity 3	124.7 - 147.8
72^{2} h EC ₅₀ - cumulative 60^{2} mass 1^{2} 128.60^{2}	115.9 - 141.4
72-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate 3545	331.5 - 377.9

Conclusion

~Ç Navicula pellicupsa were exposed to JAU 6476 technical for 96 hours under static conditions. The 72 Four EC 50 value was 354.7 µg a.s./L (95% confidence interval: 331.5 - 377.9 µg a.s./L) and the 96-hour Erc value was 395.3 μg a.s./L (95% confidence interval: 317.4 – 473.2 μg a.s./L).



Document MCA:	Section 8	Ecotoxicological	studies
Prothioconazole			

Report:	КСА 8.2.6.2/03 Т;	;	; 20	004; M-000348-0	1-
	1				1 2
Title:	Toxicity of JAU 6476 technical	to the blue-gree	en alga Anabaena	flos-aquae 🔊	
Report No.:	200497		~	Ś	"0"
Document No.:	M-000348-01-1				٥)
Guideline(s):	USEPA Guideline 123-2, Growth	and Reproduct	tion of Aquatic Pla	ants (Tier 2) 🔌	Ť
Guideline deviation(s):	none	-	4	54 -54 -	Ò
GLP/GEP:	yes	A .	stor "		N.
		()			s a

Objective:

A static 4-day algal growth test was conducted to determine the effects of prothioconazol (JAU 6476 technical) to the blue-green alga *Anabaena flos aquae*. The primar cobjective of this growth struct was to estimate the fifty percent effective concentration (EC₅₀), which represents the concentration that produces a fifty percent reduction in growth. A secondary objective was to determine the ho-observedeffect-concentration (NOEC). The response parameters used in this study were cell density (standing crop), cumulative biomass, and growth rate. The variable used to calculate the response parameters was cell density based on daily cell counts.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 technical (prothioconazolo), Bater No. 6233/0031, Pupity of 98.2%.

The test was conducting according to the EIFRA Guideline 123-2. Anabaena flos-aquae were exposed under static conditions (shaken cultures) for 96 hours to the following nominal concentrations: 0.02, 0.08, 0.27, 0.90, 3.00 and 10.00 mg a.s./L. & water control and a solvent (acetone) control were also implemented. Samples of test solutions, control and solvent control, were taken on Day 0 and Day 4 to measure actual exposure concentrations

Three replicate vessels were prepared for each concentration and used to determine daily cell density. Each replicate was ineculated with *Mabachu flos aquae* cells at a nominal density of 10,000 cells/mL. Testing was conducted in an environmental chamber which was programmed to maintain a test temperature of 24 ± 2.0 C and 224 hour light photoperiod. Flight intensity of approximately 200 foot-candles (2.2 klux) was maintained. Water quality parameters were regularly monitored along the test. The actual test temperature during the 4-day exposure ranged from 24.1 to 25.2°C (mean = 24.6°C), as recorded hourly by the datalogger. The pH measurements ranged from 7.3 to 8.7 for all test levels during the exposure period. The conductivity measurements ranged from 86.9 to 99.5 µmhos/cm.

Each day, cell density was determined in the three replicates at each test concentration using a light microscope and an improved Neubauer fraemery tometer. The growth rate was analysed by comparing the change in cell density from Day 0 to Day 3 or 4. The cumulative biomass, or area under the growth curve, was determined by plotting the daily cell density from Day 0 to Day 3 or 4.

Findings:

Validity Criteria

The Guideline used as ofference does not state validity criteria. No significant deviations to the Guideline recommendations occurred, so that the study is deemed valid.

Analytical results:

The measured concentrations of JAU 6476 on Day 0 were 0.02, 0.08, 0.22, 0.82, 2.97, and 9.12 mga.s./L, which represented 81 to 103% of the nominal test concentrations. The test compound was stable in the test system for all but the 0.02, 0.08 and 0.27 mg a.s./L test levels. Therefore, all results refer to these initially measured concentrations. The measured concentrations of JAU 6476 on Day 4



were 0.01, 0.05, 0.19, 0.86, 2.81, and 9.32 mg a.s./L, which represented 58 to 96% of the nominal test concentrations. No undissolved test substance was visually observed in the test vessels throughout the test period.

Biological results:

Biological results: No physical abnormalities were observed in the controls or treatment groups during the sordy. Bomasso increased exponentially in the control by a factor of 48 within 3 days. Algae growth pattern and biomass after 72 and 96 hour of exposure were as follows: Ż

L

			40		, (9	
Initial measured concentration [mg a.s./L]	Mean density [cells/mL x 10 ⁴]	Percent (%) inhibition	Mean calculated cumulative biomass ²	Percent (%) inhibition	Mean Growth rates	Percent
			72-hour	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Control	48.1	Q		V L (<u> </u>
Solvent control	14.9		×\$\$2.8 <		£003227	<u> </u>
0.02	23.3	Q-56.4	468.	×-32.70	0.04008	-24.2
0.08	18.6	-24.8	~ 389QZ (-1058	o 0. 030 79 🔬	4.6
0.22	42.1	-1183	719.2 🗸	-103.3	0.05119	-58.6
0.82	22.7 📎	& ₇ 52.3 ℃	A30.0	^\$21.9 °>> [™]	s @ .04295	-33.1
2.97	14.0**	0 6.0 °	360.4**	-2.2	0.03642	-12.9
9.12	6.3**	57.7	\$`186@ * *	4 7.3 '	[√] 0.02470**	23.5
			96-bour 🔊	or y		
Control	74. <u>]</u>	- Z	ని - స్	0, -	-	-
Solvent control	Š 830 Č				~ -	-
Pooled control	/ Z\$.8		× 19601.0 ~~		0.04602	-
0.02	\$83.7 0	Q6.2 💞	2728.0 ^O	<u>49.1</u>	0.04601	-1.6
0.08	¹⁰ 93.4 ¹	© -18, 5	<u>مَحْمَ</u> 1708	10.16	0.04708	-3.9
0.22	92.4	-1703	2306.8	-213	0.04693	-3.6
<u>2682</u>	<u>4.2</u>	*\$.8	68.4 🗸	<u></u> ©7.5	0.04480	1.1
2.97	\$0.6*	≪35.8	¥1116	A ⁷ 41.5	0.04074*	10.0
9.12	SF 6.8 [∗]	<u>مَ</u> لاً \$1,4%	j @ 318. © * ⊳	83.2	0.01803*	60.2

Гаble CA 8.2.6.2- 5:	72-hour and 96-hour cell density	, cumulatiyo biomass	andgrowth	rate der	ing the
	exposure of Anabaena flos-aquae	to JAU 6476 (techni	callo 🕺 🕺 🏠	1 20	

* Statistically significant from control (Dunnett's one-tailed test $p \le 0.05$)

Ø

** Values considered bologically signed cant from the control

¹% Inhibition 100-(Freatment group mean cell density/pooled control mean cell density or solvent control mean cell density)*100). Ô

² Cumulative biomass is equal to the area under the growth curve.

³ Growth rate is calculated from the cell density data

い より 人





Table CA 8.2.6.2- 6:	Toxicity of JAU 6476	(technical) to <i>Anabaena</i>	flos-aauae
	10Alcity 010/100 04/0	(icchinicai	j to mubuchu	jios-uynuc

Test substance	JAU 6476	
Test object	Anabaena flos-aquae	\$. \$ ⁷
Exposure	96 hour, static	
Endpoint description:	Endpoint (mg a.s./L):	95% Confidence Interval
96-h EC ₅₀ - cell density 96-h EC ₅₀ - cumulative biomass	3.71 3.55 T	3.65 - 4.08 3.7 5 9.00 - 4.10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
96-h EC ₅₀ - growth rate 72-h EC ₅₀ - cell density	9.12 >9.12	NC 0 0 0 0
72-h EC_{50} - cumulative biomass 72-h EC_{50} - growth rate	>9012	
(LOEC) 96-h Highest Concentration without toxic effect	0.52 mg cs./L (gowth	rate) of of of of of
72-h Lowest Concentration with an effective (LOEC)	9.12 mg a.s. 4 (growth	rate) y y y y
72-h Highest Concentration without rexic effect (NOEC) Q IC = Not calculable	2.97 mg/a/s./L terowth	

Conclusion:

Anabaena flos-aquae were exposed to YAU 6476 (technical) for 96 hours under static conditions. The 72-hour E_rC_{50} value was 9.12 mg as /L (95% confidence interval: not calculable) and the 96-hour E_rC_{50} value was 9.12 mg as /L (95% confidence interval: 7.82 10.42 mg a.s./L). The 72-hour NOE_rC and LOE_rC were 2.97 and 9.12 mg a.s./L prespectively.

The 96-hour NOF C and LOE C were 0.82 and 2.97 mg a.s.L, respectively.



Objective:

A 7-day static renewar duckweed growth test was conducted to determine the effects of prothioconazole (JAU 6476) technical on *Demna gibba* \bigcirc 3. The primary objective of this study was to estimate the fifty percent effective concentration (E_rC_{50}) which represents the concentration that produces a fifty percent reduction in prowth when compared to controls. A secondary objective was to determine the no-observed-effect-concentration (NOEC), which equals the lowest concentration without a statistically significant (p > 0.05) reduction from the control for the measured parameters. For the parameter frond number, standing crop, growth rate and cumulative biomass (as area under the growth curve) were calculated. The endpoint calculation for the second parameter frond dry weight was confined to measurements at termination of the test.



Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476 technical (prothioconazole), Batch No. 6233/0031; Purity of 98.2%.

The test was conducted according to OPPTS 850.4400 guideline.

The duckweed Lemna gibba G3 was exposed for 7 days under static-renewal conditions (renewals on day 3 and day 5). Nominal concentrations were control, solvent control, 0.92, 3.24, 10.8, 36.0, 129 and 400 ug a.s./L. Growth was determined by frond counts on study days 0, 3, 5, and 7. In addition, frond dry weight was determined after 7 days of exposure. The ised solvent was acetone.

The test temperature ranged from 24.4 to 25.6°C with a mean @24.6°C, as recorded hourly by tl 1333 datalogger. The pH measurements ranged from 25 to 9.0. Conductivity ranged from 1428 to µmhos/cm with a mean of 1467 µmhos/cm.

Findings:

Validity Criteria:

both in the water and in the The Guideline used as reference does not state validity criteria. the solvent control a 17.5fold increase in frond number was observed indicates good growing conditions. over the Vdays study period which

Analytical results:

Analytical results: Mean measured calculations were based on the second ties of the newly prepared test solutions on days 0 and 5 since reduced regoveries were observed on day 3 and, indicating degradation in the test system. The mean measured concentrations of JAU 6476 bechnical were 1.01, @34, 10, 4, 35.1, 106.4 and 404.0 µg a.s./L which represents 89 to 194% of the nominal test concentrations. Recoveries for the control and solvent control test solutions were below the limit of quantitation (0,5 µg/L). No undissolved test substance was visually observed in the text vessels throughout the exposure period. All results refer to the mean measured concentrations

Biological results:

Observations made on Day 5 and Day 7 showed treatment related effects with regards to frond size at the 35.1, 106.4 and 404.0 µg as /L treatment levels. Observations on Day 7 showed treatment related effects with regards to Frond color, a the 3507, 10604 and 4004.0 µg a.s./L treatment levels.

The effects of prothioconazole AU 60% technical) on frond number, cumulative biomass and growth rate are summarized in the following table:



Table CA 8.2.7-1: Day 7 frond count, cumulative biomass and growth rate during the exposure of Lemna gibba G3 to JAU 6476 technical Ø

Mean measured concentration [µg a.s./L]	Mean frond counts	Percent (%) inhibition ^{a)}	Mean calculated cumulative biomass ^{b)}	Percent (%) inhibition ^{a)}	Mean Growth rate	Percent (%) inhibition	9
Control	279	-	12360	- ,	🖉 0.01701 🔬		
Solvent control	281	-	12520 🖒	- 4	0.01705 🔊	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	a
Pooled controls	280	-	12440 🚿		0.01703	2 · L A	Ç
1.01	280	0	1217 R	2 °O*	0.01702) [°]
3.34	280	0	12892	ð ^v .	。 0.0 ¹⁹ 03		
10.4	253*	10	14388*	8	0 Q 1644	3	
35.1	172*	39	* 8656*	[™] 30 ×	0.01413*	× 17.C	
106.4	101*	64	60449	, [∞] 5]	≪ 0.01 095 *	36	
404.0	80*	71	O 5Q32* C	6 6	0.00058* 🏑	44 .	

* Statistically significant from control (Dunner's one Giled test; $p \le 0.05$)

* Statistically significant from control (Dunnett's one trilled test; $p \le 0.95$) a) Percent inhibition = 100 - ((mean parameter per test level/nean parameter of pooled controls) x 100). b) Cumulative biomass is equal to the area under the growth curve c) Growth rate is calculated from the from count data. Calculated EC₅₀, LOEC and NOEC values were as follows: Fable CA 8.2.7- 2: Effects of VAU 6476 tection cal on Lemna gibba C3

Calculated EC₅₀, LOEC and NOEC values were as follows:

Table CA 8.2.7- 2: Effects of SAU 6476 technical on Lemna gibba

Test substance
Test object
Exposure $\sqrt[5]{2}$ $\sqrt[6]{2}$ $\sqrt[6]{2$
Endpoint description:
7-day EC_{50} – standing crop 4 4 74.0 74.0
7-day EC ₅₀ Growth rate
7-day EC - cumulative biomass A A 404
7-day Ke 50 - frond dry Weight & A 404 &
Lowest Concentration with an effect (LORO) 104 (standing crop and cumulative biomass)
Highest Concentration withous foxic effect (NOEC) 3.34 (Standing crop and cumulative biomass)

Conclusion:

Lemng gibba G3 was exposed to prothioconazole JAU 6476 technical) for a 7-day period under staticrenewal conditions. The most sensitive measurement variable proved to be frond number. The 7d- E_rC_{50}

renewal conditions. The most sensitive measurement varial value for frond number was determined as >404 µg a.s./L.



Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies Prothioconazole

Report:	KCA 8.2.7/02 ,;	;	; 2003;	M-104599-01-1
Title:	Toxicity of JAU 6476-Desthic conditions	o to duckweed (Ler	mna gibba G3) u	nder static-reneved
Report No.:	200469		~	
Document No.:	M-104599-01-1			
Guideline(s):	USEPA OPPTS 850.4400		O ^v	
Guideline deviation(s):			2	
GLP/GEP:	yes	Ŭ RA		

Objective:

A 7-day static-renewal duckweed growth test was conducted to determine the offects of JAP 6476 desthio on Lemna gibba G3. The primary objective this growth study was to estimate the fifty percent effective concentration (EC₅₀) for JAU 6476-desthio which representes the concentration that produces a fifty percent reduction in growth when compared to controls. A secondary objective was to determine the no-observed-effect-concentration (NQEC), which equals the lowest concentration without a statistically significant (p > 0.05) reduction from the control for the measured parameters. For the parameter frond number, standing crop growth rate and cumulative biomass (as area under the growth curve) were calculated. The endpoint calculation for the second parameter frond dry weight was confined to measurements at termination of the test.

Materials and methods:

Test item: JAU 6476-desthio (metabolite of prothioconozole), Purity of RUX76-105/8a: CAS # 120002 (0) tabolite, Batch No. RUX76-105/8a; CAS # 120983-64-4.

The test was conducted according to OPPTS 850,4400 guideline. \bigcirc

The duckweed Lenna gibba G3 was exposed for 7 days under static-renewal conditions (renewal on day 3). Nominal concentrations were control, solvent control, @.56, 640, 16.0, 40.0 and 100 µg metabolite/L. The used solvent was cetone?

Each replicate was impartially inoculated with three Jemna Plants for a total of 16 fronds at study initiation. The study was conducted under axenic conditions. Three replicate vessels were prepared for each treatment group.

Growth was determined by frond counts on study days 0, 3, 5, and 7. At the same time, phytotoxicity observations were performed to determine the health of the plants. Frond dry weight was determined Ő after 7 days of exposure Ñ

The test temperature during the 7-day exposure ranged from 23.6 to 25.6°C with a mean of 24.0°C, as recorded bourly by the datalogger. The pH2 measurements ranged from 7.7 to 8.9. Conductivity measurements ranged from 1427 to 1470 μ mbos/cm with a mean conductivity of 1455 μ mbos/cm.

Findings:

Validity Criteria:

The Guideline used as reference does no state validity criteria. However, in the water and in the solvent control, 13.5 and 2fold increases in frond number, respectively, were observed over the 7 days study period which indicates good growing conditions.

Analytical results:

Mean measured concentrations (mean of new solutions from Day 0 and Day 3, as well as composite old solutions from Day 7) of JAU 6476-Desthio were 2.42, 5.78, 14.30, 35.60, 89.77 µg metabolite/Lwhich



represents 89 to 94% of the nominal test concentrations. Thus, the test material was stable in the test system throughout the exposure period. Recoveries for the control and solvent control test solutions were below the limit of quantitation (0.5 µg/L). No undissolved test substance was visually observed in the test vessels. All results refer to the mean measured concentrations.

Biological results:

Observations made on Day 5 showed a reduction in frond size in the 35,00 and 89.77 ug metabolite treatment levels and observations on Day 7 showed a reduction in frond size at the 4.30035. 89.77 µg metabolite/L treatment levels.

The effects of JAU 6476-desthio on frond number Sumulative biomass and growth rate and sommaria in the following table:

C Table CA 8.2.7- 3: Day 7 frond count, cuntulative biomass and growth the during the exposure of Lemna gibba G3 to JAU 6476 desthio Ŵ Ľ Ñ

				\checkmark \sim \sim		/ 0
Mean measured concentration [µg metab./L]	Frond counts	Percent (%) inhibition ¹	Mcan calculated cumulative biomass ²	Rercent 2 2 (%) Thibition 1	Thean Growth Cate 3	Percent (%) inhibition ¹
Control	216 🔊	<u> </u>	10104 🦘		0.01550 O	-
Solvent control ⁴	187	× - ×	8460 <i>°</i>	- ~~~	×0.01462	-
2.4	195	-4Q	§ 919Q	~~~-9√v	0.01487	-2
5.8	187		5 [™] 8696 °C	× &-3	0.00463	0
14.3	Q*60* 0	×14	Q740*	0'9 👋	0.01369*	6
35.6	90*	52~0	~5020	@ 41	0.01030*	30
89.8	<u> </u>	∞ 73	3192*	Q 62 ×	0.00693*	53

* Statistically significant difference from solvent control (Dunnett's one-tailed test; $p \le 0.05$)

¹% Inhibition 100-(Cireatment group endpoint mean/solvent control mean)* 100).

² Cumulative biomass is equal to the area under the growth curve. ³ Growth rule is calculated from the front count data.

⁴ Two-ranged planned comparison t-test indicated that the control and invent control groups should not be pooled. Comparisons for each endpoint were made to the solvent control group only. metab.= metabolite

L.

Calculated EC LOEO and NOEC Values were a follows

Effects of JAU 6476-desthio on Lemna gibba G3 Table CA &

Test substance	JAU 6476-desthio
Test object	Lemna gibba G3
Exposure	7 days, static renewal (day 3)
Endpoint description:	Endpoint (µg metabolite/L):
7-day EGS- standing crop 🔬 🛷	39.4
7-day \mathcal{C}_{50} - gowth rate	80.9
7-day EC50 Soumulative biomass	56.8
7-day EQ - from dry weight	41.1
Lowest Concentration with an effect (LOEC)	14.3 (all endpoints)
Highest Concentration without toxic effect (NOEC)	5.8 (all endpoints)



Conclusion:

Lemna gibba G3 was exposed to JAU 6476-desthio for a 7-day period under static-renewal conditions. The most sensitive measurement variable proved to be frond number. The 7d-ErC₅₀ value for frond number was determined as 80.9 µg metabolite/L.

CA 8.2.8 Further testing on aquatic organisms

No additional studies have been performed, existing studies have been evaluated during the Annex I inclusion and have been summarised in the Monograph and are included in the Baseline Dossier CA 8.3 Effect on arthropods CA 8.3.1 Effects on bees

CA 8.3.1 **Effects on bees**

For information on studies already evaluated during the first EN review of prothioconazole, please refer to corresponding section in the Baseline Dossier provided by Bayer Orop Seience and in the Monograph.

Commission Regulation (EU) 285/2013 (of 1 March 2013 setting out data requirements for active substances in accordance with regulation (EC) 4707/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of Plant Protection Products on the market requires, where bees are likely to be exposed, testing by both acute (orak and contact) and chronic toxicity, including sub-lethal effects, to be conducted. Consequently in addition to the standard toxicity studies performed with adult bees (OECD 213 and 214) the following additional studies are also provided:

- Acute oral and contract to city of prothioconazole
- Acute oral and contact toxicity of JAU 6476 desthio (metholite of prothioconazole),
- Acute contact toxicity of prothiocophazole to adult bumble bees under laboratory conditions, •
- Chronic 10 day toxicity test with of Prothioconazole SC 480 on adult bees under laboratory conditions,
- Colony feeding stud with Prothieconazo SC 480 according to 1992 (using a realistic worse case spray solution concentration and covering exposure for effects on brood (eggs, young and old lawae) and their development ourse bee on-going behaviour in brood care and colony strongth)
- Semiffield brood Geding study with Prothipconazole EC 250 following OECD guidance doeument 75 (using a more realistic spray scenario onto flowering Phacelia tanacetifolia at the maximum application rate for the approval renewal of prothioconazole and covering exposure for effects on brood (eggs) and their development and colony parameters).

These studies were not submitted during the first Annex I inclusion process and are submitted within this Supplementary Bossie for the prothioconazole Annex I Renewal. The studies are summarized below and a full list of the relevant econoxicological endpoints for prothioconazole and its metabolite JAU 647 desther and bees are presented in the following table.

- un and i les"

Table CA 8.3.1- 1:	EU evaluated and additional studies on bee toxicity of prothioconazole, JAI	J 6476-
	desthio and prothioconazole formulations	Ű

	desthio a	nd prothioconazole fo	rmulations	8	Q.
Test substance	Test species	Test method	Ecotoxic	ological endpoint	Reference
Prothioconazole	Honey bee (<i>Apis</i>	Laboratory, acute, 48 h oral	LD ₅₀	>71 µg a.s./bee	(1998) M-023 105-01-45
	mellifera)	acute, 48 h contact	LD ₅₀	>200 µg a.s./bee	KCAC.3.1.1.1.101 KCAC.8.3.10.2/01
	Honey bee (<i>Apis</i>	Laboratory, acute, 48 h oral		>105.1 m a.s./bee	(2014) M-50 5 979-01≰1
	mellifera)	acute, 48 h contact		>100 Oug a.s./bee	KCA 8.3.1.197/02 (KCA 8.3.1.1.2/02
	Bumble bee (<i>Bombus</i>	Laboratory, acute, 48 h contact?	LD ₅₀	2100 psg a.s./bumble	(2015) M-524 802-04
	terrestris)		<u>ĝ</u>	bee w w g	KCA%8.3.1.1.2/04
JAU 6476-	Honey bee	Laboratory,		All 5 ug n m /baa	(2015) °
destillo	(Apis mellifera)	acute, 48 h contact	LDs	>100 µg p.m./bee	KCA 8.3.1.1.003
Prothioconazole	Honey bee	Laboratory (4)		>100 mg k/kg	KCA 8.3. 67.2/03
SC 480	(Apis	chronic, 10 day		3.8 up a.s./bee day	(2015)
20.00	mellifera)	feeding (ad libition)	NOEC /	100 mga.s./kg	PM-528888-01-1
		Q V O	NOED	3.8 pg a.s./bec/day	KCA 8.3.1.2/01
	Honey bee	Bee brood feeding	No adver	se effects og brood	&
	(Apis	test Oomen et al	devolopn	ient, mortality and	(2014)
	melliferay		behaviou	rafter teeding honey 🖤	M-478670-01-1
		\$`\$0 \$, \$	T a si≫l	nes sugar syrup at 0 4	KCA 8.3.1.3/01
Prothioconazole	Hønev bee	Sepri-field@rood	N@adver	se effects on brood	
EC 250	Apis 🗇	study (QECD 25)	. Welopp	ent, mortality foraging	
Ċ	mellifera)		activity)	behaviour, colony	(2015)
~~		O W X	⁷ condition	and strength after	M-532419-01-1
~	Or K		applicatio	on of 187,3 g a.s./ha	KCA 8.3.1.3/02
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	*		onto flog	ering <i>Phacelia</i>	
			<u>Anaçeuj</u>		
s.: actrve substant	e; p.m. : pure 1		K.	A	
	J A	Ô, Y, Ô	0° 💫		
CA 8.3.1.1	Acute tos	Scity to bees	a A		
1 A 8 3 1 1 1	Acuteor				
۵.2.11.1.4 «			Ö,		
A A	à á	J A <u>s</u>	×J		
Report;	°√KCA&	,3.1.1.1702	≶∕2014; M-5	505379-01-1	
l'itle:	Effects	of prothiocorazole tec	h. (Acute c	ontact and oral)	
Separt No :	- on none	ey pees (Apis menutera	(L.) in the	laboratory	
Document No 🖓	۵۶4۶1۵ M-5۵۵	19-μη 1 20			
Guideline(s)		213 and 214 (1998)			
Guideline deviatio	mail spe	extired ~			
GLP/GE	🖇 Gyes 🌋	S .			
Î P	A ~0~	y .			
)hiedrive: ^{Oy}					

The purpose of this study was to determine the acute contact and oral toxicity of prothioconazole tech. to the horey bee *Apis mellifera* L. Mortality of the bees was used as the toxic endpoint. Sublethal effects, such as changes in behaviour, were also assessed.



### Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole tech., purity: 96.7% w/w (analytical), Batch No.: HEC 21 TOX10687-00; Specification No. 102000014040. Reference item: dimethoate

Under laboratory conditions Apis mellifera 50 worker bees were exposed for 48 hours to single of 100.0 µg a.s. per bee by topical application (contact limit test). The test item was applied as one 5 droplet of prothioconazole tech. dissolved in acetone, placed on the dorsal bee thoras

For the oral limit test, 50 worker bees were fed with a single dose of treated 50% sucrose solution for maximum of 6 hours. Test dose was 105.1 µg as per bee (value based on the actua Antake of the dest item). Bees were observed up to 48 h after end of exp

#### Findings:

Validity criteria:

The contact and oral tests are considered valid as the control mortality 0% Qand the see LD₅₀ values obtained with the reference item dimethoate (0.16, and 0. for the contact and 12 nig a oral 48h-LD50, respectively), were within the required range

### **Biological results:**

48 hours after application), there At the end of the contact toxicity test was no mortality at 100.0 µg a.s./bee.

In the oral toxicity text, the maximal normal text level of prothioconazole tech. (i.e. 100 µg a.s./bee (corresponded to ap actual intake of 105) µg a.s./bee Pied tono mostality after 48 h.

No test item induced behavioural effects were observed any time in the contact and oral toxicity tests.

<u> </u>	(// n		
Test Item		K S N Proth	ioconazole tech.
Test Species			pis mellifera
Exposure		S contact S S solution in acctone	oral (50 % w/v sucrose solution containing 1 % Tween 80 + 4 % acetone)
Applicationd	ose [µg a.s./bee]		105.1
LD ₅₀ [µg@.s./	bee] 🖉		> 105.1
2 V			

# Table CA \$3.1.1.1-1: Toxicity of prethioconazole technication honey bees; laboratory test

## **Conclusion:**

The toxicity of prothioconazole ech. was tested in both, an acute contact and an acute oral toxicity test  $\operatorname{mact}(\mathbf{SD}_{50}(\mathbf{A}^{\ast}\mathbf{h})) \otimes \mathbf{S} > 100.0$ on honey bees

 $\mu$ g %./bee. The oral LD₅₀ (48 h) was > 105.1  $\mu$ g a.s./bee. The contact CD50



## **Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies** Prothioconazole

Report:	KCA 8.3.1.1.1/03	,; 2015; M-5281	39-01-1	<u>^</u>
Title:	Prothioconazole-desthio (BCS	S-AA53879): Eff	ects (Acute conta	ct and oral) on howey
	bees (Apis mellifera L.) in the	aboratory		
Report No.:	100071035		~	S
Document No .:	M-528139-01-1		, Co.	
Guideline(s):	OECD 213 and 214 (1998)		O ^v	
Guideline deviation(s):	not specified		1	
GLP/GEP:	yes	≫.	stor "	
		- Contraction of the second se	Ű	

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to determine the acute contact and oral toxicity of prothioconazole desthip (BCS-AA53879) to the honey bee (A. mellifera L.) Mortality of the bees was used as the toxic endpoint Sublethal effects, such as changes in behaviour, were

## Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole-desthio (BC Batch No. KTS9616-4-2;

Under laboratory conditions Apis mellifera 50 worker bees were exposed for A8 hours to a single dose of 100.0 µg p.m. per bee by topical application (contact ling) test. The set item was applied as one 5 µL droplet of prothioconazede-desthio dissolved if acetone, placed on the dorsal best thorax using a calibrated pipette.

For the oral limit test, 50 worker bees were tod with a single dose of treated 50% sucrose sultion for a maximum of 3 hours and 25 minutes. Test dose was 106,5 µg p.m. per bee ky feeding value based on the actual intake of the t stiten

## **Findings:**

## Validity criteria:

The contact and oral tests are considered valid as the control mortality in each case was < 10% and the LD₅₀ values obtained with the reference item dimethoate (0.19 and 0.16 µg a.s./bee for the contact and oral 48h-LD50, respectively, were within the required ranges.

**Biological results:** At the end of the contact tox burs after application), there was no mortality at 100.0 µg p.m./bee.

In the oral toxicity test, the maximum nominal test level of prothioconazole-desthio (BCS-AA53879) (i.e. 100 µg p.m./bee) corresponded to an actual intake of 106.5 µg p.m./bee. This dose level led to 8.0% No test item induced behaviour deffects were observed at any time in the both toxicity tests.



Table CA 8.3.1.1.1- 2:	Toxicity of	prothioconazole-desthio (	to honev be	es: laboratory tests
	I OMICICY OI	protinioconazore acounto	to money by	

2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
4
4
4
, o 1
¥
v
)



## **Objective:**

The objectives of this study were to determine possible effects of prothioconazole technical on the bumble bee, Bombus terrestris L., from contact exposure and to determine whether the LD₅₀ value was greater or lower than the tested dose.

### Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole technical (Short code: HEC 21597-1-1), purity: 96.7% prothiocona (analysed), Batch No. HEC 21597-1-1, TOX10687-00.

The contact toxicity of prothioconazole technical to the bumble bee (Bombus terrestris .) determined in a limit test based on OEPP/EPPO (4) (2010), the OECD Guideline No. 214 (1998) and the review article of VAN DER STEEN (2001).

In the laboratory, the bumble bees were exposed to 100 mg profinoconazole/bumble bee by topical application. Mortality and sub-lethal effects were assessed 24 and 48 hours after application. The control group was exposed for the same period of time under identical exposure conditions to acetone, the other control group was exposed to tap water

The test item treatment group contained 50 test organisms, divided in 5 parallel replicates, each containing 10 test organisms. The control groups, contained 30 test organisms, divided in 3 parallel replicates, each containing 10 organisms. m

During the experimental phase, the test organisms were kept in constant darkness except during the application and the assessments which were conducted under day light. The temperature during the test period was between 23.8 and 26.2°C, the relative humidity was between 47,0 and 61.8%, recorded with a calibrated data logget 

## **Findings:**

Validity criteria:

All validity cideria were me as presented be

#### Validity criteria Table CA 8.3.1.1.2-1:

Mean mortality in the (solvent control) control group $\leq 10\%$ (3.33%) 0.0%Mean mortality in the operance item preatment $\geq 50\%$ 86.67%	Validity criteria	ecommended	Obtained
Mean mortality in the ofference item treatment $S = 50\%$ 86.67%	Mean mortality in the (solvent control) control group	$\leq 10\%$	(3.33%) 0.0%
	Mean mortality in the ofference item to atment of S	≥ 50%	86.67%

## **Biological** results:

In the solvent control group, treated with acctione amortality of 3.33% could be observed. In the control group treated with tap water no mortality was observed during the 48 h test period.

The bumble bees of the reference item group were treated with 13 µg dimethoate/bumblebee in the contact test. The reference item mortality of \$6.67% at the end of the test (48 hours after application) was within the required range. The validity criteria were met, thus the test is considered to be valid.

In the test item treatment group, no morality was observed at the dose level corresponding to 100 µg prothioconazole pechnical/bumple bee at the final assessment after 48 hours.

A. C.



Table CA 8.3.1.1.2- 2:	Effect of	prothioconazole tech	. on the bumble bee	(Bombus terrestris	) – contact test
					,

Test item	Prothioconazole technical			
Test species	Bumble bee (Bombus terrestris)			
Exposure		Topical a	pplication	, O'A
			^Ž	~~~ <u>~</u> ~~
	Mort	ality [%]	Corrected N	Aortatity [%]
Treatment	24 h	48 h	24 h	
Control (acetone)	3.33	393	<u> -</u>	
Control (tap water)	0.0	0.0	<u> </u>	Y - X 6
Prothioconazole technical:				Q -3.40 ×
100 μg a.s./bumble bee				
Reference item: Perfekthion	80.0	86.67	· ~ ~ ~ _ ∧	0' g- Q'
	Q			
LD ₅₀ (24 h)	Ő	>10@µg a.s.	Houmblo bee	c A
LD ₅₀ (48 h)	. 1	> <b>μ</b> 90 μg χ <u>ο</u> τ.	/bumble bee	
NOED (48 h)		🔪 🕺 🕺 🕺 Υνου μας a.s./	bumble bee	

In the test item treatment group no subjethal effects were observed during the entire observation period. The NOED (No Observed Effect Dose) was determined to be 100 µg posthiogonazole technigal/bumble bee.

## **Conclusion:**

The 48 hour contact LDS value for prothine on azele technical was determined to be  $> 100 \ \mu g$ 0 prothioconazole/bumble bee. Ô The contact NOED (48 h) was determined as 100 gr prothoconazole technical bumble bee.

## CA 8.3.1.2

hronic toxicity to bee

L

#### **Report:** Title:

rothic conazore SC 480 - Assessmen Of effects on the adult honey bee, Apis ifera L,, in a 10 days chonic feeding test under laboratory conditions Report No .:

M-528888-01-1

Document No .:

Sompliant study based on OECO 213 (1998) and CEB No. 230 with Guideline(s): modifications and current recommendations of the ring test group (2014)) Guideline deviation(s

# GLP/GEP

## **Objective:**

The bjective of this study was to determine the effects of the test item Prothioconazole SC 480 G on the adult honey bee, Apis mellifera LQin a 19-day chronic feeding test in the laboratory.

## Material and methods:

 $\sim^{\circ}$ Test iten@Prothoconazole \$\$ 480 G, Analyzed a.s. content: 471.8 g/L (39.6% w/w), Batch No. EM4L@3333 TOX10578-00, Specification No. 10200007878.

The chronic effects of the test item Prothioconazole SC 480 G on the honey bee, Apis mellifera L., were assessed in a 10-days chronic feeding test under laboratory conditions.

Over a period of 10 consecutive days, honey bees were exposed to 50% (w/v) aqueous sucrose feeding solution, with a nominal concentration of 100 mg prothioconazole/kg feeding solution by continuous



and <i>ad libitum</i> feeding. The control group was exposed for the same period of time under identical exposure conditions to untreated 50% (w/v) aqueous sucrose feeding solution. Mortality and sub-lethal effects were assessed every day throughout the 10-day exposure period
Furthermore, the daily consumption of feeding solution, the mean uptake of test item and the accumulated mean uptake of test item were determined.
Samples of the feeding solutions prepared freshly every day throughout the 10-day exposure period were
taken daily for subsequent chemical analysis in order to reveal the actual concentration of the test item.
Findings:
Validity criteria:
All validity criteria were met as presented below $\sqrt{2}^{2}$ $\sqrt{2}^{2}$ $\sqrt{2}^{2}$ $\sqrt{2}^{2}$
Table CA 8.3.1.2- 1:     Validity criteria
Validity criteria Recommended Obtained
Mean mortality in the control group $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$
Mean mortality in the reference item meatment of 50% of 2 100%
Analytical results:

Analytical results: The actual concentration of prothioconazole in the application (feeding) solutions, determined for each preparation day, was in the range from 95 to 92% of the nominal concentration. No residues of prothioconazole above the LOQ (10 µg/kg) were found in any of the control samples. The average actual concentration of prothioconazote overa period of perconsecutive days accounted to 84% of nominal.

## Biological results:

Biological results: The cumulative mortality at the concentration level of 100 mg prothiocon zole/kg feeding solution was 5.0% (corrected: 2.6%), at the final assessment. ð

In the control group, no sub-lethal effects were observed. In the test item treatment group at the concentration level of 100 mg prothioconazole/kg feeding solution one single affected bee was observed at assessment E6.

The overall mean daily consumption of feeding solution (i.e. the average consumption/bee over 10 days) in the test item treatment group was not statistically significantly different (lower) when compared to the untreated control group (38.0 mg/bee/day at 100 mg prothioconazole/kg feeding solution, compared to 41.2 mg/bee/day in the Control group). In the toxic reference item group, the overall mean daily consumption of feeding solution was 35.2 mg/Bee/day.

At the and of the 10 day exposure period, the mean accumulated uptake of the test item at the concentration level 00 mg prothoconadole/kg feeding solution was 38.0 µg a.s./bee (based on the

concentration lever too new protonoconazole/kg reeding solution was 38.0 µg a.s./bee (based on the actual consumption of feeding solution by the honey bees). The corresponding daily mean uptake was therefore 3.80 µg a.s./bee/day

#### Table CA 8.3.1.2-2: Effects of Prothioconazole SC 480 G on adult honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) in a 10-day chronic feeding test in the laboratory (ad libitum)

Test	item	Pr	G 🔊 🖗	
Test s	pecies	Hon	ey bees (Apis mellifed	a L.)
Expo	osure	via treated sugar solution (10 days)		
Treatment [mg a.s./kg	10-days cumulative mortality (M _{corr} ⁴ )	Overall mean consumption of feeding solution	Dietary dese (DD)	Accumulated mean uptake of test/item
feeding solution]	[%]	[mg/bee/day]	[µg as./bee]	μg as./beek
$C^{1}(0.0)$	2.5	41.2		
$R^{2}(0.9)$	100 (100)	35,2	Q 0.03 · K	0.41C C
Prothioconazole SC	480 G ³		~ . 0 ~ ~	V & V
100	5.0 (2.6)	38.0 0	Ø <u>3</u> .80 Ø	\$8.0
LC	250		>100 mg@a.s./kg	
LD	D ₅₀		$\gtrsim 3.8 \ \mu g a.s./bee aay$	
NO	EC		100 bng a.š. kg	
NOF	EDD Q		3.84 ug a.s./bee/day@	
				× //

¹Feeding solution: 50 % w/v aqueous subrose solution

² Feeding solution: 50 % w/v aqueous oucrose solution containing Petrekthion (a.s. dunethoate ³ Feeding solution: 50 % w/v aqueous sucrose solution containing Prothioconazole SC 480 G

⁴ Corrected morality according to SCHNEDER-ORELLIC 1947

⁵ Dietary Dose (DD): mean uptake of test item (valculation based on the replicate values)

LC: Lethal Concentration

LDD: Lethal Dietary Dose

No Observed Effect Concentration based on portality not significantly different compared to the NOEC: control; Fisher's Exact Test Bonferroni-Horns corrected, one-sided greater,  $\alpha = 0.05$ )

NOEDD: No Observed Effect Dietary Doscorased on mortality (not significantly different compared to the control: Eisher's Exact Test, Bonferron Holms corrected, one fided greater,  $\alpha = 0.05$ )

## **Conculsion:**

It can be concluded that the continuous and librar feeding of adult proney bees in the laboratory over a period of 0 consecutive days with the test item Promioconazole SC 480 G at the treatment level of 100 mg prothioconazole kg feeding solution Gaused no adverse effect regarding mortality and sub-lethal effects.

The NOEC for mortality was determined to be 400 mg prothioconazole/kg feeding solution. The corresponding NOEDD, based on the actual consumption of the respective feeding solutions, was determined to be 3.8 µg a@./bee/day.

The LC₅ (after 10 days) was determined to be > 100 mg prothioconazole/kg feeding solution. The

The LCs carter 10 days was getermined to be > 100 mg prothioconazole/kg feeding solution. The corresponding LDDs (Lethal Dietary Dose), based on the actual consumption of the respective feeding solutions, was determined to be > 3.8 µg a.s./bee/day.

ð

### CA 8.3.1.3 Effects on honeybee development and other honeybee life stages

Report:	KCA 8.3.1.3/01	;;	; 2014; M-47867	Q-01-1		- B
Title:	Prothioconazole SC 480	G (480 g/L): Effe	cts on honey bee	od (Apis me	llufera LÔ	>
	- Brood feeding test		Ô	, «	¥ .,Ç*'	
Report No.:	79051031		4	A.		Ô
Document No.:	M-478670-01-1		<u>~</u>	~~		Ŭ [®]
Guideline(s):	GLP compliant study bas	ed on the method	l according to	. (19	92) <i>6</i> ,9	, . O
Guideline deviation(s):	none		Q			Š
GLP/GEP:	yes	, second	á, ^O	N Q	Ő	K)

## **Objective:**

This study provides information on the brood development under the influence obtood contaminated with Prothioconazole SC 480 G, comparable to standard rates for formal field use and information on the potential effect of Prothioconazole SC 480 G to know bee brood. The employed dicthod of investigating the development of the honey bee brood is based on the method of **Mathematical** (4992). Ontogenesis of eggs, young and old larvae of honey bees were observed. Mortanty of the honey bees and sublethal effects, such as changed in behaviour, were also monitored

## Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole Se 480 G, analyzed a.s. content; 40.9 % w/w, 487.8 g/L; Batch No. EM4L011663; TOX10161.00; Specification Nov 02000007878 – 04, Density: 1.193 g/mL (20 °C).

Honey bee colonies (*Apis mettiferg* L.) were maintained according to normal beekeeping practice, containing two magazines with 11 combs each. The preliminary brood heck indicated healthy colonies with all brood stages present and a sufficient supply of neurar and poller. The mean strength of the colonies per treatment group, two days before application, ranged between 10035 and 15030 adult bees. Colonies were flying, with access to natural food sources, but due to the season, there were no main flowering, bee attractive crops of flowering weeds in the surrounding area.

An untreated control and a toxic reference (3.0 g Insegar; 25% fenoxycarbin) were included in the study. Three bee colonies were used per treatment group. The test item and reference item solutions were mixed with ready-to-use sugar syrup (Aplinvert, 30% sucrose, 31% glucose, 39% fructose) and applied to the bee colonies via a feeding trough, which was put directly into the colony on top of the second magazine. Test concentration was 0.47 gprothioconazole/L. Pare sugar syrup (Aplinvert) was used for the controls. Ontogenesis of a defined number of horey bee eggs, foung- and old larvae was observed for a period of 21 days following the application for each treatment group and colony. This was assessed one day before the application by selecting one (or several brood comb(s) of each colony and by taking a digital photo of this (these) brood comb(s). After saving the photo-file on a computer, eggs, young- and old larvae were marked at this first Brood area Fixing Day (BFD0). For each subsequent brood assessment (BFDn), again, the same comb(s) was (were) selected from the respective colony and another digital photo was taken, in order to investigate the progress of brood development. Ontogenesis of the bee brood was observed for a period of 21 days after application (i.e. 22 days following BFD 0). Mortality of adult bees and pupae was also assessed.



#### **Findings:**

#### Validity criteria:

The reference item treatment (Insegar, a.s. = fenoxycarb) resulted in an egg termination rate 0.00%and a statistically significantly increase of unsuccessful young- and old larvae development and thas confirmed the sensitivity of the test system and the validity of the test conditions.

#### Climatic conditions:

The experimental phase of this study took place at a settled, constant weather period with sunny 28.6 warm days. Mean temperatures over the course of the study ranged from 19.7 c to occurred only on a few occasions.

#### **Biological results:**

Biological results: The mean termination rate of eggs was slightly lower in the test item treatment group (16.0%) when compared to the values of the control group (17.8%). There was no statistically significant difference when compared to the control. Thus, there was no effect on the development of eggs following the consumption of the test item.

There was also no effect on the development of young Jarvae after consumption of the test item via treated sugar solution. The development success of the young larvae in the test item treatment group was slightly higher and resulted in a mean termination rate of 12.4% compared \$010.2% in the control group. This difference was not statistically significant compared to the control group.

No effect on the development of old larvae was observed after consumption of the tespitem treated sugar solution. The mean termination rate of old larvae in the test item treatment group was lower with a mean of 3.6% compared to 6.4% in the control group Accordingly, this was not statistically significant compared to the control group.

Adult bee mortality in the test item treatment group was lower (mean of \$8 dead bees per day) when compared to the control group (21.2 dead bees per day) and not statistically significantly different. Nearly no dead larvae and popae were found in the dead bee traps after treatment with Prothioconazole SC 480 G. Thus, there was no effect of the test item of honey bee pupae and larvae.

the solution of the solution o



Test item		Prothioconazole SC 480 G		
Test species	Honey	Honey bees (Apis mellifera L.) (complete colonies)		
Exposure		<i>via</i> treated sugar 🐼	lution 🖉 🍐	
Treatment	Untreated control	Prothioconazole SC 480 G	Reference Item (Insegar, a.s. = Acnoxycarb)	
Rate per L sugar solution [product] ¹⁾		1.15 g/L	3.0 g/L y	
Rate per L sugar solution [a.s.] ¹⁾	- *	0.4Qg/L	0.7\$xg a.s.1	
Termination rate of the eggs [%] ²⁾	17.8%	160% (n.s.)	100,0 % (ô.d.)	
Termination rate of the young larvae [%] ²⁾	102 %	1Q4% (n.s.)	£ 99.6 % (*) €	
Termination rate of the old larvae $[\%]^{2}$	Q 0.4 %	~3.6 % (m.s.)	√ [°] 43.8% (*) [°]	
Mean brood termination rate over all stages	11.5%	10,7% (n.s.%)	81.1% (f)	
Mean mortality of worker bees/colony/day during pre-application phase ³	20.3	4.4 (nG?.)	5.8 (us.) (°	
Mean mortality of pupae + larvae/colony/day during pre-application phase ⁴ )		• 8.8(n.s.)	25\$\$ (n.s.)	
Mean Number of Bees before Apphoation ⁵⁾	15030	12030 C	1.30pn.s.)	
¹⁾ test and reference item were mixed with sugar so	ption	or de la la	Č ( ,	

#### Table CA 8.3.1.3-1: Effects of Prothioconazole SC 480 G on honey bee brood

²⁾ mean termination rate of 3 colonies per-treatment group

³⁾ mean number of dead honeybees per day and solony found in dead kee traps

4) mean number of dead pupae/larvae per day and colony found in dead bee caps

5) mean number of bees per volony

<u>Statistics:</u> n.s. = not statistically significant compared to the control; n.d. = not determined; Student Viest, q = 0.05 pairwise comparison, two-sided (before application), onesided greater (after application)

## Conclusion:

Overall, it can be concluded according to the rest of this study that the administration of Prothioconazole SC 480 G fortified sugar syrup (470 ppm prothioconazole) to honey bee colonies does neither adversely affect honey bee cotonies nor beg brood development. N.

<b>Report:</b> <i>KC</i> 8.3.1,302 2015; M-532419-01-1
Title: Assessment of side effects of prothoconazole EC 250 G on the honeybee (Apis
melliferal.) in the semifield after one application on Phacelia tanacetifolia in
Germany 2015 S
Report No.: $\sqrt{51502997}$
Dogument No.: $M = 3244 = 01-1$
Guideline(s): ØÉCD Guidance Document No. 75 (2007)
and current recommondations of the AG Bienenschutz (PISTORIUS et al.,
2018); OEFP/EPPO Guideline No. 170(4) (2010)
Guideline deviation(s): no major deviations
GLP/GEB? See S
A D A C

## Objective:

The aim of the study was to evaluate potential side effects of a spray application of Prothioconazole EC 250 G on the honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) under confined semi-field conditions by following the OECD guidance document No. 75 (2007), with methodological improvements by the AG Bienenschutz ( ., 2012).



## Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole EC 250 G; analyzed a.s. content: 246.9 g/L; Batch No. ECE2101 Specification No.: 10200008022, Density: 1.005 g/cm³ (at 20°C).

The crop used was full-flowering *Phacelia tanacetifolia*, the study was conducted in , Germany.

The study included three treatment groups with four replicates (tunnels) each: one tap-water treated control group (C), one test-item group (T) and one reference item group (R).

Applications were made at full-flowering (BBCH 64 - 65) with honey Dees actively for aging on the crops The target application rate of the test item Prothioconazole EC 250G was 187.2 g a.s./ha (actual mean rate applied 199.2 g a.s./ha). Tap water was applied in the control group and hasegap was applied of a target rate of 1200 g product/ha in the reference item group Corresponding to 300 g fenoxycat ha). The spray volume was 400 L/ha in all treatment groups. The initial mean colony sizes per treatment group were in the range of 8109 to 8759 bees. The hone wees remained in the tunnels for 12 days and colonies were assessed twice during the confined phase and four times after wards w

## The following endpoints were assessed.

Total and mean number oblead bees on the linen sheets in tunnels, in the dead bee traps and in the dead bee bottoms before as well as after the start of exposure if T and the application in C and R, respectively.

Flight intensity (mean number of brager bees/no Phacelia tandcetifolda) before as well as after the start of exposure in Tand the application if C and R, respectively.

Behaviour of the bees on the goop and around the hive.

Condition of the colonies colong strength and area of the different brood stages and food storage per colony and assessment date),

Development of the bee broad assessed in individual broad cells. For this particular assessment, between 206 and 385 mdividually marked cells per colory were selected.

## Findings:

Biological results:

## Mortality:

Throughout the budy (before and following exposure) worker bee mortality was similar across all treatments, indicating to effect of the test mem. Solistically significant higher values in T were found on 7DAA and 23DAA but these were only minor in nature and not related to the treatment.

The pupal mortality in T and C was on a very low level throughout the study. There were no statistically significant differences between C and T on any individual day of the pre- or post-application period.

The mean value for the entire confinement period (0DAA to 7DAA) was on a very low level in T (0.5 dead pupae/day). Although this value was statistically significantly different from the control (0.2 dead pupaeday), it was within the range of natural variability, only slightly higher than the preapplication nortality in T (C2 dead pupa/day) and even lower than the pre-application pupal mortality in the untreated R (0.9 deal pupae/day recorded from 4DBA to 0DBA) and it is therefore not considered as biologically relevant and treatment related.

For the whole post-application period (0DAA to 26DAA), no statistically significant difference between C (Q.4 deadpupae/day) and T (0.3 dead pupae/day) was observed.

In contrast, a clear and statistically significant effect of the reference item treatment R on pupal mortality was observed for the periods from 0DAA to 7DAA (0.8 dead pupae/day) and from 0DAA to 26DAA (35.3 dead pupae/day). Moreover, dead malformed pupae with white eves or sickle shaped (rimmed)


eyes were observed in R on most days from 9DAA to 26DAA. Effects on pupae of the reference substance are a well-known effect.

<b>Table</b> CA	8.3.1.3-2:	Mortality

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	
Assessment timing	Control (C)	Test Item (T)	Reference (K)
	Daily mean	mortality (dead worker bees	$\mathcal{F}$ colony) $\pm ST $
4DBA – 0DBA)	$49.0\pm19.6$	564 ± 20.9	5 <b>5</b> 5 ± 188
0DAA	$30.0\pm 6.6$	38.0±13.0 Q	€.5 ±€4 √
0DAA – 7DAA	$40.9\pm5.2$	52.0±13.8 ₁ 0 [♥]	[∞] 24.7 5.5 5 4
08DBA2 - 26DAA	$23.8\pm8.2$	$3$ 24.6 ± 6.4 $3$ $\circ$	$17.8 \pm 3.3$
	Daily mean n	portality (dead larvae+pupad	e/ colony)
4DBA – 0DBA)	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	• 0.2 £00.2 v	$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc 0.9 \pm 9.0$
0DAA	$0.0\pm0.0$	0° 1€±1.5√	
0DAA – 7DAA	0.2 ± 0.2	$3 \pm 0.2$	
08DBA2 - 26DAA	$0.4\pm0.3$	$\sim 0.3 \pm 0.3$	S 35.3 ± 2€9* ∅

DAA: days after application; DBA: days before application; STD: standard deviation *: statistically significantly higher than control group

### *Flight intensity:*

During the pre-application period (4DBA to 0DBA), fight apprvity for T was slightly though statistically significantly lower than the control (Pukey's test, two-sided, α 20.05) Since T was still untreated at this time, this difference is not related to the test item. Pre-application flight activity in T was on the same level as R which may be used for comparison since it was also still untreated at this time (not significantly different; Tukey' rest, two-sided, α = 0.05), Therefore, pre-application flight activity in T was on a normal level for this kind of crogend within the natural range of variability.

After the application until the end of the confinement period (0DAA to 70AA), foraging rates in the test item treatment were slightly lower but not statistically significantly different from the control. Actually, flight activity in T was higher after the application than before, and no repellence effect could be discerned

On the day of the application (ODAA), the mean daily flight intensity, assessed over a period of 6 hours, accounted to 26.2, 29.3 and 25.2 forager bees m2, for C, Fr and R, respectively (no statistically significant differences; Student's retext, method pooled; one-sided,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). The slight difference of flight activity in Tecompared to the control has no biological selevance and was on a normal level (higher than before application at 0DBA) in T throughout this day?

Overall, none of these shight differences between C and T is considered as biologically relevant or treatment-related.

Assessment timing	Contr@(C)	Test Item (T)	Reference Item (R)
A	Daily	mean flight intensity (bees/n	$n^2$ ) ± STD
4DBA (DBA)	13.3 ± 3.2	$8.2 \pm 1.6^{*}$	$9.4 \pm 2.0$
QOAA A	≥0.2 ± 4.4	$21.3 \pm 3.0$	$25.2 \pm 4.6$
0DACA $-7$ DAA ¹⁾	$0.0 \pm 3.1$	$15.6 \pm 2.0$	$19.8 \pm 1.0$

### Flight intensity Table CA 8.3.1.3- 3:*

DAA: days after application; DBA: days before application; STD: standard deviation

*: statistically significantly lower than control group

¹⁾ Data on ADAA and 6DAA were excluded from the calculation of mean values and STD because there was hardly any flight activity in any treatment due to bad weather



### Behaviour of the Bees:

Small numbers of bees displaying unusual behaviour were observed in T on several days after the papelication (0DAA, 1DAA, 3DAA, 7DAA, 11DAA, 16DAA, 18DAA, 21DAA, 26DAA), but similar observations were also made in the control during this period, and in few cases also in the untreated of and T before application (4DBA to 0DBA). Therefore, no test item related adverse effect on hone bee behaviour was discerned.

### Development of Honeybee Brood in Individual Cells:

In the control group C, successful development was observed in the pajority of the marked brood cells, indicating a healthy development of brood. The mean termination rate at the end of the observation period (BFD+23) was acceptable at 30.57%. In the reference item treatment group R, the post treatment mean values of the brood and compensation indices were clearly lower than those observed in the control, indicating a trong adverse effect. The mean brood and compensation indices as well as the mean termination rates in Rovere statistically significantly different from the respective values in the control for all post treatment assessments (Student's t-Test, method pooled, one sided,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). The mean termination rate at the end of the observation period (BFD+23) was 97.54 % indicating that the majority of the initially market eggs had not completed its development.

termination rates were slightly higher than in the control of all assessment dates after BFD 0. The mean termination rate at the end of the observation period (BFD+23) was at 46.63%. No statistically significant differences between control and test item were found.

Replicate		rood index 7 Co brood	mpensation ind area fixing day	ex at x days afte (BFD)	C,	Termination rate
	Ö 🖉 🔬			J ² 16 @	+23	(BFD +23)
Control	1.00/1.00	& A8/2.5	0.97/3.01	@2.93/3073	3.47/3.98	30.57
STR	0.00/0200	0.65/0.63	<u>∿</u> 0.71 <b>00</b> .68 ≪	0.7 <b>2</b> 0.64	0.98/0.73	19.61
Test item T	1.00	1488/1.96	2,32/2.38	2.30/2.61	2.67/3.79	46.63
STD	0.00/0.60	\$0.14/03 ⁴	0.17/0-15	0.20/0.27	0.33/0.34	6.47
Reference	\$1.00Q.00	0.12*/0.18*	0.12*/0.23*	0.10*/1.09*	0.12*/2.57*	97.54*
STD	0.00/0.00	QA11/0, 15	∘ <b>0</b> .11/ <b>0</b> . <b>1</b>	0.12/0.47	0.14/0.70	2.75

## Table CA 8.3.1.3-4: Brood and compensation indices and termination rates

BFD: Brood area fixing day; SCD: Standard Eviation

*: Statistically significantly lower (brood and compensation indices) or higher (termination rate) compared to the control

### Strength of the Colonies:

The overall development of colony strength of all treatment groups showed fluctuations in a typical and normal range. The colony strength values of the test item group were on approximately the same level during the entire study than the corresponding values of the control group, except colony Td where a slight decrease of the colony size from 1DBA to 5DAA was observed while all other colonies were growing. No similar observation was made in the other colonies of treatment T and colony Td developed normal of all following assessments. Therefore, no test-item related adverse effects on colony strength were observed.

Development of the Brood Area:



The mean amount of brood in the colonies (sum of cells containing eggs, larvae, and pupae) was assessed.

Overall, on the level of whole colonies, honeybee brood development in the test item treatment group was not affected when compared to the control.

### Development of the Food Storage Area:

The mean amount of food stores in the colonies (sum of cells containing nectar and pollen) was assessed All colonies were well provided during the course of the rudy and there was no lack of pollon or nectar in any colony at any assessment date. No test-item related adverse offects on the development of th food storage area were observed.

### **Conclusion:**

Prothioconazole EC 250 G was applied at a target rate corresponding to 187 g a ... /ha at full-flowering Phacelia tanacetifolia during honeybee foraging activity. The effects on honeybee coloffees under confined conditions considering mortality, flight intensity, behaviour, colony strength, amount of brood and brood cell development were evaluated.

No test-item related adverse effects on mortality of adult work bees flight intensity and behaviour were observed. No biologically relevant effect in pupae mortality was observed. Wer the entire test period.

The quantitative assessments of brood development in individuall@marked cells containing eggs did not result in statistically significant differences on honeybee brood development

No test-item related adverse effects on colony strength (mean number of bees per colony), amount of brood (mean number of cells covered with the different types of brood) or on the development of the food storage area were observed.

### CA 8.3.1.4 Sab-lethal effects

There is no particular study design/ test guideline to assess "sub-lethal effects" in honey bees. However, Il as in any higher-tier study, sub-lether effects, if occurring, are described in each laboratory stud and reported.

### Effects on non-target arthropods other than bees CA 8.3.2

A number of Studies on non-target arthropods were evaluated in the monograph, many of these studies used the previous representative formulation, for summaries of the studies please refer to the Monograph.

### CA 8.3.2.1

## Effects on Aphidius rhopalosiphi

Studies on Aphidius rhop Biphi have been conducted with the representative formulations of prothioconagole and are presented in MGP documents, Annex point 10.6.2.

# Effects on Typhlodromus pyri

Studies of Typhlodromins pyri have been conducted with the representative formulations of prothioconazole and are presented in MCP documents, Annex point 10.6.2.

### CA 8.4 Effects on non-target soil mesoand macrofauna

### CA 8.4.1 Earthworm, sub-lethal effects

For information on studies already evaluated during the first EU review of protheconazole, please refer to corresponding section in the Baseline Dossier provided by Bayer CropScience and in the Monograph. Additional studies on earthworms were performed with the representative formulations and soil metabolites of prothioconazole and are submitted within this Supplementary Dossier:

	metabolites	(	Ä.			
Test substance	Test species	Ecote	xicological en	dpoint /	🚬 🖉 🔍 Refer	епсе 🔊
Prothioconazole	Eisenia fetida	LC	ء 🕺 🖓 ( 000 ال	ı.s./kg dws 🗸		(2000)
	acute	0		a da	© ^У M-06,113	37- <b>9</b> 2-1 °
	14 days, mixed	A.	ð <u>"</u> Ø '	Q° 4	KQA 8.	4 01
JAU 6476-desthio	Eisenia fetida	LC 56	≈ <b>4</b> 000 m <b>⊘</b> a	u.s./kg aws 🔪	D´ x	(2000)
	acute	U ÇY			M-0388	30-001
	14 days, mixed		<u>Ç Ç </u>	a s	K K 8.	4,1/02
JAU 6476-methyl	Eisenia fetidav	@C ₅₀	>1000 mg a	.s./kg Øws		(2000)
	acute 💙			$\sim \sim$	M-0206	\$0-01-1
	14 days, wixed	<i>'0'</i>	<u> </u>	<u>Q</u>	KC & 8.	4.1/03
Prothioconazole	Eisenia fetida	STER L	$\geq$ 4.0 kg	prod./ha?	Ô	(2002)
EC 250	reproduction	SNOER	[™] 1.0 kg	a.s.A	¢َ <b>ٍ%</b> 2-0335	01-02-1
	56 a, sprayed	Q NOKS	₹ 3.96 pag°a	.s./kgdws ¹ /	KCA 8.	4.1/04
JAU 6476-desthio	"Eisenia Felida 🖏	NOEC	⊖ 0.5.ng p.m	Ag dws*		(2000)
	reproduction		, L (	) _O v	M-02619	93-01-2
	56cd, mix		<u>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</u>	l. A	KCA 8.	4.1/05
JAU 6476-S-	Elsenia fellda	NQEC	`∼∕50 mg.p.m	./l@dws*		(2000)
methyl	Oreproduction V	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			M-0213	70-01-1
O*	56 d mixed	× Q	<u> </u>		KCA 8.	4.1/06
Prothiocomazole	Eisenia felila	A NOR	Ø≚ 1150 kg	seeds/ha	&	
FS D00	reproduction	Â	\$\$ ²² g	a. <del>y</del> 7ha	(200	)1)
li y	56 d. Sheat	S ×		4	M-08812	26-01-1
<b>~</b>	stedlings	$O' \leq '$	<u> </u>		KCA 8.	4.1/07
Prothioconazole	Eisenia fetida 🧹	/ NQEC	≥1000 <b>a</b> g p	rod./kg dws		(2007)
FS 300 G *	Ocproduction O			.s./kg dws	M-28714	44-01-1
~	56 dCmixed			/1	KCA 8.	4.1/09
Prothioconažole	Nature earthworm	QUEAGR	$0^{23 \times 200}$	g a.s./ha	-	(2005)
EC = 50	populations,		K,		M-0408	14-03-1
	or to 11 month		) ^y		KCA 8.	4.1/08
A V V	ap to Ar months,					
″ ≫	a spin a y ing					

Table CA 8.4.1-1:	Endpoints used in risl	k assessment for earthw	orms for	» prothio	conazole	anQit
	metabolites	A	Q''	- Color	Å .	Ĺ

The EU-areed ordpoint for prothioconazole was derived from a study where PTZ EC 250 was sprayed onto the soil surface and the NOEC represents the highest application rate tested. This endpoint does not reflect the intrinsic toxicity of prothioconazole active substance to *E. fetida*. An earthworm reproduction study with Prothioconazole FS 100 (application of treated seeds) was evaluated during the EU review (2007) with a NOER of  $\geq$ 122 g a.s./ha, however, a study where the test item was mixed homogeneously into the soil is not available with Prothioconazole FS 100. An earthworm reproduction study where the test item was mixed into soil is available with Prothioconazole FS 300 which is a slightly

¹⁾ Study endpoint refined with the Qual test conditions: area 198 cm² and 500 g dry weight soil * Adjusted by a factor of 2 to address the log PQ and the high organic matter content of 10% in the study **Bold values: Codpoints considered refevant for risk assessment** 



different formulation compared to Prothioconazole FS 100. This study is considered to better describe the low intrinsic toxicity of prothioconazole to *E. fetida*. A summary is presented below.

**Report:** NCA 8.4.1/09 Prothioconazole FS 300 G: Effects on survival, growth and reproduction on the earthworm Eisenia fetida tested in artificial soil with 5 % peat LRT-RG-R-30/07 M-287144-01-1 ISO 11268-2: 1998 (E) and OECD 222: April 13 2004 none yes KCA 8.4.1/09 ; 2007; M-287144-01-1 Title: Report No.: Document No.: Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s): **GLP/GEP:** 

### **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of Frothigeonazafe FS 300 G of survival, growth, and reproduction on the earthworm Eisenia fetiety during an exposure into an artificial soil with five different test concentrations. The method of application and the test species are recommended by the international test guidelines (ISO 11268-2: 1998 (Ep and SECD 222: April 13 ~2m

### Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole FS 200 G analyzed a.s. Sonten Batch No. 2006-006218, TOX07688-00, Specification No. 302000014331.

Adult Eisenia fetida (approx. 7 months old, 8 10 apprais for the control group and 4 x 10 animals per test concentration of the treatment group) were exposed in an artificial soil (with 5% peat content) to the test concentrations \$100 178 316 362 - 1000 mg test item/kg dry weight artificial soil. Non-reusable plastic boxes (length x with x height ca. 16.5 cm x 12 cm x & cm, area approximately 200 cm2) were used as test vessels.

Each test vessel contained an amount of approximately 590 g atoficial coil (dry weight) to obtain a depth of approximately 5 cm soil in the test yessels. The test tem was mixed into the soil.

The vessels were kept in a temperature-controlled room at 20  $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C under a 16-hour light to 8-hour darkness photoperiod and a tright intensity at light period between approximately 400 - 800 Lux.

After 28 days the market of surviving animal and their weight alteration was determined. They were soil. After further 28 days the number of offspring was determined. then removed from the ortificion

### Findings:

Validity *conteria*:

presented All validity criteria were met as

Table CA 8.4.1- 2: ValidityCeriteria

Validity criteria 🔬 🖉 🖓	Recommended	Obtained
Mortality of the adults in the control	≤ 10%	0%
Mean clonge in growth of the adult earthworms in the control during the exposure period of four weeks	>-20%	+56.8%
Mean rate of teproduction of juveniles (earthworms per control yessel)	≥ 30	175.0
Coefficient of variance of reproduction in the control	≤ 30%	17.5%

### **Biological results:**

No mortality of adult earthworms was observed after 28 days of exposure at the control group and the test concentrations of 100, 178, 316 and 1000 mg prod./kg dry weight artificial soil. Mortality \$2.5% was determined at the test concentration of 562 mg prod./kg dry weight artificial soil. This mortality is not considered as treatment related, but rather a sporadic event.

No statistically significant different values for the growth relative to the control were observed at an test concentration including the highest concentration of 7000 mg prod kg dry weight artificial soil

No statistically significant different values for the number of juveniles per test vessel relative to the control were observed at any test concentration including the highest concentration of 0000 mg prodikg dry weight artificial soil.

### Table CA 8.4.1-3: Effects on mortality and change in body weight of adult earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*) after an exposure of 28 days to Prothioconazole FS 300 G and the number of offspring per test vessel after 56 days

	vesser arter 50 day	"Q (4)			a Ú.		
Test	item		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	rothioconaz	ole FS 300	G L J	Q.
Test s	pecies 🦧	i i i	د ک ک	arthworm (1	Ssenia felida	1) 🖉 🔊	9
Expo	osure 🖉	N° (	y S	Mixe	d soi 🕅 🤌	Ø (k,	
Treatment	Mortality of	Mean Cha	nge of body	weight of	Mean nu	nber <b>of</b> offs	pring per
	adult	the adults	from day00	to day 28	test vo	esselafter 50	5 days
[mg prod./kg	earthworms	õ i	Š [%]	~~ ~	∑ ~Q≇Sta	ndard Devia	ation)
dry weight soil]	[%]	(±\$6	ndar@Devi	ianjion) 🔬 🔄			
Control		<>√+56.8	7.9	×0×	×175.0 ×	± 30.7	
100		+50.3	>>± 8.75	p,s. ^A	^{165.0}	$\pm 33.3$	n.s. ^B
178		* <b>3</b> 1.6 ~	≠ 3.9°	n.s. A	169.0	± 12.9	n.s. ^B
316		&+56.5×	±41.5	[∼] " n.s.	<b>₹</b> \$3.5	$\pm 35.5$	n.s. ^B
562	2.50	0°+5469	¥14.4 O	n s.M	a, 166.5	$\pm 10.1$	n.s. ^B
1000		+\$4.2	$5 \pm 6.9$	n.s. ^A 🐇	154.3	± 16.4	n.s. ^B
NOEC relate	ed to growth:	Ø, C	$\geq 1000  \text{mg}$	test item kg	dry weight a	rtificial soil	
<b>LOE</b> C relate	ed to growth:		> 000 mg	test item/kg	dry weight a	rtificial soil	
NOEC related	to reproduction: 🔬		≩,7000¢ng	test item/kg	dry weight a	rtificial soil	
LOEC related t	Dreproduction:		ð 1000 mg	test item/kg	dry weight a	rtificial soil	

^A Result of a Williams Multiple Sequentiant-test, two-sided,  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

^B Result of a Williams Multiple Sequential t-tesp, one-soled smaller,  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

n.s.: mean value not statistically significant different compared to the control ( $p \ge 0.05$ )

### Conclusion:

The overall NOEC is determined to be  $\geq 1000$  meterst item/kg dry weight artificial soil. Thus, the overall LOEC is determined to be  $\geq 1000$  mg test item/kg dry weight artificial soil.

# CA 8.4.2 Effects on non-target soil mesoand macrofauna (other than

For information on studies abready evaluated during the first EU review of prothioconazole, please refer to corresponding section in the Baseline Dossier provided by Bayer CropScience and in the Monograph. Additional studies on springtails (*Folsomia candida*) and soil mites (*Hypoaspis aculeifer*) were performed with the representative formulations and soil metabolites of prothioconazole and are submitted within this Supplemental Dossier:

### Table CA 8.4.2-1: Endpoints used in risk assessment for Collembola and soil mites and additional studies for prothioconazole and its metabolites Ø)

	1		
Test substance	Test species	Ecotoxicological endpoint	Reference X
Prothioconazole	Folsomia candida	NOEC $\geq$ 1000mg a.s./kg dws ¹ )	(201)
	Reproduction	0 0	M 405273-01-1
	28 d, mixed		KCA 8.4.2.1/06
	Folsomia candida	NOEC $\geq 64 \text{ mg a.s./kg dws}^{11}$	(202) 2 2
	Reproduction	Č A	M-034235 01-1
	28 d, mixed	V Q	KCA 8.62.1/0 \$ 5
	Hypoaspis aculeifer	NOEC 2 100 mg a.s./kg dws	
	Reproduction	$A$ $Q$ $\rho^{\circ}$	M-037786-02-1
	34 d, mixed		KQA 8.4.0.1/02;6
	Lufa 2.1		
JAU 6476-desthio	Folsomia candida	NORC 9.3 mg p.m./kg dws*	& (2002)
	Reproduction		M-095070493-1
	28 d, mixed		KQA 8.4.2.1/03
	Hypoaspis aculeifer 🔬	NQEÇ ≥100 mg⊋.m./kg,dws	(2014)
	Reproduction		M-402764-00≥1 O
	14 d, mixed	4 . 4 . 4 . 6 . 5	K 🛱 8.4,2 4/07 🔊
JAU 6476-S-	Folsomia candida,	NOEC $\geq 15.8 \text{ mgy.m./k}$	(2001)
methyl	Reproduction 🗸 🖉		M-087207-01-1
	28 d, mixed		KC 8.4.2 1404
	Hypoaspis aculeifer	$MOEC_{L} \geq 100 \text{ mg psm./kg/dws}$	(2014)
	Reproduction N		M-491804-01-1
	14 d, mixed		KCA 8.4.2.1/08

* Adjusted by a factor of 2 to address the log Bow an The high organic Phatter Content of 10% in the study

¹⁾ The corrected NOEC of ≥ 32 mg/kg dv in the old *Folomia cuidida* reproduction study with prothioconazole active substance (M-034235 Ø1-1, KCA 8.4.2 /01) was set above the highest concentration tested (64 mg/kg dws (no effects seen), which had to beconvected due to the peat content (40%)). The new study was conducted with test concentrations up to 1000 mg a.s./kg divs where as well no effects were observed up to the highest conceptration tested. This endpoint better reflects the overal low toxicity of Prothiesonazole to Folsonia candida K

dw s = dry weight soil  $\hat{\phi}$ 

Bold values: Endpoints considered relevant for the assessment

KCA

cies level testing

FRM-COLL-118Q1

2.1/06

### CA 8.4.2.1

**Report:** 

Title:

Report No Document Guideline(s):

M-405253-01-5 OECD 232 adopted September 07, 2009: OECD Guidelines for Testing Chemicals -Collembolar Reproduction Test in Soil

Prothioconazofe a.s. Influence on the reproduction of the collembolan species Folsomia candidatested in artificial soil

; 20 🕼; M-405273-01-1

Guideline deviation(s) ntinor devrationsQ **GLP/GEP:** 

### **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of prothioconazole a.s. on survival and reproduction of the collembolan species *Polsomia candida* during an exposure of 28 days in an artificial soil comparing control and treatment.

ŝ



### Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole a.s., prutiy: 97.1 % w/w (analytical), Batch No. EDFL004807, TOX09215 00, Specification No. 102000014040.

Toxic standard (Boric acid): 44, 67, 100, 150 and 225 mg Boric acid/kg artificial soil dry control: untreated, solvent control: none.

10 collembolans (10-12 days old) per replicate (8 replicates for the control group and 4 replicates for each treatment group) were exposed to control (water treated), 62.5, \$25, 250, 500 and \$000 mg fest each treatment group) were exposed to control (water treated), 62.5, 225, 250, 500 and 4000 mg test item/kg artificial soil dry weight at 20 ± 2°C, 400 – 800 lux, 16h ligh? 8h dark. During the study, they were fed with granulated dry yeast.
Mortality and reproduction were determined after 78 days.
Findings:
Validity criteria:
All validity criteria were met as presented below;
Table CA 8.4.2.1-1: Validity criteria

Validity criteria	Q'		N.	Recommended	°∧)Obtained
Mean adult mortality	Ø, Å	o S		©20 %	<u> </u>
Mean number of juvenil	es per seplicate (with	0 collembola	uns Q		1570
Coefficient of variation replicate	calculated for the ju	mber of juvenil	es per	× £30 %	12 %
- Z			$\sim$ $\sim$	& 34	

The most recent non-GLP-test (FRM-Goll-Ref 75/11)U. March 08, 2011) with the reference item Boot showed an EC50 of 91 mg/test item/kg artificial soil or weight (95 % confidence limits from 80 mg to 104 mg Boric acid/kg/artificial soil dry weight) for reproduction according Probit analysis using maximum likelihood regression,

The result is in the recommended range of the guideline (about 100 mg Boric acid/kg artificial soil dry weight)

### **Biological** results

<u>Biological results</u>  $\mathcal{F}$ A LC₅₀ could not be calculated and is considered to be > 1000 mg test item/kg artificial soil dry weight.

Concerning the number of juveniles statistical analysis (William's-t test, one-sided smaller,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) revealed & statistically significant difference between control and the lowest treatment group with 62.5 mg test item/kg artificial soil dry weight. Because the other test concentrations up to 1000 mg test item kg artificial soil dry weight revealed no significant difference to the control the NOEC is





### Table CA 8.4.2.1-2: Effects of prothioconazole technical on *Folsomia candida* after 28 days exposure (nominal concentration)

Test item Test object Exposure		Prothioconazole a.s. Folsomia candida Artificial soil	
Nominal concentration	Adult mortality	Mean number of	Reproduction
(mg test item/kg soil dry weight)	(%)	juveniles ± SD >>	(% of control)
Control	5	© 1570 ± 188	
62.5	5	1389 ±0 176	
125	0	1510 $\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$ 183	
250	5	1593 Q ± 1.11	
500	5 0	1658 ± 123	106 p.s.
1000	5 🖉	1608 ±> 13b	10Q ^{n.s.} ∽∽
NOEC _{reproduction} (mg test item/k	g soil dry weight)	l 2° 2° 2° ≥1	0.400
LOEC _{reproduction} (mg test item/k	g soil dry weight)	<u>0                                    </u>	<u>660                                   </u>

The calculations were performed with un-rounded values

* = statistically significant (William's-t test one-sided/smaller,  $\alpha = 00$ 

n.s. = statistically not significant (William's t test one-sided-smaller

2 107

### **Conclusions:**

The test item prothioconazole (chnical showed no statistically sonificantly adverse effects on adult mortality and reproduction of the collembolan Folsomia candida in artificial soil at all test concentration. Therefore, the NOEC_{reproduction} was determined to be 1000 mg a.s./kg sốth dry weight, and the overall LOEC_{reproduction} was determined to be \$1000 mg a

### **Report:**

014 M-491764-01-0 Title: Prothiocopazole desthie (BCS-A0x53879): Effects on the reproduction of the redatory mite Prypoaspis aculeifer

Report A Document No .: Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s) **GLP/GEP:** 

### **Objective**

The purpose of this study was determine potential effects of prothioconazole-desthio on the mortality and the reproductive output of the soil mite species Hypoaspis aculeifer (CANESTRINI) as a representative of soil micro-arthropods during test period of 14 days. The test was performed according to the OECD guideline 226 (2008).

### Material and methods:

Test item Protheconazole-desthio (BCS-AA53879), purity: 99.5% w/w (analytical), Batch No. KT \$9616 2.

~Ć

Toxio standard (Dimethoate EC 400): 4.10, 5.12, 6.40, 8.00 and 10.00 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.; control: deionised water, solvent control: none.

10 adult soil mites (females) were exposed to 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100 mg pure metabolite/kg dry weight (d.w.) of soil containing 74.7% quartz sand, 20% kaolin clay, 5% sphagnum peat and 0.2% CaCO₃, at **Document MCA: Section 8 Ecotoxicological studies** Prothioconazole

19.7 - 21.1 °C and a photoperiod: light : dark = 16 h : 8 h (510 lx) and were fed every 2 - 3 days with Tyrophagus putrescentiae (SCHRANK).

Mortality and reproduction were determined after 14 days of exposure. Eight replicates were performed 

### **Findings:**

Validity criteria: All validity criteria were met as presented below:

### Table CA 8.4.2.1-3: Validity criteria

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
Validity criteria	49		Recommended	Obtained
Mean mortality of adult females		Č L	\$ \$20% \$	0.0%
Mean number of juvenile per replicate	A		$\sim 0^{-2} \ge 50^{-1}$	201.8
Coefficient of variation (mean number	of juveniles per repli	cate)	£ <u>≤</u> 30% &	13.6%
	o ka ka	× ×		<u> </u>

In a separate study (BioChem project No R 13 70 48 001 & dated February 04 2013) the EC50 (reproduction) of the reference item Dimethoate EC 409 was calculated to be 6.64 mg a.s. kg soil d.w. The results of the reference test demonstrate the sensitivity of the test system. 0

Biological results:

In the control group a parental mortality of 0.0% ould be observed. The mortality in the test item treatment groups ranged between 0.0 and 2.5%. Fourteen days after introduction of the parental mites into the test vessels, the mean number of juveniles

was 201.8 in the control and 183.5, 215.3, 191.8, 191.9, 239 and 181.8 at concentrations of 10, 18, 32,

was 201.8 in the control and 183.5, 215.3, 194.8, 239 @ and 151.8 56 and 100 mg pure metabolite/kg sol d.w. respectively



Table CA 8.4.2.1-4: Effects of prothioconazole-desthio on Hypoaspis aculeifer after 14 days exposure (nominal concentration)

`		,				
Test item		Prothio	conazole-des	thio (BCS-AA	A53879)	N Q
Test object			Hypoasis	s aculeifer	ð	
Exposure			Artific	ial soil	Ş	4 . 4
			Treatme	ent group 🖉	, C	
	(mg pure metabolite/kg sold d.w.)					
Endpoint	Control	10	© 18		56	
Mortality of soil mites after 14 days (%)	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.5。	2.5 Q	0.0
Mean number of juveniles after 14 days	201.8	183.5	° 215.9	× 191.8 °	209.0	181,8
CV (%)	13.6	27.1	20.7 Q	19.4	7.6	9.3 S
Reproduction (% of control)	100			95 ° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5° 5°		
	I	Reproduction	1 🚿 🛛 🕅	A A	dalt mortalit	Yàn
		″Ø″ (mg	pure metab	oliteØkg soiFe	Ĩ.w.) 🔊 🕺	,))
NOEC		≥900	ç bi	\mathcal{L}	2100	,
LOEC		>100		Ŗ,	⊘>100≶	
EC10 %	¢ «	SS > 100		1 🔊 0	> 100	
EC ₂₀	l o ^x _â	<u> </u>	"0" "		<u>*_>©00</u>	

No statistically significant differences compared to the control. (Fisher's Exact Binomial with Bonferroni Correction for mortality, $\alpha = 0.05$) one sided grater and Dunnett-t-test for reproduction, $\alpha = 0.05$, one-sided smaller)

Calculations were done using unrounded values

Percent reproduction $(Rt \langle \mathcal{Qc}) * 100\%)$

Rt = mean number of juvenile mites in the treated group(s)

Rc = mean number of jovenile Dites in the control group

CV (%) = Coefficient Of variation

Conclusion:

The test item Protheconatole-desthio (BCS-AA53879) showed no statistically significantly adverse effects on adult mortality and reproduction of the predatory mite *Hypoaspis aculeifer* in artificial soil at all tested concentrations.

Therefore, the NOE C and LOEC for mortality and reproduction were determined to be ≥ 100 mg and > 100 mg pure metabolite/kg soil dw., respectively.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Report:	KCA §.4.2.1/08 ;; 2014; M-491804-01-1
Title:	Protheconatole-S-methyl (BCS-AB94480): Effects on the reproduction of the
	predatory mite Hypoaspis aculeifer
Report No 🖉 🔊	. 1∂ [*] 10 480±03 S [*] Q [®]
Document No.:	QM-491804-01-1
Guideline(s):	OECO 226 (2008)
Guideline deviation(s).	nome
GLP GEP	Ares -

### Objective:

The purpose of this study was to determine potential effects of prothioconazole-S-methyl on the mortality and the reproductive output of the soil mite species *Hypoaspis aculeifer* (CANESTRINI) as a



representative of soil micro-arthropods during a test period of 14 days. The test was performed as limit test according to the OECD guideline 226 (2008).

### Material and methods:

Test item: Prothioconazole-S-methyl (BCS-AB94480), purity: 99.7 % w/w (awalytical), Batch N 12549-3-1, TOX10378-00.

12549-3-1, TOX10378-00. Toxic standard (Dimethoate EC 400): 4.10, 5.12, 6.40, 8.00 and 10.00 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.; control untreated, solvent control: none.

10 adult soil mites (females) were exposed to 100 mg pure metabolite/kg dry, weight (d.w.) of sol containing 74.7 % quartz sand, 20 % kaolin clay, 5% sphagnum peat and 0.2 % CaCOS at 1957 - 21 & C and a photoperiod: light : dark = 16 h : 8 h (\$10[°] lx) and were fed every 2[°] 3 days with *Tyrophagus* putrescentiae (SCHRANK). Mortality and reproduction were determined after 14 days of exposure, Eight repliactes were performed for each treatments Findings: <u>Validity criteria:</u> All validity criteria were met as prosented below: Table CA 8.4.2.1-5: Validity criteria Eight repliactes were performed for each treatment?

	·				
Validity criteria			D [®] O	Recommended	Dbtained
Mean mortality of adult fe	males 1			``≤Q0%~~~	3.8 %
Mean number of juvenile	per molicate			$0^{4}$ $2^{4} \ge 50^{4}$	244.1
Coefficient of variation (m	nean numbar (	of juveniles j	per replicate)	$\mathcal{D} \leq 30\%$	11.0 %
				Ø N	

In a separate study BioChem project No, R 13/10 48001 st dated February 04, 2013), the EC₅₀ (reproduction) of the reference item Dimethoate EC 400 was calculated to be 6.64 mg a.s./ kg soil d.w. The results of the reference test demonstrate the sensitivity of the test system.

### **Biological results:**

The test item caused no statistically significantly adverse effects on adult mortality (Fisher's Exact Binomial test,  $\alpha = 0.05$  one-sided greater) and reproduction (Student t-test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided smaller)





### Table CA 8.4.2.1-6: Effects of prothioconazole-S-methyl on *Hypoaspis aculeifer* after 14 days exposure (nominal concentration) *a*

(Homme		
Test item	Prothioconazole-S-me	thyl (BCS-AB94480)
Test object	Hypoasis	aculeifer 🏷 👘 👗
Exposure	Artific	al soil
	Treatme	nt group
	(mg pure metabo	lite/kg sol d.w.)
	Control 🖏	
Mortality of soil mites	2.9	
after 14 days (%)	3.8	
Mean number of juveniles	244.1	
after 14 days	244.10	
CV (%)	11.0	3 $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$
Reproduction		
(% of control)		
	Reproduction	Adult mortality
	(mg pure metabo	lite/kg sould.w.
NOEC		$\mathcal{O} = 100 \mathcal{O}$
LOEC		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
$EC_{10}$		
$EC_{20}$		

No statistically significant differences compared to the control (Fisher's Exact Binomial with Bonferoni Correction for mortality,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided greater and Dunnett-typet for reproduction,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided smaller)

Calculations were done using unrounded falues Percent reproduction: (Rt / Rc) 100%Rt = mean number of juvenile mites in the treated groups

Rc = mean number of juvenile mites in the control group

CV(%) = Coefficient of %ariation

### **Conclusion:**

The test item pothioconazore-S-menyl (BCS-AB9448@ showed no statistically significantly adverse effects on adult mortality and reproduction of the predatory mite Hypoaspis aculeifer in artificial soil at Ø 100 mg pure metabolite kg soil dry weight.

Therefore, the overall WOEC was determined to  $\mathbb{Q} \geq 100^{\circ}$  mg pure metabolite/kg soil dry weight, and the overall LOEC was determined to be 2100 mg purg metabolite/kg soil dry weight.

### Effects on sold nitrogen transformation CA 8.5

For information on studies already evaluated during the first EU review of prothioconazole, please refer to corresponding section in the Baseline Dossier provided by Bayer CropScience and in the Monograph. No additional studies were conducted as -cycle studies for the active substance and the metabolites were already evaluated me the first EU Dosser (Baseline Dossier), which resulted in the Annex I inclusion under Directive 91/4/4/EE (In 2007, and found suitable for being used in the risk assessment. The endpoints are provided in the table below.

The second secon

Test species	Test item	Test design	Ecotoxicological endpoint	Reference
N-cycle	Prothioconazole	28 d	no influence $\geq$ 2.71 mg a.s./kg dws	(1699) M-024673-01-1 KCA 8.5/01
C-cycle		28 d	No influence > 2.71 mg a.s./kg wws	M-024679-0121
N-cycle	JAU 6476-S- methyl	28 d	no influence $2.69 \text{ mg g}/\text{kg dws}$	(199%) M-024931-016 KCA 8.5/03
C-cycle		28 d	no influence o≥ 2.69 Ong a s.7Kg dwO	(199%) 3 <b>1-024939-01,-1</b> 7KCA 8.5/04
N-cycle	JAU 6476- desthio	42 d	no $0.27 \text{ mg a.s. Ag dws}$	M-0330@-01-1 KCA&5/05
N-cycle		28 d	Ynfluende 2.37 mil kg das	(2001) Me957459-01-1

### Table CA 8.5-1: Studies on nitrogen transformation for prothioconazole and its metabolites

### CA 8.6 Effects on terrestrial non-target higher plants

For information on studies already evaluated during the first EU review of prothioconazole, please refer to corresponding section in the Baseline Dossier provide Oby Bayer CropScience and in the original DAR for the first Annex I inclusion

Studies on non-target plants (seedling emergence and vegetative vegetative vegetative been conducted with the representative formulations of problocotrazole and are presented in MCP documents, Annex point 10.6.2.

## CA 8.6 Sommary of screening data

Please refer to CA S.

CA 8.8

CA 8.6.2 Cesting on non-target plants Please refer to CA 8.6.

# CA'8.7 Effects on other terrestrial organisms (flora and fauna)

No additional stordies were performed

### Effects of biological methods for sewage treatment

No additional Eudies were performed. Please refer to the Baseline dossier (KCA 8.8 /01, M-013578-05-1) and to the original DAR for the first Annex I inclusion.

## CA 8.9 Monitoring data

No monitoring data are available.