



#### **Table of Contents**

A set o vision of the set of the A comparison of the second of

## **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**

And a stand of the oper of the prior with a second and a second a second and a second This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Bayer CropScience AG. No part of the

attool the service of the service of

#### Introduction

The company Bayer CropScience AG is submitting a dossier for the re-approval of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 713, previously designated as Bacillus subtilis QST 713, as an active substance under regulation (EC) 1107/2009. Due to changes in taxonomy, B. subtilis QST 713 is now classified as *B. amyloliquefaciens*. For further information, please refer to Annex II, Section 1, Point IIM 1.3.1 of this dogerer. As a consequence, the active substance is now named B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713. The old strain designation is still used in some documents and can be considered as a synonym. Serenade ASO is the representative formulation for the process of the re-approval of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 713 as an active substance upper regulation (EC) 1107/2009.

Inclusion of *B. subtilis* QST 713 into Annex I of 91/414/EEC (now list of approved active substances according to (EU) No 540/2011) entered into force in February 2007 (Commission Directive 2007/6/EC<sup>1</sup>). *B. subtilis* strain QST 713 was notified and defended by AgraQuest Inc. Although the formulation Serenade ASQ was not the above representative formulation in the dossier for Annex I inclusion of *B. subtilis* QST 713, here the data of the above mentioned product is summarized, since it represents latest information on *B. amylol quefactures* QST 713 formulation. The representative formulation for the initial Annex I, Serenade WP, inclusion is no longer produced. Here we submit all studies and new data and information (public literature and summaries).

Critical Good Agricultural Practices for Serenade OSO are summarized in Pable 97. These were used as reference for the calculation of exposure in the risk assessment. As worst case, the maximum number of applications was considered for the risk assessment within the frame of the risk envelope approach. Dere we submit all new data and information based on previous literature searches and studies. Note: Kg product ha was used for the calculation of exposure in the risk assessment.

Crop and/	F	Pests or		🖉 App	lication		× (		plication rate 2	0 }	PHI	Remarks
or situation	G	Group of	Method	Tindaing /		Max number	L pr	oduct@ha	keas/ha	Water	(days)	
(crop	or I	pests controlled	King	Growth s	stage	(min@nterval	0°PI	ouder / ynu		Égha		
destination	-			of crop &	rQ_	berween	1 1	C <sup>r</sup>	appl 🔏	¥.,		
/ purpose		×		eseason	)	applications	a) th	ax. rate		min / max		
of crop)		Ş	°		(I)	a) per use		ippi. 🔘	b) max. total rase	linux		
			Å.	6	ŝ	b) per crop/	$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ) m	axootal				
			0. <sup>1</sup> 0		»	season	cron	season .	× , ~			
C 1	0				r oda			-SG		400		101./
Strawberry	G	cinered	sprayung	BBCAD	S-89€√	a) 6 (Squays)		Õ	a) 140 kg	1000	n.r.	authorized
	Ô		d n s		A	b) (8 days)	⊘ф)60		mm. 1x 10 <sup>13</sup>			in UK
	Y		) s		, O	. S	5	, N	CFU/na			
		~		- " <sup>*</sup>	Ş			<sup>2</sup> <del>S</del>	b) 0.84 kg			
		\$°	s,	<u>S</u>	°		×.		min. $6 \ge 10^{13}$			
		S .	4	Ç' x	۷Ű	XJ _		<u>}</u>	CFU/ha	_		
Strawberry	F	Botrytis	Spraying	BBCHS	5-89	a) 6 (5 days)	a) <b>8</b> ,*	7	a) 0.112 kg	400-	n.r.	
	$\sim$	einerea	٥ ۵		$\sim$	b) 6 (5 days)	b) 48		min. 8 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	1000		
	\$		õ i	Q″	<u>A</u>		Я Л		CFU/ha			
, Ś	77			p (					b) 0.672 kg			
					Ŝ	× .~ 9 ′			min. 4.8x 10 <sup>13</sup>			
		s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s							CFU/ha			
Grapes	F	Botrytis	Spraying	BBCH.6	8-89	(5  days)	a) 8		a) 0.112 kg	500-	n.r.	
		auterea _			,	(5  dave)	b) 72		min 8x 10 <sup>12</sup>	1000		
				<i>*</i> ©″	a.	(5 uays)	0,72		CFU/ha			
	Ş		. 6		٩̈́				b) 1 008 kg			
ĺ "Ô	1	Ő	Û	P								
		P A	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~						CFU/ha			
n.r. – met releva	HIC.	Risk Østessn	nent calcula	i ated at 8 k	g/ha ar	plication rate						
R A	Ŵ.		AN INCOMENT		<i>с</i> чр	r						

Table 9-1 Summary of critical Good Agricultural Bractice for Seconade SO

*(n*)

# IIIM19 Fate and behaviour in the environment for the Microbial Pest Control Product (Rationale to waive testing, based on adequacy of information provided for MPCA, to permit an assessment of the fate and behaviour of MPCP in the environment)

Report:	KIIIM1 9/01; 416610-01-1	·	;	; 1982; M- 7
Title:	Fate in model ecosys	tems of microbial spec	ies of potential	e in genetic engineerorg
Report No.:	M-416610-01-1		0	
Document No.:	M-416610-01-1			
Guideline(s):		Ĉ	L.	
Guideline deviation(s):		The second se	<u>v</u>	
GLP/GEP:	no	L,	Ő	
		a O <sup>v</sup>	A land	

#### Fate and behaviour in soil

Based on available information derived from studie and published interature on *Bevillus subtilis* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* bacteria) the environmental fate and population dynamics the strain OST 713 upon field application of Seconde ASO can be supmarized as follows:

Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus amylotiquefactens are a member of the natural mice-flora in soils and occurs without geographical restriction in almost any environmental niche, including the direct human environment. Following an application of Serenade ASO, survival of the endospores of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens in soil is very likely for a period of a few months during which time a natural breakdown begins and gradually robuces the numbers of spores temaining. In a dry state endospores can remain viable for several year, vegetative cells, however, are far more rapidly degraded.

It is very unlikely that endospores of *Bacillus abbilis* and *Bacillus anyioligne aciens* will germinate and grow into vegetative cells, unless encouraging conditions exist, meaning favourable soil pH, soil moisture content, sufficient nutrient availability and lack of competition, predation from other soil micro-organisms. The cells will produce endospores when organic matter, e.g. manure, declines. The survival of *R\_subtility* in soil is a dynamic process consisting of several discernible phases: germination outgrowth, multiplication, and sportulation in specific habitats, and is influenced by changing conditions regarding softype, native process, nutrient availability, and fertilization.

Due to its ubiquitous distribution for soil and the absence of growth, *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefactors* cetts and spores introduces into soils are not expected to exceed the natural level  $\mathcal{D}$  permanent  $\mathcal{D}$ 

A

#### Mobility in soil

An evaluation of the probable spread of *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* in the soil or to associated enorronments, such as groundwater, is of minor concern, because dispersal of *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* would lack any hazardous effects. It is generally accepted that population densities decline with increasing soil depth indicating that the level of translocation to deeper layers is negligible. *Bacillus subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* endospores are reported to as having longevity in groundwater. However, *B. subtilis* is not regarded as an autochthonous inhabitant of aquatic environments and does not find optimal conditions for growth, e.g. waters are poor in organic C. Therefore, proliferation in ground water is not likely to occur. Considering the negligible amount of *B. subtilis* or *B. amyloliquefaciens* spores probably reaching groundwater habitats and the absence of active growth it is thus concluded that no threat of contamination of groundwater exists following applications of Serenate ASO according to GAP.

### Possible contamination with metabolite

Forsistence of *Sysubtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* in soil is restricted to viable spores which are metabolically inactive. Thus, production of new metabolites upon reaching the soil environment can be excluded. Moreover, *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713 does not produce metabolites of toxicological concern and no such substances are contained in the end-use product. Therefore, contamination with metabolites is not of relevance for the evaluation of Serenade ASO. Please refer to the baseline dossier for the background information on fate and behaviour in soil. The calculation was based on the accumulated field rate of Serenade ASO in grapes, with a maximum of 9 applications.

#### Predicted environmental concentration in soil (PEC<sub>Soil</sub>)

In order to perform a risk assessment for non-target organisms, the actual concentration of Sevenade ASO upon nine applications in grapes is calculated as here the highest exposure is expected according to the intended uses. The calculation bases on a maximum application rate of 8 kg Serenate ASQ ha, assuming as a worst case that no degradation occurs between applications. No interception is considered for the calculation. For the risk assessment the resultant the dot Serena & ASO will related to the top 5 cm of soil to achieve the highespheoretical soil concentration

#### Summary of the PECsoil calculations Sefenade Critical use Grape maximum of hine applications with & K Ô ASO/ha each Accumulated application rate 72 kg Serenade & SO/ha .008 🕼 B. am Iolique faciens 13/ha CEI/ha Soil density 'soil∕ ¥n Incorporation depth 50 L soil/m cm laver Plant interception Nøtsčonsidered % mg Serenade ASO kg dry weight soil, PEC<sub>Soil</sub> 1.34 mg B. amyloliquefaciens QST AT3/kg by weight soil. CFU/kg dry weight soil

Fate and behaviour mate

Aquatic organisms may be exposed to Serenade AGO through spray drift from the application site into adjacent water bodies. The present PEC calculation was performed on the basis of nine applications in grapes, as there the highest exposure of aquatic from target organisms is to be expected. The maximum drift rate considering 9 applications in pineyards is 6.26% of the applied amount at a distance of Sm to surface waters. As a worst case, no degradation between the applications is assumed. Drift was calculated according to JKI spray drift data (status from 21.09. 2015).

#### sw.calculation Summary of the PEC

Calculation of the predicted environmental concentration of Serenade ASO in lentic water bodies (PECsw)

L.	Application	Rate Dista	Distance Drift	Amoun	t of drift	Initial PEC <sub>sw</sub> [µg/L]		
°	rate kg/ha	mg/m <sup>2</sup> (m	ı)(%) <sup>b)</sup>	g/ha	mg/m <sup>2</sup>	1 m	30 cm	
	0 <sup>7</sup> 72 <sup>a</sup>		6.26	4507.2	450.7	450.7	1502.4 <sup>c)</sup>	

<sup>(a)</sup> Accomulate Capplication rate of Serenade ASO for GAP directed use in grapes  $9 \times 8$  kg/ha)

Geording to Julius Kühn Institut<sup>1</sup>, status September 2015.

quivalent to 1.3 106 CFU/L or 21.03 μg B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713/L

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Basic Drift Values according to Julius Kühn Institut: status September 2015, http://www.jki.bund.de/no cache/en/startseite/institute/anwendungstechnik/abdrift-eckwerte.html

Due to the PEC<sub>SW</sub> calculation, the initial concentration of Serenade ASO in 30 cm depth in surface waters is 1502.4  $\mu$ g/L (21.03  $\mu$ g *B. amyloliquefaciens* /L) corresponding to  $1.5 \times 10^6$  CFU/L.

#### Fate and behaviour in air

Endospores are suitable for aerial distribution as they are easily blown about by wind (please effer to the baseline dossier, Annex II, Doc IIM, Section 5, Point IIM 7.1.3). Therefore, under conditions of use drift spacious transport may occur. Multiplication of B. amyloliqueficiens QST 713/in the air, aerosols or clouds can be excluded due to lack of organic matter supply and lack of minoral matrix to adhere to.

Furthermore, unlike chemical products, evaporation and volatility of bacteria is not expected to be a factor to consider in assessing the fate in air. Hence, volatilisation from plant surfaces and from soil can be evaluated. An investigation of the state in the state of the sta can be excluded. An investigation of photochentical-oxidative Ogradation in at is of no relevance in view of the volatility characteristics of the bacteria. In addition, during distribution of vegetative cells view of the volatility characteristics of the backfine. In additing during distribution of vegetative cells of *B*. *amylolauguetaciens*, SST 131 in ait types are exposed to several expromedial stress independent of the evaluation of several expromedial stress independent of the evaluation of several expromedial stress independent of the evaluation of several expression of the evaluation of several evaluation of several expression of the evaluation of several evaluation of of B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 in air they are exposed to several environmental stress factors (desiccation, UV-radiation, temperature). Therefore, survival of vegetative cells in air is fimited and