



Table of contents

Introduct	on
IIIM1 11	Summary and evaluation of environmental impact
IIIM1 11.1	Distribution and fate of MPCP
IIIM1 11.2	Identification of non-target species at risk and extent of their exposure
IIIM1 11.3	Identification of precautions necessary to minimize environmental contamination and to protect
Ê.	
**	
,	
L.	
Å	
V	
<u>,</u>	
Į.	
J.S.	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Ű	

# **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**

AG Now A COMPANY OF THE OWNER OF THE O This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Bayer CropScience AG. No part of the document or any information contained therein the document or any information contained therein may be disclosed to any third party without the prior written authorisation of Bayer CropScience AG. A defendent to be defendent of the second of

## Introduction

The company Bayer CropScience AG is submitting a dossier for the re-approval of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 713, previously designated as Bacillus subtilis QST 713, as an active substance under regulation (EC) 1107/2009. Due to changes in taxonomy, B. subtilis QST 713 is now classified as *B. amyloliquefaciens*. For further information, please refer to Annex II, Section 1, Point IIM 1.3.1 of this doger. As a consequence, the active substance is now named B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713. The old strain designation is still used in some documents and can be considered as a synonym. Serenade ASO is the representative formulation for the process of the re-approval of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 705 as an active substance under regulation (EC) 1107/2009.

Inclusion of B. subtilis QST 713 into Annex I of 91/414/EEC (now list of approved active substances according to (EU) No 540/2011) entered into force in February 2007 (Commission Directive 2007/6/EC). B. subtilis strain QST 713 was notified and defended by AgraQuest Inc. Although form ation Serenade ASO was not the representative formulation in the dossier for Annex I inclusion of *B. suburus* QST 713, here the data of above mentioned product is summarized, since it represents latest information on B. amfoliquefaciens QST 7.19 formulation. The representative formulation for the mitial Annex I inclusion, Sevenade OVP, is no longer produced.

Here we submit all studies reviewed on the zonal level and new date and information (public literature and summaries). The information for studies submitted on the zon will appear in blue fort. The lest substance it appears in the reference study will be used, new calculations will us the new strain designation.

Critical Good Agricultural Practices for Sevenade ASO are summarized in the table below.

				~~~	O A			Ro		
Crop and/	F	Pests or	<u></u>	Oplicat	tion		Application rate	S	PHI	Remarks
or	G	Group of	Method	Timing /	Max. Another	Diproduct Tha	kg as/ha 🗸 🍣	Water	(days)	
situation	I	controlled	Kind 🗸	Growth	(min.Ointerva	a) max rate	a) max. sate per appl	L/ha		
(crop			1 '0'	stage of	between	per appl.	b) may total rate per	. <i>,</i>		
destination			S.	crep &	applications	bo max. total	crop/season @	min /		
/ purpose		, N	0		a) per ûso	nsate , per		max		
of crop)			↓ ´	¥ &	b) per crop	crop/season				
	4	6 4		O	season					
Strawberry	B	Botrytis	Spraying	BECH	(a) 6 (5 days)	a) 10	a) 0 140 kg	400-	n.r.	10 L/ha
0/	y .	cinerea		\$\$5-89 0	b) 6 (5 days)	b) 60	ming 1x 10 ¹³ CFU/ha	1000		authorized
É S	r	0	Υö				(\mathbf{Q}_{10}) 84 kg			in UK
**				×	S in	U Å	min 6×10^{13} CEU/ha			
			~~	Q .			mm. 0 x 10 CFU/na			
Strawberry	F	Bopytis	Spraying	🛯 ВСН 🔨	a) 6 (5/days)	a) 8* 💍	a) 0.112 kg	400-	n.r.	
		cinérea	R″. Ś	\$55-89,0	b) 6 (5/days)	6) 48	min. 8 x 1012 CFU/ha	1000		
	~					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	b) 0.672 kg			
			$ \gg$			Č,	min 4.8×10^{13} CEU/ha			
	1						11111. 4.8 x 10 CI 0/11a			
Grapes	ĨĚ	Botrytis 👡	Spraying	BBCH 🤍	a) 9 (Š days) 🗞	a) 8	a) 0.112 kg	500-	n.r.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cinerea	1 4	68-89	b) \$ (5 days) \$	b) 72	min. 8 x 1012 CFU/ha	1000		
		l d		S. O			b) 1.008 kg			
· *			1 aligned and a second		× .0×		min 7.2x 10^{13} CEU/ha			
				Г Q	<u> </u>					
n.r. – not relev	ant	A V	`_Q`		~~					
* Please note for the purposes of calculating PEC values and risk assement the rate in Kg product/ha and CFU/g values were used as noted in										
the tables. $\int \nabla^2 \nabla \nabla = \nabla \nabla^2 \nabla \nabla$										
~~~	ÿ		~							
ç,										
$\bigcirc$										

**Table 10-1:** Proposed use pattern of Severade ASO (n

## **IIIM1 11** Summary and evaluation of environmental impact

All literature searches were conducted to include information for both the original active ingredient designation of Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens.

## **IIIM1 11.1 Distribution and fate of MPCP**

#### Fate and behaviour in soil

Based on available information derived from studies and published literature on Bacillus supplies and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens bacterial strains, the environmental fate and population dynamics of B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 upon field application of Serenade ASO can be summarized as follows: Bacillus subtilis and B. amyloliquefaciens are both members of the natural micro-flora in soils and occur without geographical restriction. Following an application of Serenade ASO, survival of the endospores of B. amyloliquefaciens in soil is respected to a period of a few months during which time a natural breakdown begins and gradually reduces the numbers of spores remaining. It is very C unlikely that endospores of B. amyloliquefacters will germinate and grow into vegetative cells unless encouraging conditions exist, meaning favourable soil pH soil mosture content, sufficient nutrient availability and lack of competition / predation from other soil micro-organisms,

Finally, introduced B. amyloliquefacions cells and spores are not expected to exceed the natural level Ĩ in soil permanently.

The highest predicted environmental concentration of Scienade ASO is the mg Screnade ASO of dry weight soil (1.34 mg *B. subtilit* kg dry weight soil). In terms of CFU, this is equivalent to  $66 \times 10^7$  CFU/kg dry weight soil. CFU/kg dry weight soil.

## Fate and behaviour in water

#### Surface water

Bacillus subtilis and B. anyloliquefaciens is frequently occurring in différent aquatic environments, as fresh water, estuarine and coastal waters and endospores have been detected in sediments and even in the open ocean. However, **B**. subfits and **B**. amyloliquetaciens does not find optimal conditions for growth, e.g. waters are poor in organic C Therefore, profileration is not likely to occur. It may be stated that **B**. subfilis and **B**. amyloliquetaciens of inactivated in water under natural conditions, including water.

## Ground water

Bacillus Bibtilis and B amylolique facions endospores are reported to as having longevity in Goundwater. However, B. supprilis and B. amylolig@efacients do not find optimal conditions for growth, e.g. waters are poor or organic content. Therefore, proliferation in ground water is not likely to occur. %

Considering the fatural distribution of Besubtility and B. amyloliquefaciens, as an integral part of the soil-microflora no detrimental concern is abributable to field applications of the B. amyloliquedacients containing product Serenade ASO. Therefore only a negligible amount of B. anyloliguefacients is expected to reach ground water. It is thus concluded that no threat of contamination of ground water exists following applications of Serenade ASO according to GAP.

## Fate and Dehaviour in air

Endospores are suitable for aeria distribution as they are easily blown about by wind. Therefore, under conditions of use driff spacions transport may occur. Multiplication of B. subtilis and B. anyiloliquefaciens in the Gir, aerosols or clouds can be excluded due to lack of organic matter supply and tack of mineral matrix to adhere to.

Furthermore, unlike chemical products, evaporation and volatility of bacteria is not expected to be a factor to consider in assessing the fate in air. Hence volatilisation from plant surfaces and from soil can be excluded. In addition, in air B. subtilis and B. amyloliquefaciens cells are exposed to several environmental stress factors (desiccation, UV-radiation, temperature). Therefore, survival of vegetative cells in air is limited.

A summary of PEC_{soil} and PEC_{sw} calculation is presented in Tables IIIM1 11.1-1 and IIIM1 111.1-2, respectively.

The calculation was based on the accumulated field rate of Serenade ASO in grapes, with a maximum of 9 applications.

Due to the PEC_{SW} calculation, the initial concentration of Serenade ASO in 30 cm depth in surface waters is 1502.4  $\mu$ g/L (21.03  $\mu$ g *B. amyloliquefaciens*/L) corresponding to 1.5 × 10⁶ CFU/L.

Table IIIM1 1	11.1-1	Summary	of PEC _{Soil}	calculations
---------------	--------	---------	------------------------	--------------

···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Critical use	Grapes, maximum of nine applications with 8 Kg Serenade ASO/ha each
Accumulated application rate	72 kg Serenade ASO/ha, 1.008 kg <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> QST $713$ /ha, 7.2 × 10 ¹³ CFU/ha
Soil density	$1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 (= 75 \text{ g/g soil/m}^2)$
Incorporation depth	5 cm layer ( $=$ 50 L soil/m ² )
Plant interception	Not considered Q & A A A
PEC _{Soil}	96 mg/Serenade ASO/Kg dry, weight soil, 1.34 mg <i>B. amylolignefaciens</i> QSF /13/kg dry weight soil, 9.0×107 CFU/kg dry weight soil

**Table IIIM1 11.1-2** Calculation of the predicted environmental concentration of Serenade ASO in lentic water bodies (PEC_{sw})

Application	Rate	Distance	Drift	Amount of drift		Thitig PECsy [µg/L]	
rate kg/ha	mg/m² [°]	(m)	<b>(%)</b> ^{b)}	g/har	sung/m ²	1001	>>> 30 cm
72 ^{a)}	7200	3	6,26	4507.2	0 ⁵⁴ 450.3	¢450.7	1502.4 ^{c)}

a) Accumulated application rate of Berenade ASO for GAP directed use in grapes 9 × 8 kg/ha)

^{b)} According to Julius Kubn Institut¹, status September 2067.

^{c)} Equivalent to 1.5 30° CFUL or 21.03 μg Qumylohouefacients QSTQ13/L

## IIIM1 11.2 Identification of non-target species at risk and extent of their exposure

According to the presented risk assessment, the use of Screnade ASO at the proposed label rates according be good agricultural practice poses no osk to any of the non-target species.

# Effects on birds

Birds are not considered to be at fisk upon application of Serenade ASO. This was confirmed by the absence of treatment related mortalities or effects upon oral administration in birds and the TERA values for grapes and strawberries (following First Orer assessment) exceed the Annex VI trigger value of 10 microaring that Gerenade ASO boses no risk to birds following application according to the proposed use patterns of these rop scenarios.

Ľ

O

## Effects on Fish

The long term TER value of fish for Screnade ASO exceeds the Annex VI trigger value of 10 indicating that no adverse effects are to be expected upon field application at recommended use levels. Due to the absence of toxicity in the semi-static studies conducted over a period of 30 days no acute risk for fish is expected upon short term exposure to Serenade ASO.

# Effects on freshwater invertebrates

The source TER value for daphnids is are above the Annex VI trigger of 100, indicating a low acute risk to *D. magna* following GAP directed application of Serenade ASO. Considering the absence of acute to very and the NOEC obtained in the 21-day semi-static tests  $(1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/L})$  that is more than 10-fold higher than the PEC_{SW}  $(1.56 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/L})$  no adverse effects on daphnids are to be

¹ Basic Drift Values according to Julius Kühn Institut: status September 2015,

http://www.jki.bund.de/no cache/en/startseite/institute/anwendungstechnik/abdrift-eckwerte.html

expected even upon prolonged exposure to serenade ASO. Prolonged exposure, however, is not likely to occur due to the restricted persistence of *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713 in water.

## Effects on single cell algae

The long-term TER value of algae for *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713 strongly exceeds the Annex VI trigger value of 10 suggesting that no negative side effect is expected following field application according to GAP.

## Effects on aquatic plants other than algae

The long-term TER value of algae for *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713 strongly exceeds the Agnex V1, trigger value of 10 suggesting that no negative side effect is expected following field application according to GAP.

## Effects on terrestrial plants

No information is provided however as the active ingredient is not a plant pathogen no adverse effects on terrestrial plants are expected when the product's used according to tabel instructions.

## Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than birds

Acute and short-term toxicity studies with *B. subulis* QST 713 containing products in fats confirm the absence of toxicity to mammals. Using the highest  $D_{50}$  value of *B. subults* QST 713 obtained during acute oral toxicity studies for a risk assessment, the calculated TER values including those for critical uses, indicate that no macceptable risk is to be expected for mammals upon field application of Serenade ASO according to GAP.

#### Effects on bees

From the results of all studies it can be concluded, that application of Serenade ASO according to Good Agricultural Practice internet uses does not pose a risk to honey press.

## Effects on arthropods other than bees

Following the result of the non-target arthropod risk assessment the HQ values for the in-crop scenarios as well as for the off-crop scenarios are below the trigger of 2, the ESCORT 2 document and SONCO 0329/20023 demand no further higher tier testing. No unacceptable risk is to be expected upon field application of Serenade ASO according to GAP.

## Effects on earthworms

The acute TFR value of earthworms for Screenade ASO esceeds the Annex VI trigger value of 10 indicating that GAR directed application of Screenade ASO poses no acute risk to earthworms.

## Effects on soil miceo-organisms

The ingredients of the preparation Serenate ASO, formulated as a suspension concentrate, are inert, not toxic and impose no environmental or health risk. Literature on possible effects of *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefacients* on for microflora hows that its introduction to soil does affect soil microbial activity.

The *B. annifoliquefaciens* and *Bacillus Subtilis* are members of the natural micro-flora in soils worldwide. Therefore, it possible multiplication in this natural habitat does not disturb the natural micro-flora. A Segetative growth declines with declining nutrient source this species does not seem to compete well for limited resources and *B. subtilis* and *B. anyloliquefaciens* populations will be subject to competition in the natural micro-flora on ecological basics.

In conclusion, begative effect to the soil microflora following application of Serenade ASO according to CAP directed uses are not expected.

# IIIM1(11.3 Identification of precautions necessary to minimize environmental contamination and to

The risk assessment proves that Serenade ASO is not toxic to the tested aquatic and terrestrial species, and considering the expected environmental concentration will not be hazardous to natural populations upon applications according to Good Agricultural Practice. No hazard classification or specific labelling according to EC Directive 67/548/EEC is required for Serenade ASO.