



### **Table of contents**



# **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**



### Introduction

Bayer CropScience AG is submitting a dossier The company for the re-approval of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 713, previously designated as Bacillus subtilis QST 713, as an active substance under regulation (EC) 1107/2009. Due to changes in taxonomy, B. subtilis QST 713 is now classified as B. amyloliquefaciens. For further information, please refer to Annex II, Section 1, Point IIM 1.3.1 of this dossier. As a consequence, the active substance is now named B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713. The old strain designation a is still used in some documents and can be considered as a synonym. Serenade ASO is the representative formulation for the process of the re-approval of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 719 as an active Substance under regulation (EC) 1107/2009.

Inclusion of *B. subtilis* QST 713 into Annex I of 91/414/EEC (now list of approved active substances according to (EU) No 540/2011) entered into force in February 2007 (Commission Directive 2007/6/EC *B. subtilis* strain QST 713 was notified and defended by AgraQuest Inc. Although formulation Serenade ASO was not the representative formulation in the dossier for Annex I inclusion of *B. subtilis* QST 713, here the data of above mentioned product is summarized, since it represents latest information on the *B. amyfoliquefactens* QST 715 formulation. The representative formulation for the initial Annex I inclusion, Seconde VP, is no longer produced.

Here we submit all studies reviewed on the zonal level and new data and information (public literature and summaries) for the new representative formulation. For studies previously submitted on the zonal level the reference study citation for these endpoints will be in blue to indicate they have been submitted on the zonal level. All summaries will reflect the name of the study tear substance as indicated in the study. New calculated endpoints use the new strain designation Pacifikes amybridge any bridge of T12.

Critical Good Agricultural Practices for Serenade ASO are summarized in table 10-1. These were used as reference for the calculation of exposure in the risk assessment. As worst case, the maximum number of applications was considered for the risk assessment within the frame of the risk envelope approach. Ecotoxicity data relevant to the MCPA represent the relevant information to be used in the risk assessment of Serenade ASO, as explained below in section IIIM1010. They are thus used as reference for the risk assessment of Serenade ASO.

Crop and/	F	Pests or (	Ď "́́	Application	ht so		Application rate		PHI	Remar
or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop)	G		Method / Kine	Timing / Growth stage of crop & season	Max, number (min) interval between opplications) a) per see	L product / ha <sup>*</sup> a) max. rate per appl. b) max total rate per	kg as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min / max	s)	ks
		3			Beason					
Strawberry	G Ç	Botrytis cinere	Spravoyš	BBEON 55-89	a) 6 (5 days) b) 6 (5 days)	<sup>9</sup> a) 10 b) 60	a) 0.140 kg min. 1x 10 <sup>13</sup> CFU/ha b) 0.84 kg	400- 1000	n.r.	10 L/ha authori zed in UK
		, Q	Q,				min. 6 x 10 <sup>13</sup> CFU/ha			
Strawberry	F	Botiyus cinerea	Spraying	ввсн 55-89	a) 6 (5 days) 6 (5 days)	a) 8* b) 48	a) 0.112 kg min. 8 x 10 <sup>12</sup> CFU/ha	400- 1000	n.r.	
							b) 0.672 kg min. 4.8x 10 <sup>13</sup> CFU/ha			
Grapes	F	Botrytis	Spraying	BBCH 68-89	a) 9 (5 days)	a) 8	a) 0.112 kg	500-	n.r.	
	, , , ,	S A			b) 9 (5 days)	b) 72*	min. 8x 10 <sup>12</sup> CFU/ha b) 1.008 kg min. 7.2x 10 <sup>13</sup> CFU/ha	1000		

# Table 10-1 Summary of critical Good Agricultural Practice for Serenade ASO

n.r. - not relevant

\*Please note for the purposes of calculating PEC values and risk assement the rate in Kg product/ha and CFU/g values were used as noted in the tables.

# Table 10-2Summary of the PEC calculations

	Critical use	Grapes, maximum of nine applications with 8 Kg* Serenade ASO/ha each	
	Accumulated application rate	72 kg Serenade ASO/ha, 1.008 kg <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> QST 713/ha, $7.2 \times 10^{13}$ CFU/ha	Ş
	Soil density	1.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (= 75 kg soil/ m <sup>2</sup> )	
	Incorporation depth	5 cm layer (= 50 L soil/m <sup>2</sup> )	
	Plant interception	Not considered	Ø
	Distance	3 m 4 0 5 5 40	¥
	Drift		
	PEC <sub>Soil</sub>	96 mg Serenade ASØKg dry weight soil, 1.34 mg B. Gmvloltauefacierts OSE/13/ke dry weight soil,	
		9.9×107 CFU/kg dry woight soil of it is in the soil of	
	Initial PEC <sub>SW</sub> (30 cm)	1502:4/µg Serenade ASO/L.	
		21.03 µg Bo amylohouefacteris QST 713/1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
		*Reciduct applied on 1/ha basis) risk cabilated ar Kg/ha	
)	Rationale to waive additional test	ting based on a deepacy of information provided for MPCA.	

IIIM1 10 Rationale to waive additional resting based on adequacy of information provided for MP to permit an assessment of the impact of the MPCP on upper-target organisms

No experimental data of putative effects to non-target species are available for the formulation Serenade ASO, since European data requirement refer to the Technical grade Active Ingredient (TGAI). This information is presented in the baseline dossier for the MCPA *Bacillus amylolique aciens* (ST 713) (previously designated as *Bacillus obtilis* (OST 713). Ecotoxicity data relevant to the MCPA this represent the relevant information to be used in the risk assessment of Serenade ASO (MPCP)

IIIM1 10.1 Effects on birds

No new studies are submitted, assessing the effect of Seconde ASO on birds. Risk assessment, basing on data previously evaluated on the onal or EU level are summarized below.

Overview and summary

Table 10.1 EU Endpoints: Poxicity of Bacillus subtilis QST 713, now designated B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 to birds

Study	Test Substance	EU agreed endpoints (SASCO/10184/2003 - rev. final – 14/07/2006)	Endpoints used in risk assessment
Short- topm filetary toxicary	OST 715 Technical Powder Virginianus)	$D_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg QST 713}$ Technical Powder/kg bw/d (380 mg pure <i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713/kg bw/d) (>10 <sup>11</sup> CFU/kg bw/d)	LD <sub>50</sub> > 380 mg <i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713/kg b.w.

C Effects on birds for Serenade ASO were not evaluated as part of the EU review of *Bacills subtilis* O QST 713 now designated *Bacillus amyloliquefaceins* QST 713. However, further data for Serenade ASO are not relevant as active substance data on toxicity to birds are used and the ingredients in the formulation do not pose a risk to birds. Therefore, all relevant data were assessed in the EU review. Risk assessments for Serenade ASO with the proposed use pattern are provided here and are considered adequate.

# **Risk Assessment**

### Toxicity

The short-term toxicity of QST 713 Technical Powder to Colinus virginianus was evaluated (refer to Annex II, Doc IIM, Section 6, Point IIM 8.1). The test substance was administered at a daily dose of 5000 mg/kg bw/day for five days. No treatment related mortalities or effects of QST 713 chical Powder occurred in the test organism. The acute  $LD_{50}$  can be determined to lie above the tested concentration of 5000 mg/kg bw/day. , Ô

Table 10.1-2	Summary of	avian	toxicity	endpoint	for	Bacillus	subtilis 🖉	॔STॣ <sup>®</sup> १	3, now	1
designated <b>B</b> .	amyloliquefa	ciens QS	ST 713	- The second sec		<u>v</u>	ڭ ش	~0~	Ű	
Study tring	Test substan		Specie		Endn	<u>N</u>	V Dafa	N.	R I	Ô <sup>v</sup>

Study type	Test substance	Species	Endpoint	Reference
Short-term dietary toxicity	QST 713 Technical Powder	Colfinas vieginianus (Northesn bobwerte)	DD <sub>50</sub> > 5600 mg/kg b.w. <sup>2</sup> y	M-475475-01-3

a) Corresponding to > 380 mg pure A amylologuefacilly QST 3/kg, bw/d or 3011 CFO kg bw/d

## Exposure

Birds are typically exposed to it is their food items following the dilution and spraying of the formulated product. During these processes, much of the formulation constituents are likely to be lost by volatilisation. Therefore, where oral exposure is the main route of exposure, texicity data for the active substance are used in preference to data from tests with the formulated material. Exposure to Serenade ASO par dermal and inhalation routes is considered unlikely, since at the time of application and for a short period thereafter, most wild mammals will leave the immediate vicinity of spray operations in response to the human disturbance.

The potential exposure of birds to Serenade ASO was estimated following GAP directed applications of the product in the different uses at maximum application rates. The risk assessment for effects on burds is carried out according to the Catest draft of the European Food Safety Authority Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals (EFSA Journal 2009)1.

Toxicity exposure ratios

Acute toxicity, exposure ratio (TERA)

Birds may be exposed to Serenade ASO and result of feeding on contaminated vegetation, seeds or insects. Standard exposure scenarios for the intended uses are described in the EFSA Journal<sup>2</sup>. The risk for midicator species of each scenario was assessed in a screening assessment. Data on shortterm toxicity are used as they cover acute toxicity to pirds.

According () the FESA Journal (2009)<sup>2</sup> the daily dietary dose (DDD) was calculated for the active substance with the following formulae: DDD (multiple) appleation for (kg/pa) × shortcut value × MAF

With: Shortcut value = default parameter combining food intake rate, body weight, concentration of the substance in the treated on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile residues) and the fraction of diet obtained in the treated area for the ord indicator species/crop combination in question. In case of multiple applications a corresponding MAF (multiple application factor) is considered. The TER value was calculated by dividing the acute endpoint by the daily dietary dose (DDD) for each application rate.

The screening assessment was performed for strawberries and grapes. The screening assessment is shown in **Table 10,1-3**.

European Food Safety Authority; Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds & Mammals on request from EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12): 1438. [139 pp.].

Indicator species	Сгор	Test item	Toxicity LD50	Appli- cation rate <sup>a)</sup>	Max. number of applications	MAF b)	Short cut value <sup>c)</sup>	
Small	Strawberries	OST 713	> 380 mg <i>B</i> .	0.112	6	2.2	158.8	> 9.7.7 2.7.7
omnivorous bird	Grapes	Technical Powder	subtilis QST 713/kg b.w.	kg Øs./ha		2.4	95.3	

Table 10.1-3Screening assessment for birds following GAP directed application ofSerenade ASO.

a) Refers to *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713 (corresponding 678 kg Serenade ASO/ba for the intended uses)

b) MAF according to 6 or 9 successive applications at intervals of 5 days provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup>

c) Short cut value based on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of residues provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup>

The TER<sub>A</sub> values for grapes exceed the Annex VI trigger value of 10, indicating that Sepenade 4SO poses no risk to birds following application according to the proposed use patterns of these crop scenarios.

Because the resulting acute TER values for strawberries was slightly below the bigger value of 10 a First Tier risk assessment was performed. For the refinement generic focal species and corresponding short cut values depending on the crop stage are provided in the Annex I of the EFSA Guidance document (2009)<sup>2</sup>. According to the intended GAP for Serenade ASO (please refer to Appendix 2 of this section) the risk assessment was performed assuming six applications in strawberries. The risk assessment is shown in **Table 10.1-4** and **Table 70.1-5**.

Table 10.14 First Tier risk assessment for birds following application of Serenade ASO in strawberries.

ScenarioGeneric focal speciesToxicity $LD_{50}$ Application $Ate^{\circ}$ Short cut value <sup>a)</sup> MAF <sup>b</sup> TER (10)BBCH $\geq 20$ Small operatories brow wagtail?Small operatories $Drow gtail?25.2> 61.2BBCH \geq 40Small operatoriesbird380 mg B.subDiv QST0.112 kga.i./ha9.62.2LateapplicationsFrugiorous ord73/kg by0.112 kga.i./ha9.62.2$		a.		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Scenario Species Scenario Species Spec	Short cut value <sup>a)</sup>	MAF <sup>b)</sup>	TER (10)
Small opinivorous     380 mg B.     0.112 kg     9.6     2.2     >160.6       Late     Frugivorous ord     73/kg by     a.i./ha     9.6     2.2     >160.6	BBCH ≥ 20 Small msective rous bhro "wagtail"	25.2		> 61.2
Late Frugiorous frd	Small $\phi$ mivorovs BBCH $\geq 400$ Sind $\phi$ $380 \text{ subt} B$ $0.112 \text{ kg}$ i  larks $73/kg$ $b  subt B$ $i  larks$ $i  larks$ $380  subt B$ $i  larks$	9.6	2.2	> 160.6
BBCH 61 89 89 89 87 89 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87	Late applications BBCH 61 89 Starving: 100%	27.0		> 57.1

a) Short cut value based on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of residues provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup>.
 b) MAF according to six applications at a minimum interval of 5 days provided in EFSA Guidance of document 2009<sup>2</sup>.

Refers to *B* anyloliquefacient QST 713 (corresponding to 8 kg Serenade ASO/ha for the intended uses)

The ER<sub>A</sub> value, resulting from the First Tier risk assessment, is much higher than the Annex VI trigger value of 10, indicating that Serenade ASO does not pose acute risk to birds following application in strawberries according to the proposed use patterns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>©</sup><u>Risk mitigation</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> European Food Safety Authority; Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds & Mammals on request from EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12): 1438. [139 pp.].

No risk mitigation measures are required.

#### Short-term and long-term toxicity exposure ratio (TERst/Lt)

As the acute TER value indicates no risk to birds and no adverse effects were observed in short-term toxicity studies, no long-term effects are to be expected upon field application of Serenade ASO according to GAP.

### Effects on Terrestrial Vertebrates Other Than Birds

In this section, studies are submitted assessing the effect of Serenade ASO on rate Please refer to Annex III, Doc IIIM1, Points IIIM1 7.1.1 and IIIM1 7.1.3. A summary of the tisk assessment in consideration of the current uses and the previously evaluated studies is presented below. Additionally, data from the assessment with a similar formulated product, Setenade AS, containing the same the active ingredient, as well as one study performed with active substance *B. submits* QST 713, are presented.

Beside this, no relevant literature was identified to inform the risk assessment of Servivade ASO to terrestrial vertebrates other than birds.

#### **Overview and summary**

Table 10.1-5 Ecotoxicological endpoints of Bavillus subtilis OST 703, nov designated B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 for maximals

	Test substance १	Test species	EU agreed endpoints (SANCOF10184/2003 rest final – 14/07/2006	Endpoints used in risk assessment	Referênce
	J .				
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	QST 753 Technical Provder	Rat Rat	$D_{50} = 1.13 \times 10^8$ CFU/animal $S_{5.65} = 10^8$ CFU/kg b.w. <sup>a)</sup>	2 $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$	(please refer to Annex II, Doc IIM, Point IIM 5.1.1.1 of the EU dossier) M-474035-01-1
<i>K</i> ∕y″	Ŷ	ް			b) 1008
#	QST 713 WO		LD <sub>50</sub> 5000 mg/ kg bw (2.5 × 00 <sup>10</sup> CFO/kg b.w.)		(please refer to Annex III, Doc IIIM1, Point IIIM1 7.1.1 of the EU dossier) M-474041-01-1
Č.	\$~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-Q-			. 2015a
Ly	Serenade ASQ	Rat C	LD <sub>50</sub> ~ 5000 mg/kg b.w. (>7 × 10 <sup>1</sup> % FU/kg DW.)	$LD_{50} > 7 \times 10^{10}$ CFU/kg b.w.	please refer to Doc IIIM1, Section 3, Point IIIM1 7.1.1 of this dossier M-527086-01-1
Å		) 🖉	~	(corresponding to >	20151
	Sevenade Sevenade SSO	a Bat	$LC_{50} > 5.91 \text{ mg/L}$ (> 8.27 × 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU/L)	5000 mg/kg b.w.)	2015b, please refer to Doc IIIM1, Section 3, Point IIIM1 7.1.3 of this dossier M-527088-02-1
$\lor$	a) <b>A</b> annuit a s				
	a) Assuming a	mean bod	iy weight of 200 g/animal	1 01 1 1	
	b) in the Mon	ograph E.M	Is mentioned as	author of the study altho	ugh the study director was

Effects on mammals for Serenade ASO were not evaluated as part of the EU review of B. subtilis QST 713 now designated B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713. However, further data on Serenade ASO are not relevant as data on the active substance B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 and a similar formulated product (Serenade AS) on toxicity are used and are considered adequate. Serenade AS, also formulated as a suspension concentrate, contains the same amount of active ingredies as Serenade ASO. Assuming that if even, adverse effects may only be due to consumption of kacterial spores and not due to the co-formulants contained in Serenade ASO, the maximum Land value referring to the content of B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 is  $7 \times 10^{10}$  CEWkg bw, corresponding to 5,000 mg Serenade ASO/kg bw. Risk assessments for Serenade ASO basing on the maximum  $\mathcal{ED}_{50}$ value and the proposed use pattern are provided here and are considered adequate.

#### Exposure

Mammals are typically exposed to dry residues on their food items following the diluton and spraying of the formulated product. During these processes, much of the formulation constituents are likely to be lost by volatilisation. Therefore, oral uptake by feeding on contaminate food if the main route of exposure. Dermal and inhalation routes of exposure to Serenade ASO are considered unlikely, since at the time of application and for a short period thereafter, post wild mammals will leave the immediate vicinity of spray operations in response to human disturbance. For tomatdes, pepper and aubergine application is solely intended in greenhouses (Please refer to Appendix 9 of this document). Accordingly, mammals will not be exposed to Serenade ASQ in this crop somario. Thus, application in tomatoes pepper and autorgine was not considered in the risk assessment.

The potential exposure of mammals to Serenades ASO was Stimated following GAP directed applications of the product in the different uses at maximum application rates. The risk assessment for effects on mammars is carried out according to the largest draft of the European Food Safety Authority Guidance Document on Tesk Assessment for Birds and Mammals' (EFSA Journal 2009)2.

Toxicity exposure ratios

Acute toxicity exposure ratio (TERA) Mammal may be exposed to serenade ASO as a result of feeding on contaminated vegetation, seeds of inseco Standard exposure scenarios for the intended user are described in the EFSA Journal. The risk for indicator species of each scenario was assessed in a screening assessment. Data on short-termoxicity are used as they cover acute terricity to mammals.

According to the (EFSA Journal 2009) the daily distary dose (DDD) was calculated for the active substance with the following formulae: ő \$1  $\bigcirc$ 

DDD (multiple) = application rate (kg/ha) shortcut value × MAF

With: Shortcon value = default parameter combining food ntake rate, body weight, concentration of the substance in the dieO(based on the 90th percentile residues) and the fraction of diet obtained in the treated area for the manimal indicator species/crop combination in question. In case of multiple applications a corresponding MAF (multiple application factor) is considered. The TER value was Talculated by dividing the active endpoint by the daily dietary dose (DDD) for each application rate. The screening assessment was performed for use in strawberries and grapes. Details are shown in

estimation of the second secon

European Food Safety Authority; Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds & Mammals on request from EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12): 1438. [139 pp.].

Indicator species	Сгор	Test item	Toxicity LD50	Application rate	MAF <sup>a)</sup>	Short cut value <sup>b)</sup>	TER (10)	~
Small	Strawberries		$> 7 \times 10^{10}$ CFU/kg bw	8 kg	2.2		×2.1	
herbivorous mammals	Grapes	Serenade ASO	corresponding to 5000 mg Serenade ASO/kg/bw	8 kg Serenade ASO/ha	2.4	136.4 <sup>4</sup>		ļņ ļ

Table 10.1-6	Screening assessment for	mammals following application of Serenade ASO.
--------------	--------------------------	--

a) MAF according to 6 successive applications in strawberries and 9 processive applications in grapes provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup>

b) Short cut value based on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of esidues provided in EFSA Guid@ce document 200

The TER<sub>A</sub> value for strawberries is below the Annex VI trigger of 10, indicating that manufals might be at risk if Serenade ASO is used in these crops according to GAP For both grapes and strawberries a First Tier risk assessment was performed. For the refinement, generic focal species and corresponding short cut values depending on the crop stage are provided in the Annex I of the EFSA Guidance document (2009). The risk assessment is shown in **Table 10.1-7** and **Table 10.7-8**.

Table 10.1-7 First Tier esk assessment for mammals following application of Serenade ASO in grapes.

noo in grupes.			$\vee$	è	S 4 i	8
Scenario	Generic focal	Toxicity 5	Application Vrate	Short cost xalue <sup>a)</sup>	MKAF <sup>b)</sup>	TER (10)
Application	Small S Herbivorous		05 23 23 29		)	> 6.4
crops directed BBSM ≥ 40	Small organivorods mansmal "mouse"	> 7 10 <sup>10</sup> OFU/kg corresponding to 5000 mg Sevenade	Serenade ASØ/ha	5.2	2.4	> 50.1
BBCH-240	Largo herbivorous mammal "lagomorph"			8.1		> 32.2

a) Short cut value based on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of residues provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup>.
 b) MAF coording to nine applications at minimum interval of 5 days provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup>.

From the obtained TERA values, small omnivorous ("mouse") and large herbivorous ("lagomorph") mammals exceeded the Annex IV frigger value of 10, while small herbivorous mammals "vole" were below the trigger value. It can be concluded that small herbivorous mammals might be at risk upon GAP directed use of Serenade ASO in grapes. However, no toxicity on mammals was detected when tats were exposed to 5000 mg/kg b.w. during acute oral toxicity test (please refer to Annex III, Doc IIIM1 Point IIIM1 (1.1). When exposing rats to Serenade ASO at acute inhalation toxicity studies, no mortality or gross abnormalities were detected (please refer to Annex III, Doc IIIM1, Point HIM1 70.3). If was therefore concluded, that Serenade was not classificated as toxic or harmful. Since none of the studies showed toxic effects, and TER values were exceeded the calculated value, no toxicity on mammals is expected upon GAP directed use of Serenade ASO in grapes.

Scenario	Generic focal species	Toxicity LD50	Application rate	Short cut value <sup>a)</sup>	MAF <sup>b)</sup>		20) 20)
	Large herbivourus mammal "lagomorph"			ð 74.0	, 65 ,	× > 2003	Į V
BBCH≥40	Small herbivourous mammal "vole" Small omnivourous mammal "mouse"	> 7 × 10 <sup>10</sup> CWU/kg b.x corresponding to 5000 fng Serenade ASO/kg-bw	serenade ASO/pa	54.6 @		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
BBCH≥20	Small insectivorous mamma "shrow"			5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4		252.6	

Table 10.1-8First Tier risk assessment for mammals following application of SerenadeASO in strawberries.

From the obtained TER, values small ornnivorous ("vole"), small insectiborous ("shrew") and large herbivorous ("lagomorph") mammals exceeded the Anne IV trigger value of 10, while small herbivorous mammals "vole" were below the trigger value, 11 can be concluded that small herbivorous mammals might be at risk opon GAP directed us of Sérenade 4800 in strawberries. However, no toxicity on mammals was detected when rats were exposed to 5000 mg/kg b.w. during acute oral toxicity test please refer to Anne. III, Do IIIMk Point IIIM1, 70,1). When exposing rats to Serenade ASO at acute inhalation toxicity studies, no mortanity or gross abnormalities were detected if was therefore concluded, that Serenade was not classificated as toxic or harmful. Since non of the studies showed toxic effects, and TER values were greater as calculated, no toxicity on mammals is expected upon GAP directed us of Serenade ASO in strawberries.

Moreover basing on provious evaluated studies it was concluded that *B. subtilis* QST 713, does not pose risk on mammals Calculation to assess the risk upon GAP directed use in strawberries and grapes is presented below:

According to the (EFS Fournal 2009), the daily dietary dose (DDD) was calculated for the active substance with the following formulae

DDD (multiple) = application rate (kg/ha) shorton value × MAF With:

Shortcut value defaut parameter combining food intake rate, body weight, concentration of the substance in the diet dased of the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile residues) and the fraction of diet obtained in the treated area for the mammal indicator species/crop combination in question. In case of multiple applications a corresponding MAP (multiple application factor) is considered. The TER value was calculated by dividing the acute endpoint by the daily dietary dose (DDD) for each application rate. The screening assessment was performed for use in strawberries and grapes. Details are shown in Table 10.149



Indicator species	Сгор	Test item	Toxicity LD50	Application rate	MAF <sup>a)</sup>	Short cut value <sup>b)</sup>	TER (10)	~
Small	Strawberries		$> 7 \times 10^{10}$ CFU/kg bw	8 kg	2.2		2¥1.5	<i>a</i>
small herbivorous mammals	Grapes	Serenade ASO	corresponding to 5000 mg Serenade ASO/kg by	Serenade ASO/ha	2.4	118.4 ×	\$ \$ \$ 9.1 \$ \$ \$	ĵ,

Table 10.1-9         Screening assessment for mammals following application of Seren
--

MAF according to 6 successive applications in strawberries and 9 successive applications in grapes a) provided in EFSA Guidance document 2009<sup>2</sup> "

Short cut value based on the 90th percentile of residues provided in EFSA Guid for document 200 b)

The TERA value for strawberries is the Annex VI trigger of 10, addicating that mammals are per at risk if Serenade ASO is used in these crops according to GAP. for grapes a First Tier risk assessment was performed. For the rolinement, generic focal species and corresponding short cut values depending on the crop stage are provided in the Annex I of the EFSA Gurdance document  $(2009)^2$ . The risk assessment is shown in **Table 19.1-10**.

Table 10.1-10	First	Tier <b>Qisk</b>	asses	sment	for ma	mmals	following	applica	tion of	Serenade
ASO in grapes.		,0 °	Š		4.Y	~0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	N E	Š (	Ì

noo in grupes.	~	40*	· %	~	Y No I	~~	ີ່ ຟາ	\$
Scenario	Generic tor Species	al 🖗	Toxicity ED <sub>50</sub>		Apprication vrate	Short cost yalue <sup>a)</sup>	, °≫ M≰AF <sup>b)</sup> O	TER (10)
Application	Small Herbivorous	s le"					)	> 30.5
crops diffected BBCM ≥ 400	omainivorou mansmal "mouse"	> 7 s cor 500	10 <sup>10</sup> GFU	i to	8 kg2 Serenade ASO/ha	5.2	2.4	> 239.6
	Large herbivorou: mammal Regomorph					8.1		> 153.8

Short set value based on the 90" Percentile of residues provided in EFSA Guidance document 20092. MAF according to mine applications at a minimum interval of 5 days provided in EFSA Guidance C document 2009<sup>2</sup> 2

From the obtained, TERA values, all exceeding the Annex IV trigger value of 10 it can be concluded that manuals are not at the upor GAP directed use of Serenade ASO in grapes. 0

# **Risk@nitigation**

Nowsk mitigation neasures are required.

#### L 1 Short-term and long-term toxicity exposure ratio (TER<sub>ST/LT</sub>)

C

Shorf-term effects opmammals for Serenade ASO were not evaluated as part of the EU review of B. subulis QSF 712 now designated B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713. However, further data on Setenade ASO are not relevant as data from another Serenade fungicide containing B. subtilis QST 13, now designated *B. amyloliquefaciens*, are available and have been already assessed in the EU review.

Serenade ASO (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 713)

Table 10.1-11	Short te	rm inhalation	toxicity to mammals	
Substance	Species	Endpoint	Value (CFU <i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713 /animal)	Report
Serenade Biofungicide (WP formulation)*	Rat	LD <sub>50</sub>	> 5 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/animal 0350 g/animal	, 2004 (this report was submitted on December 2004 and is cited in Addendum Dio the Monograph date of issue: 30.11.2000 M-474026-01-Q

During the 28-day inhalation study conducted with a Sefenade fungicide containing B. abtilis OST , 2004; please refer to Annex II, Doc IIM Point JIM 5.1 Oin the U dossier of 713 ( B. subtilis QST 713, there were no signs of toxicity observed and the microgranism proofed to be non-pathogenic as spores were cleared from all body organs within eight weeks after the ast day of non-pathogenic as spores were cleared from all body organs within-eight weeks and inclusion appoint the pain protection products to wild animals thriving in agricultural fields, on the pasis of the 28-day study and from the absonce of toxicity in the three presented acute oral toxicity studies at can be concluded that no long term effects on mammals are to be expected upon field application of Serenade ASO.

# IIIM1 10.2 Effects on aquatic organisms

Effects on aquatic organisms organisms. Instead data from the assessment with Bacillus sublits QST 713 Bechnical product are presented for all aquatic non-target species. Please note, that *B. anotoliquefaciens* QST 713 was previously designated as *B. subtlis* QST 71% No relevant literature was bound to inform the risk assessment of Serenade ASO to aquatic organisms?

Table 19.2-1 EX Encropoints: Toxicity of Bacillus Subtilis QST 913 (now designated as B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713) for aquatic ofganisms

L. L.	Test item	Eest species	EU agreed Andpoints (SANCO/10584/200 3 - Cev. final - 14/07/2006)	Endpoints used in risk assessment	Reference
Control of the second	PISH * QSTT713 Technical Powder	Oncomynchus masss	30-day (semi-static) $LC_{50} = 3.245 \times 10^{9}$ CFU/L CFU/L CFU/L CFU/L CFU/L $NOEC = 1.7 \times 10^{9}$ CFU/L	NOEC = 1.72 × 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/L	<ul> <li>1998a</li> <li>(please refer to Annex II Doc IIM, Section 6, Point IIM 8.2.1 of the EU dossier)</li> <li>M-473642-02-1</li> <li>M-473642-02-1</li> <li>et al., 2001a</li> <li>(submitted in June 2002, cited in Addendum 1 to the Monograph, date of issue: 04.12.2002)</li> <li>M-473492-01-1</li> </ul>



Further data on Serenade ASO are not relevant as active substance data on toxicity are used and the inpedients in the formulation do not pose a risk to aquatic species. Therefore, all relevant data were a fready assessed in the EU review. Risk assessments for Serenade ASO with the proposed use pattern are provided here and are considered adequate.

# Fish

Two semi-static renewal tests on rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were conducted with QST 713 Technical Powder over a period of 30 days (**Section 1998**, **1998**,

### Aquatic invertebrates

One short term (48-hour) and two long-term (21-day) studies on the effect of QST 743 Technical. Powder on daphnids (*Daphnia magna*) were conducted (**CFU** *B*. *subtilis*/L was regarded as threshold value for short-term toxicity of *B*. *subtilis* QST 743 to daphnids (*Daphnia magna*). The lower noobserved-effect-concentration (NOEC) in the 48-hour assay we determined to be 2.6  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> CFU *B*. *subtilis* QST 713/L. The lowest EC<sub>50</sub> value resalting from the 21-day assays was determined to be  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> CFU/L and the lowest NOEC was 1.5  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> CFU/L

One long-term (30-day) toxicity study on the effect of OST 7.3 Technical Powder on the crass shrimp (*Palaemonetes pugio*) was carried out (**1997**). The lowest no-observed effect-concentration (NOEC) for this species was determined to be  $2.7 \times 40^{\circ}$  CFU 6.5 subtlis QST 7.13/L,  $\circ$ 

Ø

 $\bigcirc$ 

# Algae

An acute toxicity study (72-bour) on Scenedesmus subspectrus was conducted with QST 713 Technical Powder (2000). No adverse effects of QSD 713 Fechnical Powder were observed at any test concentration. Therefore, no EC values could be calculated. The lowest noobserved-effect-concentration (NOEC) for this species was determined to be NOEC =  $3.3 \times 10^8$ CFU B. subtilis QST 783/L.

# Exposure

Aquatic organisms may be exposed to Serenade ASQ and *Bacillus Amylouiguefaciens* QST 713 through spray drift. Exposure of aquatic organisms from this route was estimated by calculating Predicted Environmental Concentration in Surface water (PECsw) (see Annex III, Doc IIIM1, Section 5)

Ŵ

degradation of *B. antyloliquefaciens* QST 713 between the spraying resulting in an accumulated application cate of 72 kg Serenate ASO/ha, the initial concentration of Serenade ASO and *B. anyloliquefaciens* QST 713 in 30 cm (epth in surface) as follows:

Table 10.2-2 PECs values for Serenades SO

	Test substance	PECsiv
	Serenate ASO	1592.4 μg/L
	B. amylolignefaciens QST 913	29.03 μg/L
a	B. amyloliquetoriens OST 712 5 2	$1.5  imes 10^6$ CFU/L

# Toxicity exposure ratios

The initial risk assessments were carried out by comparing the PEC<sub>SW</sub> values with the acute and long-term toxicity endpoints. The toxicity/exposure ratio (TER) for aquatic organisms is derived from the EC<sub>50</sub> or L $\overset{\circ}{\smile}_{0}$  value (acute risk assessment) or the NOEC (chronic risk assessment) according to the formula:

$$\mathbf{EC}_{50}, \mathbf{LC}_{50} \text{ or NOEC [CFU/L]}$$

$$\mathbf{EC}_{50}, \mathbf{LC}_{50} \text{ or NOEC [CFU/L]}$$

$$\mathbf{PEC}_{sw} [CFU/L]$$

# TERA for fish

Due to the absence of toxicity in the semi static studies conducted over a period of 30 days no acute risk for fish is expected upon short term exposure to Serenade ASO.

Ĩ

#### TER<sub>LT</sub> for fish

The lowest long-term toxicity endpoint for rainbow trout was used as it covers the acute risk assessment. The resulting long-term TER value for *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713, based on the maximum PEC<sub>SW</sub> value following nine applications in vineyards  $\times$  3 m from the application site is shown below.

Table 10.2-3 Fish long-term TER value for Serenade ASO (Bacillus subtilis QST designated B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713) ð

Test organism	Test substance	NOEC	PECsw	TERLT Value
Oncorhynchus mykiss	QST 713 Technical Powder	1.72×10 <sup>9</sup>	1.5×10 <sup>6</sup> ØFU/L	
		<i>a</i>		

The TER value for *B. amyloliquefaciens* QSA 713 is above the Annex OI indicating that GAP directed use of Serenade ASO poses no risk togash.

#### TER<sub>A</sub> for Daphnia

TERA for Daphnia The acute Serenade ASO TER for Daphnia magon was calculated using the calculated PEC fat 3 m from the application site following based on the maximum PBCsw value following nine applications in vineyards x 3 m from the application site. The resulting TER is presented below:

<b>Fable 10.2-4</b>	Acute TER	value	for D.	magna	for Serer	iade As	jo j
---------------------	-----------	-------	--------	-------	-----------	---------	------

 $\bigcirc$ 

Test organism	Tes	t substanee	A8 hoar ECo	PEGW	TERA	Trigger value
Daphnia magna	QS OTec	T 713 hnisal Povede	$\begin{array}{c} 2.16 \times 10^{\circ} \\ \text{CFU/L} \end{array}$	1.9×10 CFU/by		100
$\sim$	a		× ×		Ň	

The acute TER value for B, amylolique facions QST 13 is above the Anne VI trigger value of 100, indicating that GAP directed use of Serenarde ASO poses no risk fish.

# **Risk mitigation**

No risk mitigation measures are required

**FERLT for Daphnia** 

The TERLT of Serenade ASQ for Daphnia magna was calculated using the calculated PEC<sub>sw</sub> at 3 m from the application site and the lowes NOEC obtained in the 21-day semi-static tests with B. amyloliquefacients QST 13. The resulting TERLT is presented below:

	Table 0.2-5 Daphna TERA value for Sere	nadeaSO			
	Test organistic Test substance	NOEC	PECsw	TERLT	Trigger value
, Mar	Daphniæmagna Techniæn Power	<sup>7</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/L	1.5 × 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/L	100	10
Ś					

From the TERPT value exceeding the trigger of 10 no long-term risk for daphnids is indicated. Prologed exposure however, is not likely to occur due to the restricted persistence of B. amyloliquefaciens in water.

# TERLA for algae

The scute risk for agae from exposure to Serenade ASO was assessed using the NOEC value for B Subtilit OST 70 Technical Powder, which was determined to be  $3.3 \times 10^8$  CFU/L. The resulting Sapplication site, is given in the **Table 10.2-6**. JER, calculated on the basis of the PEC<sub>SW</sub> value for nine applications in vineyards at 3 m from the

Table	10.2-6	Algae	TERLT	value for	Serenade	ASO
-------	--------	-------	-------	-----------	----------	-----

Test organism	Test substance	72 hours NOEC	PECsw	TERA	Trigger value	
Scenedesmus	QST 713	$3.3 \times 10^{8}$	$1.5 \times 10^{6}$	220	10	
subspicatus	Technical Powder	CFU/L	CFU/L	220		
The TER value is above	the Annex VI trigge	er value of 10	indicating that a	application o	f Serenade	` _C

#### IIIM1 10.3 Effects on bees

• Effects on bees No new studies are submitted assessing the effect of formulated product Serenade ASO on bees. No relevant literature was found to inform the risk assessment of Serenade ASO to bees. Higher tier field studies for evaluation of ontact and oral to keity of Serenade WP? sontaining the same active ingredient, *Bacillus subfilis* OS 713, how despinated *B. amyleliquefacion* have been evaluated as part of the EU review of *Bacillus subfilis* OST 713 P alculations of a hazard quotient (MQ) for fisk assessment were and dverse effects were noted under field conditions of units ffects on bumblebees (*Barthur* . (2009), which is a subfilier of units assessment were and idy, aduitions of a hazard source (*Barthur*).

al. (2009), which is subratted and described in detail in Annex II, Der IIM, Foint IM 8.7. In this study, adult workers were esposed to serenate by contact pplication or administration of treated pollen or treated sugar water After 11 weeks, contact appreciation and orally appreciation via treated sugar water led to mortality of buoblebees. Unfortunately, no results on mortality were reported for the previous weeks, although examination was performed weekly. Moreover, data of the negative control is not presented clearly, however it is stated that it should be zero Nevertheless, possible toxicity of *B\_subtilit* OST 913 on bumble bee was clearly presented, although methods were not performed prider good laboratory practice FGLP Moreover, it has to be considered that agricultural use of <u>B</u> subtility OST 03 does not imply a continuous administration over such a long time as et al. (2009). The authors themselves stated that the assessment did not carried out by reflect the realistic conditions in frotd. However, when diministered in a pollen patty or as a dry formulation the authors reported no adverse effects indicating that the toxicity was due to exposure to wet product for a long term continuous exposure and not likely under more realistic exposure Conditions.

However, go effect were observed in a study conducted by (2006), submitted in Annex II; Doc MM, Porot IIM \$7. Hereby, tumblebees (Bombus terrestris) were exposed to Serenade MAX, containing B. solutilis QST 713 Direct and indirect exposure of 300 g Serenade MAX/hL on bees did not flow effects of bees. Mortality was almost zero percent in all treated greenhouses throughout the entire study period. Also foraging activity was high in treated greenhouses in comparison to the untreated control. Moreover activity at the hives was not influenced by direct or Andirect treatment. Thus, Serghade MAX, containing the active substance *B. subtilis* QST 713 was evaluated to be safe for bumblebees



	EU agreed endpoints		
Test item	(SANCO/10184/2003 - rev. final – 14/07/2006)	Reference	
QST 713 Technical Powder	5-day dietary: $LC_{50} \sim 8,900$ ppm Equivalent to $\sim 1.8 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL diet	et al., 1998a (please refer to Annex II, Doc Jon, Section 6 Point IIM 8.3 of the EU dossier) M-4736 9201-2	
Serenade WP	30-day field study No effects at application rates of 1.12 kg <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> QST 713/ha	(please refer to Actendum t to the Monograph, date of issee: 04.12.2002) M-473494-01-1	
QST 713 Technical Powder	30-day dietary effect study (larvae) predicted LD <sub>50</sub> between 4,706 000 and 6,714 mg/kg tw	please refer Addendum 2 to the Monograph, date of issue: 30.09.2005)	>
QST 713 Technical @ Powder	30-day laboratory stady NOEL 10,000 to 100,000 ppm	(prease refer Addendum/2 to the Monograph, date of issue: 30.09.2005) M-486885-01-1	

Table 10.3-1 Ecotovicological and noints for honey bees

Effects on bees for Serenade ASO were not evaluated as part of the EU review of Bacillus subtilis QST 713 now designated *Bacillus amylofiquefactens*. However further data on Serenade ASO are not relevant as active substance data on toxicity are used and the ingredients in the formulation do not pose a risk to bees. Hence, all relevant data were already assessed in the EU review. A comparison of field application rates of Serenade ASO/ *B subtilis* QST 713 and the dosages which were tested in the available studies is provided here instead of a complete risk assessment and is Considered adequate. Þ

 $\bigcirc$ 

**Risk Assessment** A study assessing the dictary for and pathogenetity of *B. subtilis* on the honey bee, *Apis* et  $\mathcal{A}$ , 1998a). The 5-day LC<sub>50</sub> value was determined to be 1.8 × mellifera, was conducted ( 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mkQdiet. According to the GAR directed use of Serenade ASO concentration of B. am Holique Aciens QST 745 in the tank wax suspension is calculated for application in grapes, as here the highest concentration is expected: as per hectare 8L Serenade ASO, (useing 8 kg for risk calculation) corresponding to  $3^{\circ} \times 10^{12}$  CFU are used, suspended in a water volume of 500-1000 L water. Assuming 500L as worst case, the concentration of *B.amyloliquefaciens* in the tank mix will be  $1.6 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/L or  $1.6 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/mL. Hence, the LC<sub>50</sub> value is 11 times higher than the maximum concentration of *Romylotiquefaciens* in the tank mix suspension, indicating that application of Serenade ASO does not pose risk to honey bees.

A field tindy with Seremade Biofungicide Wettable Powder and free-living honey bees was , 2000). No adverse effects of Serenade WP were observed at conducted in a 30-day period @an application rate of 1.12 kg B. subtilis QST 713/ha with a 5-day interval. In comparison, the maximum single application rate of Serenade ASO is 8 kg/ha, corresponding to 0.112 kg Bramylofiquefactens QST 713/ha. Assuming a worst case of nine applications, and considering no regradation of pacteria on leaf and fruit surfaces and on flowers, the amount of *B. amyloliquefaciens* VOST 713 would result in 1.008 kg/ha (72 kg/ha Serenade ASO). The highest accumulated application rate of Serenade ASO (1.008 kg B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713/ha) is far below the amount of Serenade Biofungicide Wettable Powder (6.72 kg B. subtilis QST 713/ha) that was used in the field study. Therefore, it is appropriate to conclude that also the use of Serenade ASO, which

contains no formulants of ecotoxicological concern compared to Serenade Biofungicide Wettable Powder, at the highest application rate in grapes, is not expected to be hazardous to honeybees.

The comparison of the endpoints resulting from the above studies to the maximum application rates of Serenade ASO is summarised in Table 10.3-2. ð

Table 10.3-2 Honey be	e toxicity end	lpoints for Serenade A	.SO	Z O
Test substance	Endpoint	Tested value	Application rate of Ser ASO/ <i>Branyloliquefactens</i> 713	enade QSI
QST 713 Technical Powder	LC <sub>50</sub>	1.8 × 10 <sup>8</sup> GFU/mL diet	4 th × 107 CFU/mt tank of suspension	
Serenade WP	NOEL	6.72 kg/B. subtilis QST 713 /ha	1.008 kg B. anyloliquefacien	je kover start sta
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		<u>n</u>

Тя	ble	10.3-2	Honey	hee	toxicity	end	noints	for	Serenad	le A	SO
1 a	DIC	10.5-2	noncy	DUU	UNICITY	unu	Joints	101	Suruau	IC C	100

From the results of all studies it can be concluded, that application of Berenade ASO according to Good Agricultural Practice intended uses, does not pose a risk to honey bees

# **Risk mitigation**

No risk mitigation measures are required

# IIIM1 10.4 Effects on arthropods other than bees

No risk mitigation measures are tequired Effects on arthropods other than bees No new studies are submitted assessing the effect of formulated product Serenade ASO on arthropode other diagonal and the informulated product Serenade ASO on arthropods other thing bees. No relevant literature was found to inform the rok assessment of Serenade ASO to arthropods other than bees. Ô 1 al

Previously submitted studies, graluated for the risk assessment of Serenade ASO on arthropods



Serenade ASO (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens QST 713)

Test item	EU agreed endpoints (SANCO/10184/2003 - rev. final – 14/07/2006)	Test species	Endpoints used in risk assessment <sup>a)</sup>	Reference
QST 713 Technical Powder	LR <sub>50</sub> > 16 kg QST 713 TP/ha >1.22 kg <i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713 /ha	Typhlodromus pyri		(please refer to Annexit), Doctor, section 6, point III 8.49 AJ-473491-01-2
QST 713 Technical Powder	LR <sub>50</sub> > 16 kg QST 713 TP/ha >1.22 kg <i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713 /ha	Aphidius rhopalosiphi		(please refer to Abrex II) Doc IINA section 6, point IIM 8.4) M-473472 01-2
QST 713 Technical Powder	NOEC = 60,000 ppm = 4.56 g/L diet	Hippodamia convergens		Deal, 1998b (please refer to Annes II, Doe IIM, section 6 Foint IM 8(3) M-473389-012
QST 713 Technical Powder	NOEC = 600 ppm = 0.046 g/L diet	Corysopeaa Carnea larvae F	Aptindius phopalosophi Typhlódromus pyri S	et al. 19986 (prease refer to Annex II, Doc III), section 6, point IIM 8.4) M-473488-01-2
QST 713 Technical Powder	NOEC#1,730 ppm	Nasonia 5 5 Vitripennis 9	Lesso : 16 ko QST 713 Technical Poyder/ha 22 ko <i>B. subalis</i> QST 713 Ba	(This report was submitted in June 2002 and is cited in Addendum 1 to the Monograph, date of issue: 04.12.2002) M-473490-01-1
QST 745 Technical Powder	NOEC 6,000 ppm = 0.46 g/L diet	Naconia vity ipennts		et al, 1998d (please refer to Annex II, Doc IIM, section 6, point IIM 8.4) M-473640-01-2
Serenade WP	Accumulated test rate: 42.3 kg/https://www.accumulated-test rate: $42.6 kg/B$ . subtilis $\sim$ QST $=$ 4.26 kg/B. subtilis	Typhodromis pyri	9	(submitted in June 2002, cited in Addendum 1 to the Monograph, date of issue: 04.12.2002) M-486909-01-1
Serenade 4 WP	Accumulated est rate: 43.0 kg/ha Serenade WP 3.33 kg B. subtris OST 76 /ha	Typhlodromus pyri	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 2000b (submitted in June 2002, cited in Addendum 1 to the Monograph, date of issue: 04.12.2002) M-486910-01-1

Effects of the formulation Serenade ASO on arthropods were not evaluated as part of the EU review

of *Bacillus subtilis* QST 713, now designated as *B. amyloliquefaciens* QST 713. However, additional data on Serenade ASO are considered not necessary since data of a similar formulated

product and active substance data are available and the ingredients in the formulation Serenade ASO do not pose a risk to arthropods, Hence all relevant data have been already assessed during the EU review. Risk assessments for Serenade ASO with the proposed use pattern are provided in the following and are considered adequate.

#### Toxicity

The acute toxicity and effect of B. subtilis QST 713 on reproduction of the predatory miter Typhlodromus pyri and the aphid parasitoid Aphidius rhopalosiphi (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) were determined in laboratory glass plate tests. Only slight effects on portality (12.5 and 13%, respectively) were observed at the tested rate of 16 kg QST 713 TP/ha (corresponding to 1.22 kg B. subtilis QST 713/ha). Due to the absence of mortalities exceeding 50%, the LR<sub>50</sub> can De predicted to exceed 1.22 kg B. subtilis/ha.

The risk assessment strategy used here follows the approach recommended in the ESCOR guidance document (2000)<sup>3</sup> and the EC Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology

An overview on the obtained data is provided in Table 19.4-2. The data can be applied for the fish assessment of Serenade ASO as formulants do not pose Frisk to arthropods.

1 able 10.4-	2 Serenade ASO	- I oxicity to	o non-target a	rmropods	
Test substance	Species	Exposed Afe stage	Study type	LR50 0 (kg@roduct/ha)	Reference
QST 713 Technical Powder	Aphidius rhopalosiphi Typhlodromas pyri	Adult Proto-	Acote laboratory (glassplate)	276 kg OST 715 TP >1.22 kg B. obtilis OST 715 516 kg OST 713 TP >1.22 kg B. obtilis OST 713 516 kg OST 713 TP >1.22 kg B. obtilis OST 713	2000, 2000, 2000,
Exposure In-field					

Non-target arthropods Hving on the crop can be exposed to residues from Serenade ASO by direct contact either as a result of werspray or through contact with residues on plants and soil or in food items. The maximum number of successive treatments for Serenade ASO in grapes is 9 and the maximum application rate is 8 kg/ha. The in field exposure is calculated according to ESCORT 2 (2000 @ using the following equations

imum application fate [g PER<sub>In-field</sub> š/ha] × MAF

Due to nine applications, a default MAF value (leaf) of 3.5 was adopted.

# Table 10.4-3 In-field@ER values fo@application of Serenade ASO

Compound refered to	Application rate	MAF	PER (foliar)
B. amyloliquefaciens QST	§22 g a.s./ha <sup>a)</sup>	3.5	427 g a.s./ha

Forresponding to kg Serenade ASO/ha

Escort 2 (2000): Guidance document on regulatory testing and risk assessment procedures for plant protection products with non-target arthropods. From the ESCORT 2 workshop

EC Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC, SANCO/10329, 17 October 2002.

# Off-field

Risk assessment of areas immediately surrounding the crop is considered important since these areas represent a natural reservoir for immigration, emigration and reproduction of arthropod populations and provide increased species diversity. Exposure of non-target arthropods living in off-field areas to Serenade ASO will mainly be due to spray drift. Off-field areas are assumed to be densely vegetated and thus spray drift is unlikely to reach bare ground. Therefore, evaluation of exposure via soil residues in off-field areas was not considered. The off-field exposure value was calculated from in-field exposure in conjunction with drift values published by the JKI 2006)<sup>5</sup> as shown in the following equation:

$PER_{Off field} =$	

Maximum in-field exposure × (% drift/100) Vegetation distribution actor

Vegetation distribution factor: The model use to estimate spray drift was developed for drift on drift was developed for drift of the spray drif two-dimensional water surface and, as such does not account for interception and dilution by three-dimensional vegetation in off-crop areas. Therefore, a vegetation distribution or dilution factor is incorporated into the equation when calculating off-field exposure, to be used in conjunction with toxicity endpoints derived from two-omensional (glass plate or lead disc) studies. A dilution factor of 10 is recommended by ESCORT 2 guidance document  $(2000)^4$ . The PER<sub>off-field</sub> was calculated for the use of Serenade ASO in grapes because here the highest

exposure is expected due to the highest drift rate and number of applications. For nine applications the drift value at 3 m distance is 6.26% of the application rate (90<sup>th</sup> bercentile drift). The drift factor (% drift/100) is therefore  $6.26/100 \neq 0.0626\%$ 

The resulting PER off-field value is shown in Table

Table 10.4-4 Off-field foliar Predicted Environmental Rates (PER) for Serenad	đe AS(	)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	---

Study type 💊	Maximum ir	r-field Dri	ift V	egetation	Off-field foliar
	<sup>≫</sup> foli <u>ar</u> PER ª)	fag	tør 🛇 d	istribution 🛒	<sup>»</sup> PER
	(goa.s./hat)	S Sdri	ft/ <b>10</b> 90) <sup>a)</sup>	rctor	(g a.s./ha)
Glass plate	<sup>2</sup> 427	ð 🏹 0.ð	6/26 5 1		2.67
a) For grapes ap	plications	4° 8'			

**R**isk assessmen

The risk to fon-targer arthropods is assessed using the approach recommended in the published and the BC Guidance Document on Terrestrial guidance document (2000) ESCORT Ecotoxic

In-field The potential risk of Serenade ASO to in-field non-target arthropods was assessed by calculation of the hazard quotient (HQ > exposure/togacity) with the predicted environmental rate (PER) and the Towest lethal rate (LR ) value according to the following formula:

In field

Fier Maboratory is 2. The resulting HQ<sub>in-field</sub> values are presented in Table 10.4-

Aulius Kown Institute spray drift data from 27. March 2006, http://www.jki.bund.de/fileadmin/dam uploads/ AT/abdrifteckwefte/Abdrifteckwerte\_xls.xls

Escort 2 (2000): Guidance document on regulatory testing and risk assessment procedures for plant protection products with non-target arthropods. From the ESCORT 2 workshop

EC Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC, SANCO/10329, 17 October 2002.

### Table 10.4-5 In-field HQs for non-target arthropods

	I D.	In-fiel	Triggor		
Species	(g a.s./ha)	PER (g a.s./ha)	HQ	value	
Typhlodromus pyri	1220	427	< 0.35		
Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1220	427	~ 0.33		

Conclusion: the in-field HQ values indicate that GAP directed application of Serena no risk to in-field non-target arthropods.

# Off-field

pode the prodicted according to the In order to assess the potential risk of Serenade ASO to off-field non-target arthropode environmental rate (Table 10.4-4) is compared with the toxicity endpoints following formula: 

Off - field HQ = 
$$\frac{\text{PER}_{\text{off-field}} (\text{g a.s./ha})}{\text{LR}_{50} (\text{g a.s./ha})} \times \text{Conjection factors}$$

The HQ trigger for off-field scenarios

<u>Correction factor</u>: ESCOR D2 guidance document (2000) recommends including an uncertainty (safety) factor of 10 into the off-field HQ calculation to account for uncertainty with the extrapolation from *T. pyri* and *A. rhepalosiphi* as indicator, species, to all off-field non-target arthropods. HQ<sub>off-field</sub> mues are given in **Table 10.4** for

HQ<sub>off-field</sub> values are given in Table 10.4.6.

Table 10.4-6 Off-field HQ salues for non-briget arthropods

Species	(g a.s./ha)	Off-field faliar PER(g a.s./ha)	Correction	Gff-field foliar HQ	Trigger value
Dyphlodromusz pyri Aphidiusz rhopalosiphi				< 0.022	2

The off-field TRQ value for the tested non-target athropods falls below the trigger value of 2, indicating that GAP directed application of Serenade ASO does not pose an unacceptable risk to non-target arthromds in off-field areas.

As HQ values for the m-cropescenarios as well as for the off-crop scenarios are below the trigger of 2, the ESCORT 2 document and SANCO/00329/2002<sup>3</sup> demand no further higher tier testing.

Risk mitigation measureme Not required.

# IIIM1 10.5 Effects on earthworms

Who new studies are submitted assessing the effect of formulated product Serenade ASO on earthworms Please note that B. amyloliquefaciens QST 713 was previously designated as B. subtilis 51 713 Summary of assessment data is presented below. No relevant literature was found to form the risk assessment of Serenade ASO to earthworms.

<sup>3</sup> Escort 2 (2000): Guidance document on regulatory testing and risk assessment procedures for plant protection products with non-target arthropods. From the ESCORT 2 workshop

Instead data of a laboratory study determining the acute toxicity of Serenade WP (content of *B. subtilis* QST 713 of  $5.07 \times 10^9$  CFU/g or 14.92% dry weight) to the earthworm *Eisenia foetida* is presented. This study has already been evaluated as part of the EU review of *B. subtilis* QST 713.

Table 10.5-1 Eco	otoxicological endpoints for earthworms	<u> </u>			
Test item	EU agreed endpoints (SANCO/10184/2003 - rev. final – 14/07/2006)	Endpoints used in Fisk			
Serenade WP <sup>a)</sup>	$LC_{50}$ 14 d > 1000 mg/kg artificial soil or > 149.7 mg <i>B. subtilis</i> QS 713/ kg dry weight soil	$EC_{50} > 149.7 \text{ mg }B.$ subtilis QST 713/ kg thy weight soil $O$			
a) Content of <i>B. subt</i>	<i>ilis</i> QST 713 in Serenade W 5.07 × 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/g o	or 14.92% do weight y			
Rick assessments fo	r Serenade ASO with the proposed use in	Starn and provided hore and bre			

# Toxicity

considered adequate.

Earthworm toxicity endpoints and summarised by Table 10.5-2.

Table 10.5-2 Acute carthworm the sicity endpoint for Serenade 480

	Test substance	Kodpoint		alue	Ś		Reference
	Serenade WP <sup>a)</sup>	LC 50	© 149 Smg 713 × kg d	B Subtilis	QST		, 2002 4-473495-01-1
Į	a) Content of B subt	ilîs OST 743 în S	Serenade WTO:	5.07 xc309 Cl	FU/g or	14 <b>0</b> 92% di	ry weight

**Exposure** The exposure to earthworms was estimated by calculating the maximum predicted environmental concentrations in soil (PEC<sub>soil</sub>) the lease before to Annex/II, Doc/IIM1, Section 5, Point IIIM1 9). The PEC<sub>soil</sub> value was calculated for 9 applications of 5 kg Seconade ASO/ha assuming no degradation of the product and the active ingredient occurs between the treatments and no plant interception as a worst case. Under these conditions and based for standard assumptions for the soil density and the incorporation depth, the PEC<sub>soil</sub> was determined to be 96 mg Serenade ASO/kg dry weight soil (1.34 mg *B. Onylolighefaciens* QST /13/kg dry weight soil). In terms of CFU, this is equivalent to 9.6 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/kg dry weight soil

Foxicity exposure ratios: TERA and TERA

 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k$ 

The resulting TER value is shown in Table 10.5-3. Julie 10.5-3 Acute TER value for earthworms

Compound referred to	LC50	Maximum PECs for Serenade ASO	TERA	Limit
Serenade ASO	> 149.7 mg <i>B. subtilis</i> / kg dry weight soil	1.34 mg <i>amyloliquefaciens</i> QST 713/kg dry weight soil	> 111.7	10

The acute TER value is much higher than the Annex VI acute trigger value of 10, indicating that GAP directed application of Serenade ASO poses no acute risk to earthworks.

#### Long-term risk

Long-term TISK Due to the absence of acute toxicity no adverse effects on earthworms are to be expected even upon prolonged exposure to Serenade ASO or *B. amylolicytefaciens* QST 713. Risk mitigation No risk mitigation measures are regarded necessary. Effects on soil micro-organisms

#### **IIIM1 10.6 Effects on soil micro-organisms**

No new studies are submitted assessing the effect of formulated product Serenade ASO on soil micro-organisms. No relevant literature was found to inform the risk assessment of Scienade ASO to soil micro-organisms.

It is referred to the literature submitted for the active substance & amylolique factors QST 713. The ingredients of the preparation Serenade ASO, formulated as a suspension concentrate, are inert, non toxic and impose no govironmental ophealthyisk (gorfidential data please defer to Doc J-IIIM1). Therefore, information on the microbial pest control agent, B. gmyloliquefacions QST 713 and information on closely related B. Gubtilis QST 733 (as it was previously designated) is considered applicable and relevant with regard to the evaluation of the formulated product,

Studies on the effects on micro-organisms were not considered to be necessary due to the following generally accepted aspects in the ecology and environmental behaviour of B. amyloliquefaciens and B. subtilis derived from open lite oture:  $\cap$ 

The active substance B anyloliquefaciens is a member of the natural micro-flora in soils and occurs without geographical testriction in almost apyrenvironmental niches meluding the immediate human envionmenOft is an autochthonour soil micro-organism and has originally been isolated from soil in a peach orchard in the U.S.A. Therefore, its possible multiplication in this natural habitat does not disturb the natural micro-floral Although it is commonly found in soil, it occurs in almost any Environment, including nicher in kitchens and bathrooms. For more information, please refer to Annex II, Doc IIM, Doint IIM 8.10

According to the Waking Document to the Environmental Safety Evaluation of Microbial Biocontrol Agents (SANCO/J2117/2012-rev. 0, September 2012)<sup>3</sup>. Tests assessing possible effects of picrobia pesticides on Soil noro-organisms are not stringently significant for the following reasons:

Ľ

Risk caused bointrodiction microogranisms to the soil microbial community is minimal, because soit microffora naturally fuctuates in time and space. The natural populations are well adapted to their habitat and exhibit many defence mechanisms in order to assure their survivat.

Soil microbial communities, show good resilience, and populations are able to recover even upon extreme decimation og. by methyl bromide.

Aditionally, a frerature search was conducted to identify latest studies regarding possible effects of B. ampolique faciens on soil microorganisms. Both studies confimed the above mentioned statements, since only minor influence of Bacillus application on soil microorganisms were berved for moto information, please refer to Annex II, Doc IIM, Point IIM 8.10.

Working Document to the Environmental Safety Evaluation of Microbial Biocontrol Agents, SANCO/12117/2012-rev.0, September 2012, EUROPEAN COMMISSION HEALTH & CONSUMER PROTECTION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Directorate E - Safety of the food chain Unit E.3 - Chemicals, contaminants, pesticides.

AND ADDREES AND ADDREES AND ADDREES AD Autor and and a second a In conclusion, negative effect to the soil microflora following application of Serenade ASO according to GAP directed uses are not expected.

d comparing the provide the state of the sta