

M-489586-01-5



**Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** 

Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

## **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**

No part of the document or any information contained therein may be disclosed to any to party without the prior written authorisation of Bayer CropScience.

The summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unpublished proprietary data submitted for the purpose of the assessment undertaken by the remain authority. Other registration authorities should not grant amount the basis of the summaries and evaluation The summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unpublished proprietary data submitted for the purpose of the desessment urdertaken by the regulatory of the basis of the summaries and evaluation of our published proprietary data contained in this document unless they have received the data on which the summaries and evaluation are based, either:

\* From Bayer CropScience; or

\* from other applicants once the previou of data protection flat evaluation of the deserved o In summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unpublished authority. Other registration authorities should not grant, amend, or fenew a registration the basis of the summaries and evaluation of annublished to do the summari e the period of de the second second





**Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** 

Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

## **Table of Contents**

	Table of Contents	0
		` <u>`</u>
IIIA 7	TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES	. 6
IIIA 7.1	Acute Toxicity	<u>66</u>
IIIA 7.1.1	Acute oral toxicity	.7
IIIA 7.1.2	Acute percutaneous (dermal) toxicity	. 80
IIIA 7.1.3	Acute inhalation toxicity to rats	I.
IIIA 7.1.4	Skin irritation	%9  _@
IIIA 7.1.5	Eye irritation.	11,0
IIIA 7.1.6	Skin sensitisation	]@ <sup>×</sup>
IIIA 7.1.7	Supplementary studies for combinations of plant protection products	¥4
IIIA 7.2	Short-Term Toxicity Studies	14
IIIA 7.3	Operator Exposure	14
IIIA 7.3.1	Estimation of operator exposure without personal protective equipment	₿6
IIIA 7.3.2	Estimation of operator exposure using personal protective equipment	18
IIIA 7.3.3	Measurement of operator exposure	18
IIIA 7.4	Bystander Expositive Strange S	18
IIIA 7.4.1	Estimation of bystander exposure without personal projective equipment	19
IIIA 7.4.2	Measurement of bystander exposure	23
IIIA 7.5	Worker Exposure with the second secon	23
IIIA 7.5.1	Estimation of worker exposure without personal protective equipment	23
IIIA 7.5.2	Estimation of worker exposure using personak protective equipment	25
IIIA 7.5.3	Estimation of worker exposure assuming personal protective equipment	
	is used an Ousing disloggeable residues data	25
IIIA 7.5.4	Measurement of worker exposure	25
IIIA 7.6	Dermal Absorption	25
IIIA 7.6.1 🔊	Depend absorption, in Wo in the rate	26
IIIA 7.6.2	Comparative dermal absorption, in vitro using rat and human skin	26
IIIA 7.7	Dislockgeable Residues	26
IIIA 7 🔊	Dislodgeable Residues, folia	26
IIIA 7.7.2	Dislodgeable Residue?- soid	26
IIIA 7.7.3	Pislodgeable Residues - indoor surface re-volatilization	26
IIIA 7.8	Epidemiology	26
IIIA 7.9 🔊	Data on Formulants	26
IIIA 7.9.1	Material safely data sheets for each formulant	26
IIIA 7.9 🖉 🏾	Available toxicological data for each formulant	26
IIIA 7,10	Demestic Animal/Livestock Safety	26
L.		

Covers the point required in SASCO 10181/2013 format shown below

CP 7 CONCOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT . INTRODUCTION CP 7.1 Acute toxicity CP 7.1 Oral toxicity CP 7.1.2 Dermal toxicity CP 7.1.3 Inhalation toxicity CP 7.1.4 Skin irritation



- A transformer to the second of the second of



Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

#### IIIA 7 TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES

In agreement with the Rapporteur Member State, the product dossier is submitted following the dRR format. All points required under the SANCO 10181/2013 are covered, although their naming might of differ slightly.

### IIIA 7.1 Acute Toxicity

IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 (or Atlantis OD, Specification 102000008429) is an Oil Dispersion (GD) formulation containing 2 g/L iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium, 10 g/S mesosulfuron-methyl and the safener mefenpyr-diethyl (30 g/L). The formulated product is a herbicide for use in wheat triticale and rye. Acute toxicity studies for this formulation were not evaluated as part of the EU review of iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium or mesosulfuron-methyl. Therefore all refevant data are provided in this document and are considered adequate.

The acute toxicology data package was performed on the formulated product IMS-MSM-MIPR 3D 42 also known as AE F115008 06 OD04 A1 (Specification N©102060008369) which was found to be of low oral and dermal acute toxicity, but was irritant to both skip (according to 2001 59/EC not to CLP) and eyes. The formulation was found to be non-sensitising to skip. The results are summarised in the Table 7.1-1. The current product (Specification N° 10206008429) was produced by only minor changes to the original recipe and a bridging statement (M-281058601-1, see Doc JCP) is available to demonstrate that the original recipe and a bridging statement (M-281058601-1, see Doc JCP) is available to animal testing is not necessary.

# Table 7.1-1: Summary of the Acute Toxicity of IMS+MSN+MPR OD 42

	Study	Référence	Sp Sp	begijes (sex)	Results
	Acuteoral	(20 M-225480-01-	)03) ]>	at (Female)	LD <sub>50</sub> ©5000 mg/kg bw
	Acette depenal	Mc225482-01-	003) 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	at Ovlale &	$100_{50} > 4000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Mr.	Acute skip irritation	(2004) (2		Rábbit O	Irritant
	Acute eye	. (20 M-227104-01-	1 0 1	Rabbit (Female)	Irritant
	Buehler Skin &	20 N-227272-02		orinea pig (Female)	Non sensitising
Ŕ					

Therefore, according to the EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC Directive), the formulation iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + mesosulfuron-methyl +mefenpyr-diethyl OD (50+7.5+250 g/L) is classified and should be labelled as follows:

- EG elassification criteria (2009/59/EC):

 $\mathbb{Q}^{\circ} \circ \mathbb{R}^{\circ}$ , R36 "irritating to eyes" & Xi, R38 "irritating to skin".

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP):

Eye Irritation Category 2; Warning: H319 "Causes serious eye irritation".

**Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** 

Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

IIIA 7.1.1 Acute	oral toxicity
Report:	9; \$2003;M-225480-01
Title:	Mesosulfuron-methyl & iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium & mefenpyr-diethyl
	OD 10 + 2 + 30 (AE F115008 06 OD04 A1 - Atlantis liquid) & cute & xicity
	in the rat after oral administration of the second se
Report No:	C038675
Document No(s):	M-225480-01-1
Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): 67/548/EEC; @ECD: 423; USEP & =EPA; OPPTS @
	870.1100; Deviation not specified Q Q Q Q Q Q
GLP/GEP:	yes of the fraction of the second sec

#### **Material and Methods**

The formulation Mesosulfuron-methyl & Iodosalfuron-methyl-sodiom & Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 10 + 2 + 30 (batch number: AAIM01428) contained 10.44 g/L (measured, 10.6 g/L) of the active ingredient Mesosulfuron-methyl, 2 g/L (measured: 2.01 g/L) of the active mgrediont loopsulfuron-methylsodium, and 30 g/L (measured: 30.39 g/b) of the active ingredient Meren we dieth 4. The test material, a light brown fiquid, was formulated in demineralised water and the administration volume was 10 mL/kg bw. Asingle dose (2000 mg/kg bw) of the test material was administered by gavage to 3 fasted female Wistar rats. Three additional animals were created with the same dose.

## Table 7.1.1-1: Acute anal toxicity infermal rats

Õ

Dose (mg/kg bw)	Toxic Toxic	cologi <b>ca</b> dings	Í "S <sup>P</sup> D	uration of s	igns of C	DnseDof death after (dags)	LD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg bw)
(1 <sup>st</sup> ) 2000 0		)/ <b>3∜3</b> ″ ∢		≫ 35'£ 3h	N° (	ý "tý	L D <sub>50</sub> >5000
$(2^{nd}) 200$		))3/3 C		2 <b>5</b> 3h	ð Å	@_ <b></b>	ED 30 - 5000

\* number of dead animals/number of animals with clinical signs/number of animals/tested.

#### Findings

- Clinical signs observed were decreased protility and parrow palpebral fissures.

- Body weights: there were no toxicological effects or body weights or on body weight gain.

- Effects on organs: The necropsies performed at the end of the study revealed no particular findings.  $\sim 0$ 

 $\sim$ ô Conclusion Conclusio Conclusion Conclusion Conclusion Conclusion Conclusion C mefenpyr, trethyl OD 42 (2+10-30 g/L) in rats was 5000 mg/kg bw.

According to the EC classification criteria the formulation should be labelled as follows:

IIIA /.1.2 Acute	percutaneous (dermal) toxicity		
Report:	q; ;2003;M	-225482-01	
Title:	Mesosulfuron-methyl & iodosulfuro	on-methyl-sodium & meten	pyr-dieth 🖉 OD 👧 +
	2 + 30 (AE F115008 06 OD04 A1 -	Atlantis liquid) Acure toxic	city in the ratafter
	dermal administration	2	S S' 0
Report No:	C038677	Ra di	
		F Ø	
Document No(s):	M-225482-01-1		
Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): 67/548/EEC; OE@D:	402; USEPA (=EPA); @I	PPTS O
	870.1200; Deviation not specified		
<b>GLP/GEP:</b>	yes 🖉		

#### **Material and Methods**

The formulation Mesosulfuron-methyl & Jodosulfuron-methyl-sodium & Metenpyr-diethyk OD 102 + 30 (batch number: AAIM01428) contained 1044 g/L (measured: 10.63 g/L) of the active ingredient Mesosulfuron-methyl, 2 g/L (measured 2.1 kg/L) of the active ingredient Hodos diuron methyl sodium, and 30 g/L (measured: 30.59g/L) of the active ingredient Meterpyr-diethyl

One day before the start of the treatment the back and lanks of 5 male and 5 female Wistar rats were shorn. They received a single dermal dose of 4000 mg/kg by of the pure liquid test compound applied semi-occlusively. After an exposure time of 24 hours, the fixing bandage and the gauze strip were removed and the treated area was cleaned with soap and water.

## Table 7.1.2-1: Acute derma toxicity in rats

Sex	Dose	Toxicological	Duration of	Onset of death	LD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg bw)
	(mg/bg bw)O	findings	ma signs	after (days)	
Male	<u></u> ~4000℃	0/5/5	2¢/∠15d		> 4000
Female	° 4000 ×	0/5/5	<u>_</u> 2d − 15d 0	0	> 4000
	<u>,</u>				

\* number of dead animals/number of animals with clinical signs/number of animals in the group

#### Finding

Mortality: no death occurred during the study

Body weights and body weight gain were not affected in mades whilst a slight transient decrease in body weight was observed on day 8 of the study in three females.

Clinical signs flocal skin reactions were observed at the treatment area: partly reddening, partly formation of scale, partly encrustation, partly induration, partly swelling and partly ablation of skin. Effects of organs: skin@ritations wer@still evidenced at final necropsies. No other particular findings were found

## Conclusion

L

The dermal LD<sub>50</sub> of the iodosul furon-methyl<sub>r</sub>odium + mesosul furon-methyl + metenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/) in rats was 4000 mg/kg w.

According to the PC classification criteria the formulation should be labelled as follows: EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC):

**Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** 

Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

### **IIIA 7.1.3** Acute inhalation toxicity to rats

Since iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + mesosulfuron-methyl + mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L) is commercialized in the form of an oil dispersible formulation, which is a liquid, no acute invalation study is required. The formulation will not be used in a manner that is expected to pose an acute inhalation hazard. With respect to 94/79/EEC, testing for the acute inhalation toxicity of odosulturons, methyl-sodium + mesosulfuron-methyl + mefenpyr-dieth OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L) is pot triggored because it:

- is not a gas or liquefied gas,
- is not a smoke generating formulation or fundigant, •
- is not to be used with fogging equipment.
- is not a vapour releasing preparation. •
- is not an aerosol, •
- proportion of particles of is not a powder, is dust-free, and hence does not contain . diameter < 50  $\mu$ m (> 1 % on a weight basis)
- is not to be applied from aircraft and •
- does not contain active substances with a vapor pressure > Px 10 Pa and •
- is not to be used in a manner which generates a significant proportion of particles or droplets • of diameter  $< 50 \ \mu m$  (>1% on a weight basis).

In the absence of the need to perform an acute inhal thin to icity study the iodos I furon-methylsodium + mesosulfur@-methyl +metenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+1@-30 g/t) formalation need not be classified.

According to the EC dassification eriteria the formulation is abeled as follows: 1/59/EC) EC classification oriteria (2001/59/EC)

None

Regulation (EC) NoA

## IIIA 7.1.4 Skin irritation

<i>1</i> ///	
Report: 🖓 🗘	h; ; ; ; 2004; M-227101-02; Amended: 2004-07-21
Title: 🔬	Acute sky irritation / corrosion on rabbits Mesosulfuron-methyl & iodosulfuron-
Ø.	methyl sodium & metenpyr-diethyl OD 10 + 2 +30 (AE F115008 06 OD04 A1 -
	Atlantis Liquid) 1st revised version of report AT00973
Report No:	C002947 $Q$ $Q'$
Document No(s):	M-22 $M - 22$ $M - 9$ $M - 22$ $M - 9$
Guidelines;	EUC=EEC): 67/548/EEC, B.4, 67/548/EEC, Part B, B.4; OECD: 404; Deviation
	pot specified
GLP/GEP:	yes o

## Material and Methods

The formulation Mesosulfuron-methyl & Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium & Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 10 + 2 +30 (batch number: AAIM01428) contained 10.44 g/L (measured: 10.63 g/L) of the active ingredient Mesosulfuron-methyl, 2 g/L (measured: 2.11 g/L) of the active ingredient Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium, and 30 g/L (measured: 30.59 g/L) of the active ingredient Mefenpyr-diethyl.

**Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** 

Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

Approximately one day before the start of the treatment, fur was shorn from the right and left side of the b dorso-lateral area of the trunk of each of the rabbits. A single application was performed to the shorn skin of 3 female KBL(NZW)BR White rabbits at a rate of 0.5 mL of the pure liquid test item/and over a treated skin area of approximately 6 cm<sup>2</sup>. After an exposure time of 4 hours, the dressing and patch?

were removed and the treated area was created when the various observation times are summarized in The individual findings of the treated skin areas at the various observation times are summarized in Theorem 1.4-1

	.4-1. IIIItant Enects o	on the skin	(Eyhožni o	<b></b>		~ <i>.</i>	
Animal		24 hours	48 bours	72 hours	Moon	Response	Beversible
			<i>1. ×</i>	· "Oʻ	scøres		🗸 (days)
2569	Erythema (redness)	2					a 14
2308	and Eschar formation	2		<i>G</i>	0 <sup>°</sup> 2°50		
	Oedema Formation	0	~Q'0"		₹_¶	Ç -	NAQ <sup>7</sup>
2556	Erythema (redness)	າຊ້		y yo			
2330	and Eschar formation		$\mathcal{L}^{\gamma 2}$				0 <sup>T4</sup>
	Oedema Formation	, OV S	V 0 V	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		- X	🗞 NA
2550	Erythema (redness)			257	Č,		
2330	and Eschar formation			P 265	$\swarrow^{0}$		¥ 14
	Oedema Formation	°∧Q∕°				ð - X	NA
Abbreviations	s: No positive response: n	nean scores 🕸	₹- √.	NA= Not ap	flicable.	à	
	Positive response: mean	n scores ≥2 =+	. Ö	"O" "	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Š, O	

		~~~	
		<i>a</i> .	
"Lable"/ I /I I. Irritant Ettacts on t	ho cizin i	(Evnosuřo) / hour	C

#### Findings

After a 4 hour exposure (3 anomals) Animal No. 2568 showed chacked skin at and around the application area on day 6 and 7 and animal No. 2556 or day 52to 7. Mean scores over 24, 48 and 72 hours for each animal were 2.00, 2.00 and 2.00 for exthema and 0.00, 0.00 and 0.00 for oedema.

#### Conclusion

The test item, the iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium mesosulfuron-methyl +mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L) formulation, was moderately irritant when applied topically to rabbits with full reversibility within 1A days L1

According to the EC classification criteria the formulation is labeled as follows:

- EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC):
- **Regulation (EC)** No 1272/2008 (CLP) o "Xi, R38, "irritating to skin"

## **IIIA 7.1.5** Eye irritation

					$\bigcirc$ .
Report:	Э;	;2004;M-227104	4-01		
Title:	Acute eye irritation/c	orrosion on rabbits -	Atlantis liquid	Mesosulfuro	n-methyl &
	iodosulfuron-methyl-	sodium & mefenpyr-	-diethyl, OD ]	Q+2+30 Co	Se: AE
	F115008 06 OD04 A	1	Ô	ý A	·
Report No:	C039670		4	<u>Ś</u>	ð ð
*		<i>≿</i> ∧	ster and a second se		
Document No(s):	M-227104-01-1	A.	<u>O</u>		Y <u>O</u> Y SU
Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): 67/548/	EEC, Part B, B.5; (	DECD: 405;D	eviation not s	pectified 0
<b>GLP/GEP:</b>	yes	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER			
			V O C		

#### **Material and Methods**

L

The formulation Mesosulfuron-methyl & Iodosulfuron methyl-sodium & Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 10 + 2 + 30 (batch number: AAIM01428) contained 10.44 g/L (measured 10.66 g/L) of the active ingredient Mesosulfuron-methyl, 2 g/L (measured: 2,17 g/L) of the active ingredient Iodosulfuron-methylsodium, and 30 g/L (measured: 30.59 g/L) of the active ingredient Merenpyr-diethyl. The test was started with one of three abbits 100 µL of the pure liquid test substance was placed into the conjunctival sac of one eye of the first animal after having gently putted the lower id away from the eyeball. The lids were gently keld together for about one second in order to prevent loss of the test compound. The other eye, which remains untreated, served as control. The eyes were not washed for at least 24 hours following insulation?  $\bigcirc$ 

As no corrosive/irritating effect was observed after one hour the other two rabbits were treated.

The individual findings of the recated eyes at the various observation times are sommarized in Table 7.1.5-1. Table 7.1.5-1: Summar Oof irritrant effect

## Table 7.1.5-1: Suprimar Oof ir ritant effect

			, <i>*</i> ,			i
Observations	1h 🗞	244	48h	~72h 🔊	Mean scores	Reversible
Animal 2589	0 0	V d	N Ø	L.	(24-48-72h)	(days)
Degree of cornea opacity		2	2	2 8	2.00 (+)	21
Iris		1			0.67 (-)	-
Redness conjunctivae					1.00 (-)	-
Chemosis conjunctivae					1.00 (-)	-
Observati@ns ₀0 <sup>♥</sup>	° lh℃	.2@h″ .	048h 🕅	72h	Mean scores	Reversible
Animal 🗐 97 🔍 🔍	$\sim$				(24-48-72h)	(days)
Degree of cornea opacity	Q	\$2 G	2,0	2	2.0 (+)	21
Iriş 🖉 🖉	A 1 C	1	, iv	1	1.0 (+)	5
Reeness conjunctivae	× 1~	K a	DI	1	1.0 (-)	-
Chemosis conjunctivae	> 10°	Q´````	2	2	2.0 (+)	6
Observations, <sup>\</sup>	1h 🖉	24h	48h	72h	Mean scores	Reversible
Animal 2000	Ø' ŝ?	- Q			(24-48-72h)	(days)
Degree Cornea pacity		Ø	2	2	2.0 (+)	21
Iris 🖉 🖉 🔘	ka ~Ç	0	1	1	0.67 (-)	-
Reduess committee	ð 1	2	2	1	2.0 (-)	-
Chemosis conjunctivae 🔌	Q 1	2	3	2	2.67 (+)	6
Response S come	al opacity: 1	nean scores -	<2 = (-),	≥2<3 :	= (+), ≥3	= (++)
N Q U LAUS:	1	nean scores -	<1 = (-),	≥1<2	= (+), = 2	=(++)
🛛 🖧 Cõnju	inctival redness:	mean scores	<2.5 = (-),	≥2.5 =	= +	
Conju	inctival oedema:	mean scores	<2 = (-),	$\geq 2 = -$	+	



Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

#### Findings

The mean scores calculated using the two most sensitive animals 24, 48 and 72 hours were 2.33 for chemosis, 1.5 for redness of the conjunctiva, 0.83 for iris lesions and 2.0 for corneal opacity.

#### Conclusion

The test item, the iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + mesosulfuron-methyl +mefenpyr-diethyl QD 42 1 he test item, the iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + mesosulfuron-methyl +meféňpyr-diethyl OD 42 y (2+10+30 g/L) formulation, was moderately irritant when administered by the ocular route to rabbits with full reversibility within 21 days.
According to the EC classification criteria the formulation is labeled as follows:

EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC):
Xi, R36 "Irritating to eyes".
Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP2);
Eye Irritation Category 2; Warning H30.

IIIA 7.1.6 Skin sensitisation

Report:	3,2004;M-227212-02; Amonded: 2004-03-18	
Title:	Study for the skin sensitization effect in guinea pigs (Buehler patch test)	
	Code: AE 15008 06 0004 Ad 04 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Report No:	C039780	
Document No(s):	M-227212 22-1 5 0 0 0 0 0	
Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): EC 96/54 Method B.G. OECD: 406 USEPA (=EPA):	
	OPPTS 870,2000; Deviation not specificity	
GLP/GEP:	yes of a state of the	

## IIIA 716 Skin sensitisation

# Material and Methods

Mesosulfuron-methyl-sodfum & Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 10 + 2 + 30 (batch number: AAIM01428) contained 0.44 g/L (measured 10.63 g/L) of the active ingredient Mesosulfinon-methyl, 2/g/L (measured 2.11 gL) of the active inefedient Iodosulfuron-methylsodium and 30 g/L (measured 30.59 g/L) of the active ingredient Mefenpyr-diethyl.

The test was performed on 30 female guinea por (20 minutes for the test item group and 10 control animals). Two animals were used for dose-finding, where the test compound was formulated in physiological saline solution

- Induction the animals were dermally freated with the test item nine times over 3 weeks. The 1st to 9th inductions were performed with the 12% test item concentration. The volume applied per animal was 0.5 mL. The 1st to 4th induction and the 8th and 9th induction was carried out on the left flank and the 5th to 7<sup>th</sup> on the right flank, because of the strong skin effects after the 4<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> inductions. The occlusive patches were removed after an exposible period of 6 hours. The treatment areas were visually assessed 30 hours after initiation of exposure,

- Challenge, the challenge was performed four weeks after the first dermal induction. The backs and the flanks of the animals were shorn one day prior to challenge. A patch, loaded with 0.5 mL of the 6% test compound was applied and fixed to the right flank of the animals for an exposure period of 6 hours. The skin reactions were assessed 30 and 54 hours after the beginning of the challenge.



#### Findings

Findings							Ű »
Table 7.1.6	-1 Results o	f the prolife	ration assay	/:		_	
			Contro	l group		ð	
Sex	Animal	Test iter	m patch	Contro	ol patch	Ĩ,	
DUA	number	30	54	30	54	A	5 5 Q
		hours*	hours*	hours*	hours*		Y Y G
	1	0	0	0 🕎	0 0		
	2	0	0	<u>A</u>	0,0*	Ň	
	3	0	0	<u> </u>	Q″	° A A	
	4	0	0 4	0			
Male	5	0	0 🌾				°∼y
white	6	0	0 0		<u> </u>		r Ar L°
	7	0				Ő <sup>s</sup> 4,	A N
	8	0			<u>6</u>		
	9	0			j je star i s		ji bo
	10	0		$\sim 0^{\sim}$			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			Treated	l group			* ¥
	11	<u>_</u>	°≫ Q <sub>Q</sub>		jõð bj	, <sup>o</sup> ô	) )
	12	× + ×	<u> </u>	\$ +0	× + ×		
	13	$\sim 0_{\Lambda}$	Q 0 S	á la c	× <u>0</u> , ,		
	14 🔬		\$ 00 <sup>°</sup>			1 AN	
	15			<u>s</u> , Pr	$0^{\circ}$	~	
	16	$0^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$					
	<u></u> 17	Ů,			\$0 ×	p	
	0° 18 ô	× 0 ~	) V				
2	19	$   \sqrt{0} $		Ŭ Q			
Mate	20	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	00		
wide	210			× Q	A″ 0		
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	0		
	23 0		6, 6,	Ô <sup>y</sup> 0 ô <sup>y</sup>	0		
,	~ 24 0		<u> </u>	y D	+		
	y 25	057		<u>0</u>	0		
Į.	26 🔊	Ĩ ÎV		0 $0$	0		
L.	2765	0,0		× 0	0		
/	2,8			0	0		
	29 <u>1</u> °		× 0°,	0	0		
, and the second s	≤ 30 <sup>°</sup>			0	0		
*	1:		w start of sures				

hours after start of exposure, nding

animal died Xì

Morality: Anima no 24 of the test item group died at day 10 of the study.

Ofinical signs: Animal no 12 of the test item group showed at day 13: laboured breathing, piloerection, pale and from day 14 to death at day 15: laboured breathing, piloerection, pale and apathy. Appearance and behaviour of other animals of the test item group were not different from the control group.



- Body weights: no difference was observed between the control group and the treated group.
- Dermal observations: no skin effects were recorded during the challenge phase with the 6% test item formulation.

#### Conclusion

The test item, the iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + mesosulfuron-methyl +meterpyr-diethyl OD  $42^{\circ}$  (2+10+30 g/L) formulation, was found to be non-sensitising when administered by the domain route to guinea-pigs with both induction and challenge phases.

- According to the EC classification criteria the formulation is labeled as follow - EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC):
  - None
  - Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP):
     None

# IIIA 7.1.7 Supplementary studies for combinations of plant protection products

Not relevant: the formulation is not recommended to be combined with other plant protection

products.

# IIIA 7.2 Short-Term Toxicity Sendies

This is not an EC data requirement, not required by Directive 1/414/EEC

# IIIA 7.3 Operator Exposure

IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 (Atlantis OD<sup>®</sup>) is an Od Dispersion (OD) formulation containing 2 g/L iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium 70 g/L mesosulfuron methyl and the safetter metenpyr-diethyl (30 g/L). The proposed use is a post-emergence herbicide that controls grasses and broadleaf weeds in wheat triticale and rye Applications will be achieved using field grop sprayers. Water will be the diluent/carrier in all situations. Usage information pertinent to operator exposure is summarised in Table 7.3-1.

Table 7.3-12	Worse case	annlieatio	n <b>na</b> rame	efers før	<b>IMS</b> +MS	M	OD 42
	WUISC Case	appacano	m grai ann		TIATIS		00 42

Appl. techn.	Growth stage	A Crop(	s) ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Max L/ha	kimum dose	e <b>rate</b> s./ha)	Spray volume	No of
	(RRGH)	, <sup>2</sup> , <sup>2</sup> , 2	, r	(product) *	J IMS	MSM	(L/na)	trint.
FCS	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Spring & A Wheat Office Send of Winter application	Winter algyve Øspring ion)		0.003	0.015	100-400	1

MS = Iodosulfuron methyl→odium MSM ØMesosulfuron-methyl. FCS = Field Crop Spray

Dermal Absorption

(Ca

No dermal assorption date are available for mesosulfuron in the Atlantis OD formulation. Therefore the default value of 75% (as proposed by the current EFSA guidance<sup>1</sup>) was used in the following risk assessments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR); Guidance on Dermal Absorption. EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665. [30 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2665.



#### Acceptable Operator Exposure Level

The AOEL for mesosulfuron-methyl of 0.2 mg/kg bw/day was established from a 90-day oral@og study (NOAEL of 574 mg/kg/day), an absorption correction factor of 3% and a safety factor of 100.

#### Operator exposure estimates

Operator exposure estimates were calculated using both the German model<sup>2</sup> and the UK-POEM Exposure calculations are performed without and with protective equipment. The application to winter cereals will be used for exposure calculations as it represents the highest application rate and thus the worst case scenario.

The results of the exposure calculations are summarised in Table 7

Table 7.3-2: Pred	licted systemi	c exposure as a proportion of the AOPLL	
Substance	PPE	Total systemic exposure (mg/kg bw/day)	% of AOEL
		German model O A S	
Field crop spi	ayer application t	o sereals 20 ha/day at a rate of 1.5 L product/ha 10	kg operator <sup>O</sup>
MSM	No PPE <sup>1)</sup> With PPE <sup>2)</sup>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Field crop spra	ayer application	to cereals, 50 ha/day at a rate of 5 L product/k	© <sup>9</sup> ja, 100 L/ha.
MSM	No PRO		64
	With PPE	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	42

1) No PPE = lightly dressed operator, wearing a short speeved L Shirt, shorts and shoes 1)

2) With PPE = Gloves during mixing/loading and acciverall during application

3) No PPE UK POEM = perator wearing long sleeved shirf and long trouses.
4) With PPE UK POEM: operator wearing long sleeved shirt, long trouses and gloves during Mixing/Loading.

\*Dermal absorption value @75% @halation absorption was aken as 100%  $\checkmark$ Õ

s. The BBA model stimates predict that MS+NTSM+MPR QD 42 can be used safely with Field Crop Sprayers even without the use of any personal protective equipment. Systemic exposure from the use of IMS+MSM&MPROOD a with Field Crop Sprayer without protection results in 7% of the mesosulfuron-methyl AOBL.

The UK COEM estimates prodict that IMS MSM MPR OD 42 can be used safely with Field Crop Sprayers even without the use of any personal protective equipment. Systemic exposure from the use of IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 with Field Crop Sprayer without protection results in 64% of the mesosulfuron-methyl AOEL.

(1992): Uniform

<sup>3</sup> Scientifie Subcommittee on Pesticides and British Agrochemicals Joint Medical Panel., Estimation of Exposure and Absorption of Pesticides by Spray Operators (UK MAFF) 1986 and the Predictive Operator Exposure Model (POEM) - A User's Guide (UK MAFF); 1992, revised model 2003; (M-054618-01-1)

Principles for Safeguarding the Health of Applicators of Plant Protection Products (Uniform Principles for Operator Korections, Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Berlin-Dahlem, n° 277, 1 -112 (1902); (M-001230-02-1)



Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

#### **Overall conclusion**

Exposure estimates predict acceptable risks for the intended use even without the use of personal @ protective equipment. To be consistent with good agricultural practices when handling pesticides it is recommended that gloves be worn during mixing/loading and when handling contaminated surfaces

#### IIIA 7.3.1 Estimation of operator exposure without personal protective equipment

#### a) Estimation according to the German model

Exposure is calculated for with the maximum dose rate. Lower doses will be covered calculation and separate evaluations are not made. The following assurptions were made

#### Field crop sprayer

Treated area: Max. dose rate: 20 ha/day. 1.5 L/ha plant protection produce corresponding mesosulfuron-methy

Exposure estimates based on the modified German model (with and without OPE) and proportions of the systemic AOEL are summarised in Pable 7.3-2. Detailed calculations using the modified German model are presented in Table 7.3.1-1

Table 7.3.1-1: Calculation of exposure to Mesosulfpron-methyl MSM) of operators using IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 at 1.5 L/ha; application with field crop sprayer (German model, without and with PPE) in 20 ha cereal fields. W Ŵ

Operator exposure estimate: German model. Tractor-mounted/trailed boom sprayer: draulio

Product:	Atlantis OD 42	$\mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}$		
Active substance:	CMSM O	a.s. Concentratio	$n O_{10}$	í [g/l og kg]
Formulation:	Liquid 💦	PPE dufting mix/leadin	ng: Respiration:	None
Dose [l or kg/ha]:	× 10 ×	$\psi' \sim 2$	Bands: 🔨	Gloves
Work rate [ha/day]:	20 4 4	PPP during application	on Respiration:	None
Body weight [kg]: 🔊		' <u>4</u> 0' 50' 7	Hands	None
Inhalation absorption [%]	~100 K		Head J	None
Dermal absorption [%]	75,0 (concen	trate)	Body:	Standard protective coverall
	75,0 Kilution			

Calculation of route exposure a.s. handled Estimated exposure [mg/kg bw/day] Specific exposure Route with PPE PPE Reduction factor I = Inhalation N  $\alpha$ 

IM = ~		1.0	0.000003	D = Dermal
Ом(н) 🛒		0.01	0.000103	M = Mix/Loading
LA P	Q.001 5 67 5 0x000004	1.0	0.000004	A = Application
Date =	× 0.06 ~ 0.3 × 00003	1.0	0.000257	H = Hands
$D_{A(H)} =$	0.38 0.3 0.0016	1.0	0.001629	C = Head
$\Delta D_{A(B)} =$	1.6° 1.6° 1.6° 1.6° 1.6° 1.6° 1.6° 1.6°	0.05	0.000343	B=Body

Absorbed dose:			No	PPE	With	PPE
			Estimated	Systemic	Estimated	Systemic
Route		Absorption [%]	route exposure	exposure	route exposure	exposure
	V Ö		[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]
Dermal:	Mix/Loading	75.0	0.010286	0.007714	0.000103	0.000077
La Q	Application	75.0	0.008743	0.006557	0.002229	0.001671
Inhalation:	Mix/Loading	100	0.000003	0.000003	0.000003	0.000003
Ĉ	Application	100	0.000004	0.000004	0.000004	0.000004
		Total =		0.014278		0.001755

**Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** 

Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

#### b) Estimation according to the UK-POEM

Using the UK-POEM, the highest exposure for each application type is calculated if the maximum dose rates and the minimum spray volumes are used. Lower dose rates and higher spray volumes for crops which are treated with the same application type will be covered by this calculation and separate evaluations are not made. The following assumptions have been made:

Field Crop Sprayer application (cereals)

Treated area:	50 ha per day.	Ò	Å.	Ń		Ş
Max. dose rate:	1.5 L/ha plant protect	ion product con	responding to 0.	.015 kg MS	M∕∰a.	s,
Applied volume:	100 L/ha	Å		×	Q. Ô	¥ (()
Duration of work:	6 hours	A	Q e°	Å.	Ŭ <sup>×</sup>	,© <sup>*</sup>
Container size:	10 L, 63 mm closure.	~~~ <sup>*</sup>		Q' O	Ŵ.	Ň
Detailed calculations	with the UK POEM are	presented in Ta	Dle 7 3 1-2.		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Ĭ
	A			'0' L	, 2	d,°

Table 7.3.1-2: Calculation of exposure to mesosuffuron-methyl-sodium (MSM) of operators using IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 at 1.5 1/has application with field crop sprayer (UK POEM with and without PPF) in 50 has careal fights 4 and without PPE) in 50 ha cereal fields.





Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

#### IIIA 7.3.2 Estimation of operator exposure using personal protective equipment

Presented in the previous section.

#### **IIIA 7.3.3** Measurement of operator exposure

Since the exposure estimate carried out indicated that the health-based limit values (AOEL) will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of operator exposure necessary and was therefore not carried out.

#### **IIIA 7.4 Bystander Exposure**

Bystander exposure to IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 was not evaluated as part of the EU review of mesosulfuron-methyl. Therefore, all relevant data and risk assessments are provided here and are Ś considered adequate.

No EU-wide accepted official model is available for cestimation of bystander exposure Some proposals were given by the EUROPOEM Bystander Working Group but the report is stiller draffand not officially published because slight changes may still be anticipated following comments provided by the members of the working group

Therefore, as long as there is no official guidance on how to estimate bystander exposure an approach is presented in this document that considers both derival exposure deriver from available drift data and inhalation exposure - defived from an operator exposure pooled simulating a bystander who is exposed in a similar way as an unprotected operator spraying in the field. Additionally, exposure to

This approach is following a guidance of the German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)4 and is in line with what has been published by US EPA and PSD recently. All technical details with regard

Exposure estimates and proportions of the systemic AOELS accounted for by the estimates are summarised in the following table. Detailed information and calculations are presented in chapter CPI

Light .or spray .or

Guidance for Exposure and Risk Evaluation for Bystanders and Residents exposed to Plant Protection Products during and after Application, Journal für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit Journal of Consumer Protection and Food Safety (2008, in

Tuble 711 11 Treatered Systemic exposures as a propertion of the rectable
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

		Total systemic		
		exposure*	AOEL	% N 0
Substance	Scenario	(mg/kg bw/day)	(mg/kg bw/day)	of AQKL O
	Bystander of field	crop application (tracto	or-mounted)	
	Bystander: adult	0.000055		. Q.028 Q
MSM	Bystander: child	0.000044	Ø%2	0.028 0 <sup>-0</sup>
		a .		
	Resident: adult	0.00000040		0.0020
MSM	Resident: child	0.0000053		0.0023
		v (j		

\* Assumes a 60 kg bystander for an adult and 16.15 kg for a child Ľ Dermal absorption value of 75% used. Inhalation absorption wa the as 100% for both compounds

m

Assessment The results of the calculations reveal that the situation with respect to by stander and resident exposure is favourable for the intended use of HQS+MSM+MPR OD 42.

IIIA 7.4.1 Estimation of bystander exposure without personal protective equipment The following definitions and assumptions for bystanders and residents may be applied

Bystanders and residents are not products in application or handling plant protection products or the professional handling of treated crops. The question arises whether it is necessary to distinguish between bystanders and residents in terms of the potential for exposure and health risks. However, because the circumstances of this exposure could differ with respect to amount, frequency and duration, this seems to be reasonable.

Bystanders may inadvertently be present within of directly adjacent to an area for a short period of time, typically a matter of minutes, where application of a plant protection product is in progress or has recently taken place. They may be prosed to plant protection products mainly via the dermal route from spray drift and by whalation of drifting pray droplets Hand held application is considered to be worse case compared to field erop sprayer, > ¥.,

<u>Residents</u> may live or work near areas of the application of plant protection products (e.g. standing, working or siding in a garden in the viCinity. Of the application). They may be exposed to plant protection products mainly via the dermal route from spray drift deposits and by inhalation of vapour drift (depending on the vapour pressure of the active substance). For infants and toddlers exposure





Table 7.4.1-1: Percent Drift Values for Different Crops (Rautmann et al. 2001, current version



BAYER Bayer CropScience

Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies

Iodosul	furon-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl	+Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)
IA	= Inhalation Absorption (%)	100%.
BW	= Body Weight (kg/person)	60 kg (adult), 16.15 kg (child).
As the	vanour pressure of mesosulfuron methyl is 1	$1 \times 10^{-11}$ Pa @ 25°C the product is considered as
non-vo	latile and therefore $\Delta C_{y} = 0$ and SIE <sub>p</sub> = 0	1.1 x 10 1 a @ 25 C the product is considered as
In addi	tion oral exposure of children is estimated a	s well by the following equations
Childre	en's hand-to-mouth transfer:	
SOE <sub>H</sub> =	= (AR x D x TTR x SE x SA x Freq x H x O	A) / $\widehat{BW}$ $O$ $O$ $O$ $O$ $O$ $O$ $O$
Where:		A Q' A' A' C Q'
SOE <sub>H</sub>	= Systemic Oral Exposure via the Hand	tổ Mouth Route (mg/kg bw#day). O″
AR	= Application Rate (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$0.015 \text{ kg a.soha} = 0.00015 \text{ mg/cm}^{-1}$
D	$= \operatorname{Drift}(\%)$	0.29% (10 m distance) for 1 appln.
TTR	= Turf Transferable Residues (%)	
SE	= Saliva Extraction Factor ( $\%$ )	50% (1 PA default value).
SA	= Surface Area of Hands (cm)	$\mathcal{O}$
Freq	= Frequency of Hand to Mouth (events/)	nour) 20 events/h.
H	= Exposure Duration (nours) $\sim$	
	= Oral Absorption (%)	
BW	– Body weight (kg/person) // //	
Childre	en's object-to-mouth transfer	
Cillure		
SOE <sub>0</sub> =	= (AR x D x DFR x IgR x OA) BW	
5610		
Where <sup>.</sup>		
SOE	= Systemic Ofal Exposure vita the Objec	the Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day).
AR	= Application Rate (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$6015 \text{ kg/a.s./ha} = 0.00015 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ .
D	= Drift (%)	3 $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$
DFR	Dislodgeable Foliar Residues (%)	
IgR	Ingestion Rate for Mouthing of Grass	$Day(c_{1}^{2})$ 25 cm <sup>2</sup> /day.
ŌA <	S = Oral Absorption (%)	
BW	$=$ Body Weight (kg/person) $\sqrt{2}$	🖇 🕺 16.15 kg (child).
		~. ~
Total s	ystemic exposure of residents is then estimat	ed for
Adults:	$SE_R = SDP_R + SOE_R (mg/kg bv/day)$	
Childre	$\exp \oslash SE_R = SDE_R + SIE_{\odot} + SOE_{A} + SOE_{O} (m)$	g/kg bw/day)
What		
where.	- Systemia Ethosurou of Pasidants (mg/l	ka hw/day)
SDE <sub>R</sub>	= Systemic Derma Exposure of Resident	nts (ma/ka hw/dav)
$SDL_R$	= Systemic Inhastion Exposure of Resid	dents (mg/kg bw/day).
SOE	Systemic Oral Exposure of the Hand	to Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day)
SOL	$\mathcal{Q}$ = Systemic Gral Exposure via the Object	to Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day).
SOL0		to mouth Route (mg/kg ow/day).
, Ś		
L.		
v	sõ <sup>y</sup>	
(	J	

Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

Table 7.4.1-5. Calculations for resident exposure to mesosururon-metnyr						
	Adults			С	hildren	<u> </u>
Resider	nt: Exposure afte	r application w	ith Field Crop, trac	ctor mo	unted/trailed 🔊	Ý Ö
Dermal exposure:			Dermal exposur	e: 🖧	× "O"	Ô
$SDE_R = (AR \times D \times T)$	TTR x TC x H x D	A) / BW	$SDE_R = (AR)$	x D x T	TR x TC AH x D	) / BW
(0.00015 x 0.29% x	5% x 7300 x 2 x 7	5%)/60	(0.00015 x 0.2	9 x 59	% x 2600 x 2 x 3	%)/\$6.15
Absorbed dose:	0.00000397	mg/kg bw/d	Absorted	dose:	000000525	føg/kg þ₩/d
Inhalation exposure:		, A	Inhalation	sure:	Å Å Ö	× ×
$SIE_R = (AC_V x)$	IR x IA) / 1000 x	BW	°©″ SLAS	$a^{\circ} = (A \phi)$	🕅 x IR 🗴 IA) / BW	
(0 x 16.5	57 x 100%) / 60	4		x 8,31	x 100%) / 16.15	
Absorbed dose:	0.0	mg Arg bw/d	Absorbed	dose:		mg/kg bw/d
		A.O	Oral exposure (h	nandeto-	mouth transfer):	
	A		SQCH = (AR * D	x. ŶŤR	x SE x SA x Free	🕅 H x OA) /
	Ű	LA L		Š (	W S	
	A ON		~~(0.000) 5 x 0.29	% x 5∰	8 x 50 <b>@</b> x 20 x 20 16₄\$\$ ≪	x 2 x 3%) /
			Absorbe	Baose	0.00000002	mg/kg bw/d
		24	Oral exposure (object-to-mouth transfer):			
		y Qy	SOE $(AR \times D \times DR \times IgR \times OA) / BW$			
	× A		(0,00015 x <sup>*</sup>	0.29%	20% x 25 x 3%)	/ 16.15
			Absorve	d dose	0.000000004	mg/kg bw/d
Total systemic exposu			Total systemic	exposu	re:	
SER	SDE <sub>R</sub> SIE			ĎE <sub>R</sub> + S	$SIE_R + SOE_H + SOE_$	OEo
Total absorbed dose:	<b>\$9.00000397</b>	mg/kg/bw/d 4	Total absorbed	dose:	0.00000527	mg/kg bw/d
% of AOEL:	<b>6K0020</b>		C & the of A	OEL:	0.0026	
			NY OF			

## Table 7.4.1-3: Calculations for resident exposure to mesosulfuron-methyl

# IIIA 7.4.2 Measurement of bystander exposure

Õ

Ĩ

Since the exposure estimate carried our indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of bystander exposure was not necessary and was therefore not carried out.

# IIIA 7. Worker Exposure

According to the application parafreters of IMS/MSM+MPR OD 42 the only intended use is spray application to cereals at early growth stages (BBCH up to 32). At these growth stages no re-entry exposure would be expected due to the relative height (very low) of the crop. However, the potential exposure due to scouting procedures is provided in the following section.

# IIIA 7.59 Estimation of worker exposure without personal protective equipment

The greatest potential for worker exposure following re-entry will be contamination *via* the skin. Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry is generally confined to a brief period after application, while the product is drying, which will be rapid under outdoor conditions and would generally be avoided according to good agricultural practices. Exposure to workers entering treated areas are predicted



*et al*, (1998) and s *et al*. (2001). The using an exposure model proposed by following assumptions are made; - Re-entry exposure is predominantly via the dermal route (contact with the foliage) - Residues on the foliage depend on: application rate i) ii) extent of remaining residues from previous applications the Leaf Area Index (LAI) [total size of foliage compared to surface area] iii) - Transfer of residues from foliage to the clothes or skin of workers depends mainly on the - Activities with a similar pattern can be grouped and a generic Transfer Coefficient (IC) applied - Dislodgeable Foliar Residue (DFR) is calculated using a default vanue of the astem? per kg as/ha. This figure is based et at (2004) - Workers re-enter the treated culture short after the spear has dried on planosurfaces. nevertheless it is now recommended to use the higher derma absorption values amongst neat and diluted values. The dermal exposure calculation isperformed according to the following equation Ð FR X Ð C X VOR X AÐ X F ≼Ω. ð Where: = Dislodgeable foliar residues ( $\mu g as/ cm^2$ ). DFR = Transfer Coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup>/person/h). TC WR = Work rate (hours/day) = Application ate (kgas/ha) AR ust a long deeved shirt, or 0.1 when = Protection Pactor for  $PPE_{\mu}(P = 1)$  no р adequate clothing and gloves are worn). DFR values: A single application s considered in this risk assessment resulting in an estimated worst case DFR of 3 μg as/cm<sup>2</sup>per kg as/ha. 6 Hoernicke et al (1998) propôse that transfer coefficient (TC) of 30,000 (cm²/person/h) be used for the transfer of residues from foliage to the clothes or skin of a worker in initial estimates of exposure. This value is considered to represent to worst case for worker exposure, being derived from tasks requiring intersive contact with foliage and representing an unprotected worker. As no spectric TCs are available in Europe to assess re-entry activities performed in cereals a conservative value of 2500 cm²/person/h has been used in this risk assessment. This value was obtained from the Europeen II date for vegetables which are believed to be the most reasonable surrogate from the available data for scorting activities in young cereal crops. : Label instructions for the protection of workers re-entering crop growing areas after application of plan protection produc Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd. 50 (10), (1998), 267 - 269 (document no. M-107544-01-1)

(2001) Uniform

principles for subguarding the heath of workers re-entering crop growing areas after application of plant-protection products, Worker exposure to appochemicals, Ed. R.C. Honeycutt and E.W. Day, chapter 8, 107-117, CRC Press (2001), (document no.: M-209388-01-1)

<sup>.: (2001);</sup> Modeling re-entry exposure estimates: techniques and application rates; Worker exposure to agrochemicals, Ed. R.C. Honeycutt and E.W. Day, chapter 9, 119-138, CRC Press (2001), (document no.: M-128767-01-1)

#### **Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies** Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

Predicted exposures are compared with the AOEL for mesosulfuron-methyl. Systemic exposure values assume the highest dermal absorption value (default 75%). A body weight of 60 kg is assumed for the re-entry worker. Exposure estimates based proportions of the systemic AOELs accounted for by the estimates are summarised in the following Table. Detailed calculations are presented on the following pages.

#### Table 7.5.1-1: Summary of predicted mesosulfuron worker exposures arising from the use IMS+MSM+MPR OD 42 and comparison with the respective AOELs

	K OD 42 allu colli	parison with the respective AOELS			
Active substance	Systemic exposure* (mg/kg bw/day)	AOEL % of AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)			
MSM	0.0028125				
*75% dermal absorption for MSM. 60 kg worker.					

1

#### Assessment

ithin acceptable levels The exposure to mesosulfuron of workers entering treate following application of IMS+MSM\*MPR/OD 42. 

				$\sim$			2
Datailad	anlaulationa	of	monlean	and	anna	during	Dura
Detalled	calculations	01	worker	exp	osue	uunu	≝re-¢
				<i>a,</i> r		40	Ð

- Product Name: Atlantis OD Active substance: MSM
  - D D D ners using absorption (highest value) demina S

IIIA 7.5.2 Estimation of worker exposure using personal protective equipment Considered to be not required (see CPL)

IIIA 4.5.3 Estimation of worker exposure assuming personal protective equipment is used and wing dislodgeable cesidues data

Considered to be not required see

# IIIA 7.5.4 Measurement of worker exposure

Considered to be not required see CP1 7.5).

# **Decmal Absorption**

In the absorption study for the Atlantis OD 42 formulation the default value of 75% was used.



Iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium + Mesosulfuron-methyl +Mefenpyr-diethyl OD 42 (2+10+30 g/L)

#### **IIIA 7.6.1** Dermal absorption, in vivo in the rat

# skin where is a start of the st skin s