

Page 1 of 53





<text> and the second state of the owner owner

•

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
IIIA1 7	Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product	äge
IIIA1 7.1	Acute toxicity	5,0
IIIA1 7.1.1	Acute oral toxicity	Ľ
IIIA1 7.1.2	Acute percutaneous (dermal) toxicity of the second	7
IIIA1 7.1.3	Acute inhalation toxicity to rate 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	. 8
IIIA1 7.1.4	Skin irritation	ý9
IIIA1 7.1.5	Eye Irritation	11
IIIA1 7.1.6	Skin sensitization of the	13
IIIA1 7.1.7	Supplementary studies for combinations of glant protection products	14
IIIA1 7.2	Short-term toxicity studies	14
IIIA1 7.3	Operator exposure & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	14
IIIA1 7.3.1	Estimation of operator exposure without personal projective equipment	: 17
IIIA1 7.3.2	Estimation of operator exposure using personal protective equipment	25
IIIA1 7.3.3	Measurement of operator exposure 2 2	25
IIIA1 7.4 [©]	Bystander exposure	26
IIIA1 7.4M	Estimation of bystander exposure without personal protective equipmen	nt27
IIIA1 7.4.2	Measurement of bystander exposure	32
IIIA1 7.5	Worker expositive 2 2 0	32
IIIA1 7.5.1	Estimation of worker exposure without personal protective equipment	33
IIIA1 7.5.2	Estimation of worker exposure using personal protective equipment	35
IIIA1 75.3	Estimation of worker exposure using data on dislogeable residues	35
IIIAJ 7.5.4	Measurement of worker exposure	35
IIIA1 7.6	Dermal absorption	35
IIIA1 7.6.1	Dermal absorption, ju vivo in the rat	36
IIIA1 7.6.2	Comparative dermal absorption, in vitro using rat and human skin	43
IIIA17.7	Distogeable residues	47
11441 7.23	Dislogeable residues - foliar	47
IIIA1 [©] .7.2	Dislogeable residues - soil	52
IIIA1 7.7.3	Dislogeable residues - indoor surface re-volatization	52



IIIA17 Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protetion Product

BYI 0260 SL 200 g/L (spec N° 102000021884) is a soluble concentrate containing 200 g/L BYI 02960. The toxicological results were as follows:

		· ·	
Study/Parameter	Species (sex)	Results	References O
Acute oral / LD50 (mg/kg)	Rat (Female)	LD or cut off ≥ 5000 mg/kg bw	U (2010) M 385422-01-1 - 5
Acute dermal / LD ₅₀ (mg/kg)	Rat (Male &	LD% > 2000 mg/kg bw	M-385421-01-1
Acute inhalation/LC50	Rat (MFF)	LC_{57} unale 4.483 LC_{57} female = 3.496	A. (2010)
Acute skin irritation	Rabbit (gemale)	Not irruant	C (2019) M-370881-0 k1
Acute eye irritation	Rabbit (Female)	Not irritator	C. (2010) M£3645.1 (201-1
Skin sensitization test, LLNA in mice	Mouse (Femále)	Sensitising ⁽⁾	M. (2010) M-3368808-01-1

Therefore, according to the Ec classification criteria (2007/59/FC Directive), the formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 gL is classified and should be labelled as follows

Symbols of danger	Xn Harmful
Systabolis of duliger	
	X1, Irritanto X
Dick phrases 0 30	Do Harpful by inholation
	120, Haumur by minarquon
	R43. May cause sensitization by skin contact

IIIA1 7.1.1 Acute or toxicity

1	
Report:	KJIIA1 7.1/0 5
Title:	BYI 02960 SL 200 gT - Acore toxicity in the rat after oral administration.
Report No &	AT/93943 ~ ~ ~ ~
Document No	M-385432-01-10
Dates of work	February 03, 2010 to
	March 03, 2010
Guidelines:	Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (Reach)
A D	QECD Suidelines N° 423, (2001)
	EEC Directive 440/2008 Method B1.tris
	EPA OPPTS 870.1100 – 712-C-98-190, (1998)
GLP O	Yes

Material and Methods

.

The formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L, a brown clear liquid (batch number: 2009-001253) contained the active ingredient BYI 02960 at the nominal concentration of 200 g/L (199.8 g/L certified by analysis).

The test compound was formulated in tap water; the administration volume was 10 mL/kg by. The test material was administered first at a single dose (2000 mg/kg) by gavage to fasted female Wistar rats. As no compound mortality occurred three additional animals were treated with the same dose,

		al a	ŐÝ	×.,		Ŏ ^Ÿ
Dose	Toxicological	Duration & signs	Opset of death	O I	LD50 cuPoff	7
(mg/kg bw)	findings*		after (days)		(mg/kg bw) (mg/kg bw)	
(1 st) 2000	0/3/3	3h & 5h		Ø Á		
(2 nd) 2000	0/3/3	بر المراجع الم	b A b	×		

 Table 7.1.1-1: Acute or at toxicity in female rats

*number of dead animals/number of animals/with clinical signs/number of animals tested.

Findings

- Mortality: no death occurred
- Clinical signs: decreased motility and temporary tremer were observed
- Body weights: there were no toxicological effects weights or body eight gain.
- Necropsy: no particular finding

g/L in rats was greater or equal to

on criteria (2000/59/EC Directive), the formulation is labeled as

anked as "Category 5" or SUnclassifica".

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

Report:	KIIIA1 7.1.2/01; U., 2010
Title:	BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L – Acute toxicity in the rat after dermal application.
Report No &	AT 05944
Document No	M-385421-01-1
Dates of work	February 03, 2010 to
	February 17, 2010
Guidelines:	Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH)
	OECD Guidelines N° 402, (1987)
	EEC Directive 440/2008, Method B3
	EPA (OPPTS 870.1200 – 712-098-192, (1998)
GLP	Yes A g g A

IIIA1 7.1.2 Acute percutaneous (dermal) toxicity

Material and Methods

The formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L a brown clear liquid (batch number 2009-001253) contained the active ingredient BYI 02960 at the normal concentration of 200 g/L (199.8 g/L certified by analysis).

One day before the start of the treatment the back and flanks of 5 male and 5 female. Wistar rats were shorn. They received a single dermal dose of 2000 mg/kg bw of the pure liquid test compound applied semi-occlusively. After an exposure time of 24 hours, the fixing bandage and the gauze strip were removed and the treated area was ninsed, with topid water using soap and gently pating the area dry.

Table 7.1.2-1: Acute decinal toxicity in rats Toxicological Duration of Onset of death LD₅₀ (mg/kg bw) findings* & after (days) sign Ô Male > 2000 2000 $0/0/^{2}$ 2000 Female > 2000 C

* number of dead animals number of animals with Finical signs/number of animals in the group

Findings [&]

- Mortality: no death occorred.

- Clinical signs no clinical signs were observed.

- Body weights: there were no toxicological effects on body weights or body weight gain related to the test compound.

- Necropsy: no particular findings at the end of the study.

Conclusion

The dermal LD₅₀ of the formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L was greater than 2000 mg/kg bw in rats.

According to the EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC Directive), the formulation is labeled as follows: Symbol of danger: None

According the GHS criteria, the formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L should be ranked as "Category 5" or "Unclassified".

CIIIA1 7.1.3/01; 2010 2010 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3YI 02960 SL 200 g/L - Acette inhalation toxicity in ration of a second se
AT06016
M-392826-01-10 4 4 6 7 6 6 6 6
February 09, 2010 to 7 7 7 5 5 5 5
March 04, 2010 @ @ @ 6 6 20 0 7
DECD 405 (1981)
Directive 92/69/ÉEC Amnex V – Method B 2 (1992)
JS EPA OPOTS 870.1300 Prealth Effect GuideQmes (1998)
apar MAFF, Notification No. 2 NotSan-8147 (2000)

Material and Methods:

The formulation BYI 02960 ST 200kg/L, a brown clear liquid (hatch number: 2009-001253) contained the active ingredien BYI 02960 at the nominal concentration of 200 g/L (199.8 g/L certified by analysis). 🔊

Three groups (1 control and 2 treated groups of five male and five female Wistar rats were acclimatized for at least 5 days prior to treatment and housed individually.

, , , Two groups of 10 Wistar rats animals/sex) were exposed to a mean liquid aerosol concentration of 1.956 mg/L and 4.489 mg/ test substance for up to 4 hours using nose only exposure system. The liquid aerosol generated with undifuted lest substance was respirable to rats.

The observation period was two weeks. The appearance and behaviour and the body weight of each rat were examined several times on the day of exposure and at least once daily until the end of the study. , K)

">		No E	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	≪ _0 [%]
Findings:	A A		, S	Ŕ
	() ~			0.

Table 7.1.33: Characteristics of the achieved atmosphere

m

Targer concentration (mg/L)	Actual concentration S(mg(L)	Mean mass Aerodynamic Diameter (µm)	Geometric standard deviation (µm)	Respirable fraction (% < 3 μm)
2.500	1.957	1.66	1.69	87.1
5.000	4.483	1.97	1.78	77.2

Bayer CropScience

Tier 2	2, IIIA,	Sec. 3,	, Point 7	7: BYI	02960 SI	. 200 , S	SpecNo	: 102000021884	4

N° group/sex	Actual concentration (mg/L)	Toxicological findings*	Duration of signs (days)	Onset of death after (days)	LC ₅₀ (mg/L) (14 days)	
1/m	0	0/0/5			Q I	j do
2/m	1.957	0/5/5	0d -7d			
3/m	4.483	2/5/5	0d -6d	1d-2d	$\mathcal{A}C_{50} \text{ male} 4 \mathcal{A}83$	
1/f	0	0/0/5	(s	CLC_{50} female $= 3.426$	
2/f	1.957	0/5/5	0d -7d 🕅			
3/f	4.483	4/5/5	0d -30	1d-3d ^{©♥}		

Table 7.1.3-2: Acute inhalation toxicity – 4 h exposure to aerolized test compound

* number of dead animals / number of animals with chinical signs / Quimber of animals in the group

(group 2), whilst of % mortality at the test Mortality did not occur at a concentration of 1.957 mg/L atmosphere of 4.483 mg/L (Group 3) was observed. @

The rats that died showed findings that were suggestive of nonspecific, systemic toxic effects and emaciation as cause of death. Ø Ô

The rats succumbed on post exposure day and up to day & Necropsy findings of the fats which died showed findings which were suggestive to jung edema as cause of death. Average body weights were decreased and reflexes of some group 3 rats were not pormat? The rats displayed following reversible clinical signs: Bradypnea, lab@ared breathing patterns, breathing irregular, proerection, cyanosis, motility reduced, limp, gait high legged, nasal discharge serous, hose with red encrustations, nose and muzzle with red encrustations, nostrils with red chcrustations and hypothermia. One post exposure day 8 all rats were without clinical signs. Overall a higher susceptibility of the female tats is apparent.

Conclusion

In summary, after phalation the test substance (neat test article) proved to drave low to moderate acute toxicity in rats. For the venale rats the approximate LC₅₀ Value is 3.496 mg/L. For the male rats the LC₅₀ value is greater than 4,483 mgQL. L.

According to the Commission Directive 2001/59/EC, the fest acticle should be labelled as follows: Symbol of danger: Xn \$ 0

- Risk phrase. Harmful by inhadation,

According the GHS cotteria, the formulation BV002960 SL 200 g/L should be ranked as "Category 4"

Report:	KH1A1 7.1.4/01Q C., 2010
Title:	BYI 02960 St 200 g 2 - Acute skin irritation/corrosion on rabbits
Report No &	AT 05908
Document No 🗸	M\$70381-01-1
Dates of work	Kebruary 23, 2010 to
	February 26, 2010
Guidelines:	OFCD Guidelines N° 404 (2002)
	EC Directive 440/2008
, Ô	EPA OPPTS 870.2500 – 712-C-98-196 (1998)
GLP U	Yes

IIIA1 7Å.4 Skin

Material and Methods

The formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L, a brown clear liquid (batch number: 2009-001253) contained the active ingredient BYI 02960 at the nominal concentration of 200 g/L (199.8 g/L certified by analysis).

One day before the test, the fur was shorn on the right and left side from the dorso-lateral area of the trunk of each of the rabbits. 0.5 ml of the pure liquid test substance was applied first to the skip of 10 female albino rabbit under a gauze patch. The treated skin area was approximately of 6 cm² After an exposure period of 4 hours, the dressing and patch were removed and the treated area was carefully washed with water. As no skin reaction was observed the test was completed using two addition animals exposed for four hours.

The individual findings of the treated skin areas at the various summarize Table 7.1.4-1.

					poseter		
Animal		24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	Mean	🗸 Response 🔬	Reversible
					≪ scores		(days)
1	Erythema (redness)				<u> </u>	õ, Ž.	$\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{s}}$
I	and Eschar formation						» na
	Oedema Formation			No C	0. 0	ů - 0	na
2	Erythema (redness)	ON AN				L. C.	
2	and Eschar formation	0Q '		, Xo	~~0.0 ₁		na
	Oedema Formation		<u>k</u>		ý Ø	- 2	na
2	Erythem (redness)*				La co	,	12.0
3	and Eschar formation	\$ \$				-	lla
	Oecoma Formation	00 %			<u>@</u> 0	-	na
		(<i>Cn</i>) 4		159	\sim		

Table 7.1.4-1. Irritant Effects on the

Abbreviations No positive response; mean Positive response: me

Findings

There were no systemic

Conclusion

Under our experimental conditions, the formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L is not irritating to the skin. According to the EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC Directive), the formulation is labeled as follows: Symbol of danger: I **Risk phrase:** None

According the GHS criteria, the formulation AE 1887196 SC 200 g/L should be ranked as "Unclass@ied

Bayer CropScience

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

IIIA1 7.1.5 **Eye Irritation**

ion on rabbits.	» "
	Y
(<u>]</u>	1998).

Material and Methods

The formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/k/a brown clear liquid (batch number: 2009-001253) contained (199.8 SL certified by the active ingredient BYI 02960 at the nominal concentration of 200 g/L analysis).

The test was started with one of three female albing abbits 0.1 the of the pure diquid test substance was placed into the conjunctival sac of one eye after having gently pulled the lower lid away from the eyeball. The lids were gently held together for about one second in order, to prevent loss of the test compound. The other eve, which reprained untreated, served as control. The eye was rinsed

The individual findings of the treated eyes at the various observation times are summarized in Table 7.1.5-1.

Bayer CropScience

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

Table	e 7.1.5-1: S	Summary	y of irrit <i>s</i>	ant effect		
Observations	24h	48h	72h	Mean scores	Reversible	0
Animal 1				(24-48-72h)	(days)	
Degree of cornea opacity	0	0	0	0.0 (-)	na	ST P
Iris	0	0	0	0.0 (-)	🖧 na 🎣	
Redness conjunctivae	1	1	0	0.7 (-)	3	
Chemosis conjunctivae	0	0		0.0 (-)	na 🍾	
			- T	Ű		
Observations	24h	48h	🖌 72h	Mean scores	Reversible	
Animal 2		A		~~~~24-48~~~~2h)	(days)	
Degree of cornea opacity	0	~~~~	0 0	0 0 (-)	, na , s	ŝ
Iris	0			£ 0.0 (-)	S na	×
Redness conjunctivae	1	, h		Q 0.7 (²)		
Chemosis conjunctivae	R. C.				na k	<u>S</u>
	Q U			* 8		Õ
Observations	24h%	Å8h	₩Žh ू	Mean scores	Reversible	1
Animal 3			Þ 5	(24-48-7210)	(days)	
Degree of cornea opacity	م م الأ	0 0	Ŵ	0.0(-)	or no	
Iris		Ĩ	𝔍 0 𝔍		a cona	
Redness conjunctivae	<u></u>	\$ ⁷ 2		1.3 (-)	3	
Chemosis conjunctiva		<u>k</u>	~~~0	0.6()	na na	
Animal 1, 0 1 h p.a.: test	compound ad	hereDto corr	and conju	inctiva	Ŷ	
Response	cornea	ll opacity.	mean sco	$\operatorname{res} \mathcal{O} = (-), \mathcal{O}$	$\geq 2 < 3 = (+),$	≥3 =
	O mean	scores < 1 = (S≥1<2 <u>∂</u> (+),	= 2 =(++)	
Conjunctival r	edness; mean s	scònes <2.5 =	(¥),	≥2.5(=)+ >0?⊭+		
		Solics 2 (Š,		
ndings 🖄 😤 😓		~~~ (Ý		
nere were no relevant systemic in	tolerance r	wations				
· ·	R à		Ś			
	× ×		"U"	GT 800 /7 ·		
ider our experimental conditions	, the formu	ilation B	¥1 02960	SL 200 g/L is	not irritating to	eyes.

criteria (2001/59/EC Directive), the formulation is labeled as According to the FC classification follows: None Symbol of danger:

Risk phrase

criteria, the formulation AE 1887196 SC 200 g/L should be ranked as According the "Unclassified" S. les"

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

Report: KIIIA1 7.1.6/01, 2010 Title: BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L - Evaluation of potential sensitization in the local lymph node assay in the mouse Report No. & SA 10101 Document No. M-368808-01-1 Dates of work March 23, 2010 to March 31, 2010 O.E.C.D. Guideline 429 (2002) Guidelines: EPA OPPTS 870.2600 (2003) GLP Yes

IIIA1 7.1.6 Skin sensitization

Material and Methods

The formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L, a brown clear liquid (back non-ber: 2009-001253) contained the active ingredient BYI 02960 at the mominal concentration of 200 g/L (199.8 g/L certified by analysis).

Twenty-five female CBA/J mice we allocated to S groups of five animals each.

- three groups received the test substance at a concentration of 25%, 50% in vehicle or 100%,
- one positive control group received 30% appha-Hexylcinnamaldehyde CAS of 101-86-0, batch N°: MKAA2596) in wehicle,
- one control group received the vehicle 1% Pluronie Acid 192[®] in water.

The test substance and the vehicle were applied on external surfaces of each ear $(25 \ \mu l/ear)$ for three consecutive days (Days 0, 1 and 2) as the appropriate concentrations. On Day 5) the cell proliferation in the draining aurice ar lymph nodes was measured by incorporation of tritiated thymidine and the obtained values were used to calculate proliferation indices.

Findings

Table 7.1.2-1 Results of the prolife ation assay:

		Stimulation
	Group	Index Values
	Number Number	
		(CD)
		(SD)
	10 0 v control o	-
	\square	
		1.2
~~	BY102960 St 200 g/L at 25%	1.3
\sim	j j j j j j j j j j j j j j j j j j j	(0.2)
	3 4 4 BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L at 50%	2.3
	\mathcal{O} in 1% affreques Pluronic Acid I 92 [®]	(0,7)
		(0.7)
		2.0
	A BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L at 100%	3.0
ړ لړ	\mathbb{Y} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{A} in 1 % aqueous Pluronic Acid L92 [®]	(0.8)
S		
	HCA at 30%	
(in 1% aqueous Pluronic Acid®	6.4
```		(2, 1)
		(3.1)

No cutaneous reactions were observed in the vehicle, reference control or treated groups.

The stimulation index values of the test substance were 1.3 ( $\pm 0.2$ ), 2.3 ( $\pm 0.7$ ) and 3.0 ( $\pm 0.8$ ) at treatment concentrations of 25, 50 and 100%, respectively. The stimulation index value of the positive control alpha-Hexylcinnamaldehyde was 6.4 ( $\pm 3.1$ ) of a treatment concentration of 30%.

Positive lymphoproliferative responses (SI>3) were noted for BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L at concentration of 100%.

#### Conclusion

The formulation BYI 02960 SL 200 g/L was found to be a slight-sensitizing formulation in the Local Lymph Node Assay.

According to the EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC Directive), the formulation is labeled as follows:

Symbol of danger: Xi, irritant

Risk phrase: R43, may cause sensitization by skin contact

## IIIA1 7.1.7 Supplementary studies for combinations of plant protection products

Not relevant: the formulation is not recommended to be combined with other plant protection products.

# IIIA1 7.2 Short-term toxicity studies

Not required by Regulation 1007/2009.

## IIIA1 7.3 Operator exposure

'BYI 02960 St 200's a water soluble concentrate containing 200 g BYI 02960/L. The proposed use is as an insecticide on hops and lettuce Applications of 'BYL 02966 SL 200' will be achieved via field crop sprayers, broadcast air as isted sprayers and by hand held devices in greenhouses. Water will be the diluent/carrier in all cases. Usage information pertinent to operator exposure is summarized in table 7.3-1.

Cop	Application technique	Max no of application	Spray volume (L/ha)	Max dose rate (g BYI 02960 / ha)
Lettuce (field)	FCS		500 - 1000	125
Hops	BAA		2000 - 3000	150
Lettuce (greenhouse)	HH GH 🖉	L 2	500 - 1000	125

Table 7.3-1: Application parameters for 'BY 02960 SL 200'

FCS = Field crop sprayer, BAA = proadcast air assisted sprayer, HH-GH = Hand-held application in greenhouses

## Consideration on AOEIC

The proposed AOEL for BX1 02960 is based on the NOAEL from the 90-day dog study (NOAEL: 12 mg/kg by/day). No adjustment for oral absorption is necessary. Including a safety factor of 100 the AOEL arguints to 0.12 mg/kg bw/day.

Consideration on dermal absorption

Dermal absorption data are available for BYI 02960 from in vitro studies with human/rat skin and from an *in vivo* study with rats (see IIIA1 7.6).

Derived from the results of these studies it is proposed to use 22% and 15% dermal absorption to calculate systemic exposure of BYI 02960 from the concentrate and the spray dilution, respective

#### Consideration on estimation of operator exposure estimates

Operator exposures to 'BYI 02960 SL 200' during the intended tractor mounted ground book application in the field as well as during broadcast air assisted application to hops will be estin Model'. Details are presented in IIIA1 7.3.1.

The results of the exposure calculations are summarized in

	· · · j · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Сгор	Model	RPE 🖉 🕎 Total systemic exposure	e 🖉 % of @OEL#
Lettuce	German model	$N_0 PPE^{1}$	18
(field)		With PP 2 4 0.00102	S <1
	UK-POEM 🌾 🕺	No PARE ¹⁾	65
		With PPE?	6
Нор	German mødel 👸	00 PPE 07 & 0,0155 07	13
Ő		With $\mathcal{D} E^{2}$	4
, S	UKPOEM	No PPE ¹ No POL	38
²		With $PRE^{2}$ $\mathcal{T}$ $0.0775$	15
Lettuce	Low crops O &	No P&E ¹⁾ 0 00321	3
(greenhouse)	(standard sconario)	With PPE ² Q 0.00090	<1
je g	Kow cross	No PPE 0.0929	77
	(intensive scenario)	With PPE ³ 0.00316	3

Table 7.3-2:	Predicted systemic exposure as a proportion of the OEL	

A

[#] BYI 02960: AQPL = 0.12 mg/kg bw/day

1) One layer of typicar work wear (e. Frousers and a long sleeved shirt) is well as sturdy foot wear

- 2) In addition to typical work wear (see 1), protective loves are worn during mixing and loading as well as when handling contaminated Surfaces.
- 3) Instead of Appical work wear spray tight trougers as projective Hothing have to be worn. In addition protective gloves are worn during mixing/loading and application.



- Lundehn, J.R.; Weinphal, D.; Kieczka, H.; Krebs, B.; Löcher-Bolz, S.; Maasfeld, W.; Pick, E.-D. (1992): Uniform Frinciple for Safeguarding the Health of Applicators of Plant Protection Products (Uniform Principles for Operator Protectors); Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Berlin-Dahlem, n° 277, 1992
- Scientific Subcommittee on Pesticides and British Agrochemicals Joint Medical Panel., Estimation of Exposure and Absorption of Pesticides by Spray Operators (UK MAFF) 1986 and the Predictive Operator Exposure Model (POEM) - A User's Guide (UK MAFF) 1992, revised model 2007

#### Assessment

The results of the exposure calculations reveal that the situation regarding operator exposure favourable with the intended spray uses of 'BYI 02960 SL 200' in all crops.

#### Field crops (tractor mounted ground boom application)

With the German Model operator exposure to BYI 02960 is estimated to be 18% of the prosystemic AOEL when assuming that no PPE is worn. Considering PDE model predicted systemic operator exposure amounts to < 1% of the AOEL.

With the UK-POEM the predicted exposure is estimated to be at 65% of the proposed systemico when no PPE is worn. Considering PPE model predicted systemic operator exposure amounts to the proposed AOEL.

#### High crops (tractor mounted air blast application)

Using the German model estimated systemic operator exposure to BYN 02960 accounts for only 13% of the proposed AOEL if no PPE is worn. Considering PPE is worn corresponding exposure Stimate accounts for 4% of the proposed AQPL. the proposed AOEL, With the UK-POEM the corresponding figures amount to

respectively.

Greenhouse applications 🖉 The exposure to BYI 02960 during hand-beid application to lettuce in green ouses was evaluated using data from a number of exposure studies which are summarized in the "Greenhouse Model".

For the "standard scenario in Now crops (with negligible contact with treated foliage) predicted systemic operator exposure for the seenario no PPE amounts to % of the proposed systemic AOEL. Assuming the protective gloves are worn when handling the once thrate and during application, the corresponding exposure estimate accounts for 1% of the proposed systemic AOEL.

For the intensive" scepario (with diffect contact with treated folloge) the use of "no PPE" results in an exposure corresponding to 7% of the proposed AOEk, With appropriate impervious trousers as well as gloves during mixing loading and application the predicted systemic operator exposure amounts to 3% of the proposed systemic AOELC

Based on these results there is no unacceptable risk applicipated for the operator with the intended uses of 'BYI 02960' if adequate work clothing (i & one layer of work clothing (e.g. a coverall)) is worn. However, according to good occupational hyperene appropriate PPE should also be worn (i.e.

protective gloves during mixing and loading as well as when handling contaminated surfaces).

In greenhouses where direct contace with weated foliage during application may occur impervious

trousers and gloves are recommended.

#### **IIIA1 7.3.1** Estimation of operator exposure without personal protective equipment

## A. Estimated operator exposure during the intended ground boom spray application of 'BYI 02960' to lettuce in the field S

The following assumptions have been made in calculating operator exposure according to the German model and UK-POEM: Work rate: German model: 20 ha per day UK-POEM. 50 ha per day YI 62960/ba 0.625 L 'BYI 02960 SL 200' (= Maximum application rate: the.g. a coverate as well sturdy foot Minimum water rate: 500 L/ha One layer of t Operator clothing : wear Dermal absorption: - BYI 02960: Standard operator body weight: German model: UK-POEM. The calculation of the estimated operator exposure was made for two alternatives regarding the

personal protective equipment (PPE) C disregarding the recommendations on the Tabel, no personal protective - no PPE:

when mandling the undifferted product and during equipment is used application. - with PPE gloves during mixing and loading as well @s when handling contaminated

It should be noted that this selection of portective measures is not intended to be a recommendation as the required PPE when handling 'BVL 02960 SL 200'. To does not consider specific requirements, which may exist in individual member states. Additional PPE can be used to further reduce the exposure of the operator.

Corresponding exposure estimates are summarised in the following tables.

Bayer CropScience Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

•

#### Table 7.3.1-1: German model: Predicted systemic exposure to BYI 02960/no PPE and with PPE

Operator exposure estimate: German model. Tractor-mounted/trailed boom sprayer: hydraulic nozzles

Product:	BYI 02960 SL 200	ch fractor mour	itea manea boom sp	<i>iu, oi i ii, ui</i> uu		<i>a</i> °	~
Active substance:	BYI 02960		a.s. concentration:	200	[g/l or kg]		ð
Formulation:	Liquid	PPF	Eduring mix/loading	Respiration:	None		S
Dose [] or kg/ha]	0.625		auring init iouuing.	Hands:	Glove	Ô, '	0
Work rate [ha/day]:	20	DD	E during application:	Paspiration:	Non	ο b	
Rody weight [kg]:	20	11	E during appreation.	Hands:	Glass	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Inhalation absorption [%]	100			Head:	None		
Dermal absorption [%]	22.0	(concentrate)		Body:	A Standard protection	Quaral	Q
	15.0	(dilution)	(Pr.	Body. ູ			)
	15.0	(unution)		Ô			"O
Calculation of route exp	osure:		¥	Q	.0	¥ \$	Å
Sultained of Fourt Cip	Specific exposure	a.s. handled	Estimat	ed exposure [mg/	kg bw/dav	R. A. S	Ç.
Route	[mg/kg a.s.]	[kg/dav]	No PPE	Reduction facto	or∘ watta PPE ∉	₽, °, °, °	n ^v
		[ 0	- Or			I = Anhalation	
IM =	0.0006	2.5	0.000021	1.0~	0.00002	Dermat	
$D_{M(H)} =$	2.4	2.5	(4. 0.0857)°	× 0×61	v 0 0.000 897	M = Mix/Seading	
IA =	0.001	2.5	0.000036	10	0.060036	A = Application	
$D_{A(C)} =$	0.06	2.5	0.90214		0.00214	H=mands	
$D_{A(H)} =$	0.38	25	S 00136	\$0.014	@000136	CoHead	
$D_{A(B)} =$	1.6	2.5	0.00286	0.05	S. 00.00286	B = Body	
		Ū,		5 O .			
		Q u ⁿ				* U	
Absorbed dose:			No Xi	PPK 🔗	N Avit	h PPE	
		Q, ,	Estimated	Systemic Systemic	Estimated	Systemic Systemic	
Route		Åbsorption [%]	route exposure	) exposure	route exposure	exposure	
			[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/Q bw/day]	[mg/kg/bw/day	ng/kg bw/day]	
	s de la companya de l	. \$	6	1 Q			
Dermal:	Mix/Loading	220	€¥ 0.08570r4	0.018837	0.000857	0.000189	
	Application	0 3.0	0.018571	0.002786	0.0 <b>405</b> 136	0.00077	
Inhalation:	Mix/Loading	100 a	\$ 0,000021	0.000021	<b>م</b> ر (1000021	0.000021	
	Application	<u> </u>	9.000036	\$9.000026	<u>∿</u> 92000036	0.000036	
	<u>~~</u> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Tetal	= 5 5	0.021	{y'	0.00102	
					S.		

Bayer CropScience

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

#### Table 7.3.1-2: UK-POEM: Predicted systemic exposure to tebuconazole/no PPE and with PPE



# B. Estimated operator exposure during the intended tractor mounted air blast spray application of 'BYI 02960' in hops

The following assumptions have been made in calculating operator exposure according to the Greman model and UK-POEM:

Work rate: 8 ha per day German model: UK-POEM: 15 ha per day BYI 02966/ha) 0.75 L 'BYI 02960 L 200' (= 1 Maximum application rate: 2000 L/ha Minimum water rate: Operator clothing: One layer of the wear in use dilution Dermal absorption: - BYI 02960: 22% foi Standard operator body weight: German model: UK-POEM: The calculation of the estimated operator exposure was made personal protective equipment (PID). alternatives regarding the Ś disregating the recommendations on the label, no personal protective - no PPE: when handling the undiluted product and during used umment Vis policatión. Poading as well as when handling contaminated - with PPE: loves during mixing surfaces It should be noted that this selection of protective measures is not intended to be a recommendation as the required PPE when handling BYI 09960 SF 200'. It does not consider specific requirements, which may exist in individual member states. Additional PPE can be used to further reduce the exposure of the operator. Corresponding exposure estimates are summarised in the following tables.

Bayer CropScience

## Table 7.3.1-3: German model: Predicted systemic exposure to BYI 02960/no PPE and with PPE

Operator exposure estimate: German model. Tractor-mounted/trailed broadcast air-assisted sprayer

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884



Bayer CropScience

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

#### Table 7.3.1-4: UK-POEM: Predicted systemic exposure to BYI 02960/no PPE and with PPE



C. Estimated operator exposure during the spray application of 'BYI 02960 SL 200' in greenhouses

Estimation according to the Greenhouse Model:

Totaldress? a data gap for hand-held applications in greenhouses, particularly in Southern Europe, ECPA conducted seven operator exposure studies during the period of 2002 to 2006. Details of the location and the crop are summarized in the following table.

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

EOEM	Country Bogion		Crop	No of Operators $\mathcal{R}_{p}^{\circ}$			
Study ID-	Country	Region	Стор	Mix/Load	Application		
2	Spain		Peppers	10	320	ď	
3	Spain		Cucumber	10	¥0 . \$		
10	Italy		Pot Plants	et p	0 ⁵ 100 ⁵ 4	Þ	
		•	No.			a la	
12	Spain	//	La Cucumber	°، 10 °		,¥	
13	Spain		🖉 Tomato 🥎				
14	Italy		A Melon		°∼ 20 [≪]		
15	Italy		Meton Q	n.a.	or the fi		
n.a.: not applicabl	e			A. OV K	, ~ &		

#### Table 7.3.1-5: Operator exposure studies in the greenhouse

The studies were conducted according to QECD Guidance³ and were GLP compliant for the field, analytical and report phases, including assessment reports. The studies were monitored by ECPA and conducted using internationally recognized contract research organization

Briefly, the exposure was determined using standardized passive dosinaetry methodology. This entailed the use of inner and onter dosinaetrs for body exposure, protective groves and hand washes for hand exposure, face and neck washes for head exposure. Inhalation exposure was monitored using a suitable collection device located in the breathing zone to collect the inhalable fraction of airborne particles.

Analysis of the work practices and exposure data has identified four exposure scenarios: High  $\operatorname{cop}(p^0.5m)$ :

- Standard scenario insignificant contact with treated foliage
- Intensive scenario direct contact with treated foliage

Low crop (<0.5m).

- Standard scenario insignificant contact with treated foliage
- Intensive scenario direct contact with reater foliage

In the 'Standard' seenario operators wore polyester/cotton standard working coveralls.

In certain cropping scenarios, where contact to treated foliage cannot be avoided rain suit coveralls/trousers are commonly used. Exposure of these operators was determined for an 'Intensive' scenario.

Algorithms using the  $75^{\text{th}}$  percentile of the exposure distributions have been developed based on normalization for the amount of kg a.s. handled or applied. These have been generated for each of the four scenarios' data sets and incorporated into a Microsoft Excel-based model [Greenhouse model v 2.1 (20101223).xls].

³ OECD (1997) Guidance Document for the Conduct of Studies of Occupational Exposure to Pesticides During Agricultural Application OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications Series on Testing and Assessment No. 9

The model has passed through a workshop with European experts from Member States and was further developed during several commenting periods according to the requirements of Member Series authorities.

More details about the model and the underlying studies are given in:

Report:	KIIIA 7.3.1/01, Membe 2010 (Revision 9)	ers of the ECPA Orce	upational and B	ystander Expe	ert Group, Oct
Title:	Southern European Green	nhouse Mode Overvi	ew K		
Document No	M-400719-01-1	, A			
Guidelines:	n.a.				
GLP	n.a.				y is
n a = nat applicable					

n.a. = not applicable

uo (uoun Standard' a Swell as Intensive') Calculations are made for the low crop scenario (both

The following assumptions are made

1 ha/day

0.125 kg aks./ha

Treated area:

Dose rate:

Table 7.3.1-6: Calculation of operator exposine during greenhouse application, Low crop - Standard

Operator exposure estima	ate Greenhouse mo	del. 🕬 crop,	Standard 🔪 .	Ž .0			
Product:	∭BYI 029@ SL 20			Y Q			
Active substance:	) BXI 02960	s'u	a.s. oncentration	: ~200 ~	g/l or kg]		
Formulation:	Quid	~~PP	E during mix/leading	: Respiration:	None		
Dose [l or kg/ha product] :	\$ 0.625	Q	× 6°	Hands:	Glov		
Work rate [ha/day]:	$v_{0'}$ $v_1 \sim$	Ô P	RE during application	Respiration:	None		
Body weight [kg].	70	s a	, or	Hands:	Obves		
Inhalation absorption [%]	100 🤶	Q	<u> </u>	Head?	None		
Dermal absorption [%]	. <b>@2</b> .0 . ℃	(concentrate)		Body	Coverall		
,	15.0	(dilution)		6 Å			
	S V	\$ °	$\vee$				
Calculation of route expo	<u>sirré: 1</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			r.
	Interpodiate exp	Sare figure	× Q	S -			
Route _	[menty a.s.] use	to calculate	O.S. handle	C Estimate	ed exposure [mg/kg	bw/day]	
~Q-	Estimated exp	bosure" for	kg/day	A			
	"Unprotested"	"Profected"		Unprotected	Reduction factor	Protected	
	0.0800.40	N 63	· ·				I = Inhalation
1KO#	0.000049	$\mathcal{D}$	Ø.125 S	0.00000009		0.00000004	D = Dermal
DANGA) =	2:00/001	♥ 0.0 <u>7</u> 2309	Q 0.122 0.125	0.00358393		0.00003984	M = Mix/Loading
	0.443290	- Or		0.000/9160			A = Application
$D_{A(C)} =$	· 0.0114948 ·	Sharan A		0.00002053		0.0000004	C = Head
$D_{A(H)} =$	S./10405	G.000237	د (J25 دارم ا	0.01019/3		0.0000004	H = Hands
DA(B) -	· 0.372900			0.000000			B = Body
Å	A	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	·¥				
Absorbed dose:			Unprof	tected	Prote	ected	ĺ
	× v		Estimated route	Systemic	Estimated route	Systemic	
Rold	y O	Redsorption	exposure	exposure	exposure	exposure	
	.4	[%]	[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]	
Ørmal:	Mix/Loading	22.0	0.003584	0.0007885	0.000040	0.000009	
	Application	15.0	0.010884	0.001633	0.000687	0.0001030	
Inhalation:	Mix/Loading	100	0.00000009	0.00000009	0.00000009	0.00000009	
e ^o	Application	100	0.000792	0.000792	0.000792	0.000792	
		Total =		0.003213		0.000903	

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

# Table 7.3.1-7: Calculation of operator exposure during greenhouse application, Low crop - Intensive (Greenhouse Model v 2.1, without and with PPE)

<b>Operator exposure estima</b>	te: Greenhouse m	odel. Low crop,	intensive contact with	th treated crop		_	añ 🔈
Product:	BYI 02960 SL 200						
Active substance:	BYI 02960		a.s. concentration:	200	[g/l or kg]		
Formulation:	Liquid	PP	E during mix/loading:	Respiration:	None	∕≫	
Dose [l or kg/ha product]:	0.625			Hands:	Gloves		,°° ∧
Work rate [ha/day]:	1	PI	PE during application:	Respiration:	None	1 and	
Body weight [kg]:	70			Hands:	Gloves		× ×
Inhalation absorption [%]	100			Head:	None	Ô	' SY O
Dermal absorption [%]	22.0	(concentrate)		Body:	Impervious glothing	ng 🍾	
	15.0	(dilution)		<u> </u>			
					<u> </u>	Ç ,	
Calculation of route expos	sure:			ŕ			y a loy
	Intermediate ex	posure figures	0	×		$\cdot a$	Ô ^V 4
Route	[mg/kg a.s.] use	ed to calculate	a.s. handled	Estima	ited exposure [mg/kg	g bw/setay]	
	"Estimated ex	posure" for	[kg/day]	11 (			
	"Unprotected"	"Protected"	Q~	Unprotected	Reduction factor	Protected	
T	0.000040		dare		17 JO	ð s	I = Inhafatson
IM =	0.000049	0.022200		0.000000009			D = Dermai
DM(H) =	2.007001	0.022309	0.125	0.00398393		0.00003984	M = Mix/Loading
$D_{A(C)} =$	0.363874		A 0.125	0.00201047		"OŰ	A Application
$D_A(c) =$	28 618020	0.038072	0.125	0.0511086	A A	0 0000696	U = Head
$D_{A(B)} =$	305 297355	1 608571966	9 0.125 V	0.545174	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	as 002872 1	B = Baa
DA(b)	505.271555	1.000371702		0.5-60/-			
		R			S O	S Ū	<u>`</u>
Absorbed dose:		s	Car Osporot	ected 🔨 🦴	Pre	tected S	19
			Estimated route	Systema	Estimated route	Systemic 💊	M
Route		Absorption	exposure	exposite	expositive.	exposure	ſ
			[mg/kg/bw/day]	[mg/k@w/day]	[mg/kg bw#day]	[hug/kg bwstay]	
	G . //	A A	0 [.]	× .(	Ŭ ka	0	1
Dermal:	Mix/Loading	222.0	0.003584	0.0007885	<b>10,000040</b>	0.000009	
	Application	đ\$.0	S 0.596 00	°0°0.089539	~(0r.003592~)	0,0605388	
Inhalation:	Mix/Loading	100 ¢	) 0.00 <b>£09</b> 009	0.00000009	× 0.000000	Q.90000009	
	Application	100	0:002616 0	0,002616	0.002646	0.002616	
	<u> </u>	S Total =	<u> </u>	<u>9,0929</u>	Y Q ?	0.00316	
	S U		0, \$	×″ ^U	Ó Á	/	
		a Va	$\sim$ $\sim$	N a	•		

Narrow or no rows in greenhouse low crops result in additional exposure via direct contact with treated foliage that cannot be avoided. Exposure is substantially different to the 'Standard' crop scenario, thus forms a unique 'Intensive' exposure scenario. Potected operators with intensive contact to treated foliage in the low crop scenario would wead impetitious trousers and gloves during mixing/folding and application. A safety phrase most always be incorporated on product labels for this scenario to ensure that exposure due to contact with treated crop is minimised by use of spray tight protective clothing (Cat AII, type 4; low crops frousers), or avoided by use of engineering controls.

# IIIA1 7.3-2 Estimation of Sperator exposure using personal protective equipment

Estimations of operator exposure using PKE are performed using the German model, the UK-POEM, and the Greenhouse Moder Detailed calculations and summaries are presented in IIIA 7.3.1.

Ô

## IIIA1 7.3.3 Measurement of operator exposure

Since the exposure estimate carried out indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of operator exposure was not necessary and was therefore not carried out.

#### IIIA1 7.4 Bystander exposure

Plant protection products are applied in agriculture in areas that may be accessible to the public. Individuals might therefore be exposed who are not actively involved in the application of these products. The individual may be temporarily located in the vicinity of the application (the so-called 'bystander') or working or living in the vicinity of the application (the so-called 'resident'). Exposure scenarios associated with the product application are evaluated for bystanders and for residents (including children) for both outdoor scenarios. During spraying operations in greenhouses no bystanders will be present in greenhouses. Hence, no assessment is required for this scenario (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problished in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problished in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problished in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problished in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008 (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008) (Calculations are performed according to the German guideline problem in 2008) (Calculations according to the German guideline problem in 2008) (Calculations according to the German guideline problem in 2008) (Calculations according to the German guideline problem in 2008) (Calculations according to the German guideline proble

Exposure estimates and proportions of the proposed systemic XOEL accounted forby the estimates are summarised in the following table. Detailed information and calculations are presented in chapter IIIA1 7.4.1.

	- ()	) ~ ~ ~ ~		
Scenario	Crop 🔗	Person	Total systemic exposure* 5	% of AOEL [#]
			(mg/kgbw/dQ)	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Bystander	Lettuce	Adult O	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<<1
		Child		<1
Resident	× A.	Agait y	~~ 0.00001 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<1
		Child	ູ້ 0.00 <b>69</b> 2 ້າ	<1
Bystander	Hops	Adult A	© 0.00318	3
		Shild	~ ³ (0.0024)	2
Resident		Adult	0.00023	<1
N.			¢ 000044	<1
*· Assumes a 60 kg adult	and a 16 18 kg chil	Si a Si	KIN O'	

Table 7.4-1: Predicted systemic exposures as a proportion of the proposed @OEL

*: Assumes a 60 kg adult and a 16.15 kg child BYI 02960:  $AQED = 0.12^{2}$  mg/kg/bw/day

#### Assessment

The results of the calculations aveal that the situation with respect to bystander and resident exposure is favourable with the intended uses of 'BXI 02940 SL 200'.

The estimated systemic <u>by stander exposures</u> is BYI 02960 account for maximum 3% and 2% of the proposed AOEJ@for the adult and child, respectively, considering the application to hops.

<u>Resident exposure</u> to BYI 02960 is estimated to be <1% of the proposed AOEL for all scenarios.

Based or these exposure estimates there is no unacceptable risk anticipated for a bystander and a resident when being (accidentally) exposed to 'BYI 02960 SL 200'.

⁴ S. Martin , D. Westphal , M. Erdtmann-Vourliotis , F. Dechet , C. Schulze-Rosario, F. Stauber, H. Wicke and G. Chester (2008): Guidance for Exposure and Risk Evaluation for Bystanders and Residents exposed to Plant Protection Products during and after Application, J. Verbr. Lebensm. 3, 272 - 281.

#### IIIA1 7.4.1 Estimation of bystander exposure without personal protective equipment

The following definitions and assumptions for bystanders and residents may be applied.

Bystanders may inadvertently be present within or directly adjacent to an area for a short period time, typically a matter of minutes, where application of a plant protection product is in progress on has recently taken place. They may be exposed to plant protection products mainly via the route from spray drift and by inhalation of drifting spray droplets.

Residents may possibly live or work near areas of the application of plant protection products standing, working or sitting in a garden in the vicinity of the application). They may be exposed to plant protection products mainly via the dermal foute from spray drift deposits and by inhalation of vapour drift (depending on the vapour pressure of the active substance) For prants and toddlers exposure might also occur orally (e.g. through hand to mouth transfer and/or object-to-mouth transfer - the so-called mouthing and/or pica behaviour).

Bystander/resident exposure may occur following foliar spray application. Exposure is calculated for adult and child bystanders as well as adult and child residents for the application in field crops (lettuce) as well as in high crops (hons) of the state of the second se (lettuce) as well as in high crops (hops).

Dermal Exposure (Spray Drift)

 $SDE_B = (AR \times D \times BSA \otimes DA) OB$ stemue Exposure of Bystanders via the Øermal Route (mg/kg bw/day) Application Rate (mg/m²): BYI 02960:  $Rg a.scha = 12.5 mg/m^2$  (lettuce) ©0.125 0.150 kg a.s./ha #15.0 mg/m² (hops) %): 0,29 (leftruce),5.77 (hops) bosed Body, Surface Area (m²): 1 m² (adult), 0.21 m² (child) ermal Absorption (%): 3Y#02960 on): 60 kg (adult), 16.15 kg (child) Inhalation Exposure (Spray  $SIE_B \equiv$ vstemi@Exposure of Bystanders via the Inhalation (mg/kg bw/day) Specific Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg a.s. handled per day): Adult: 0.001 Child: 0.001/1.74 = Application Rate (kg a.s./ha): 0.125 kg a.s./ha (lettuce), 0.150 kg a.s./ha (hops) BYI 02960: А = Area Treated (ha/day): 20 (lettuce), 8 (hops) Т = Time [Duration] (min): 5 min. instead of 6 hours for the operator

## Bayer CropScience Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

IA = Inhalation Absorption (%): 100 BW = Body Weight (kg/person): 60 kg (adult), 16.15 kg (child)

Table 7 4 1 1. Detailed coloriations of brote	ndan annagunata	DVICONOCO	abcorbod doco o	nd 0/ of ductom AOFIN
Table 7.4.1-1: Detailed calculations of Dysta	nuer_exposure no	DIR#2700	xaagsordeu uose a	
···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A		

BW = Body Weight (kg/person): 60 kg (adult), 16.15 kg (child)
Q° &
Total Systemic Exposure of Bystanders
Adults and Children: $SE_B = SDE_B + SIE_B (mg/kg bw/day)$
Where: SE _D = Systemic Exposure of By standers (mg/kg by/day) $\sqrt{2}$
where $SL_B = Systemic Dermel Exposure of Distances (mg/kg/bw/day) SL_B = S_{\mu\nu}$
$SDE_B = Systemic Definal Exposure of Bystanders (fig/kg tw/day)$
$SIE_B = Systemic Innalation exposure of Bystanders (mg/kg bw/kay) O$
Corresponding exposure calculations are presented in the following tables
Table 7.4.1-1: Detailed calculations of bystander exposure to BY 192960, sosorbed dose and % of stemile AOEL
Adults
Bystander of Field Crop, tractor mounted/trailed
Dermal exposure:
Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$ $SDE_B = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$
Dermal exposure: $SDE_{B} = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $Dermal exposure:$ $SDE_{B} = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$
Dermal exposure: $SDE_{B} = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 60$ $More kg bw/day$ $More kg bw/day$ $Dermal exposure:$ $SDE_{B} = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$ $(12.5 \times 0.29\% \times 1 \times 22\%) / 16.15$ $Absorbed cose: 0.0001329$ $mg/kg bw/day$
Dermal exposure:       Dermal exposure:       Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x DA) / BW$ $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x DA) / BW$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%) / 60$ $(2.5 x 0.29\% x 0.21 x 27\%) / 16.15$ Absorbed dose: $0.000132\%$ mg/kg bw/day       Absorbe@dose:         Inhalation exposure: $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 0.21 x 27\%) / 16.15$
Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Da) / BW(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%) / 60Absorbed dose:0.0001329mg/kg baydayInhalation exposure:SIE_B = (I_A * x AR * A x T_x IA) / BW$
Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x DA) / BW$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%) / 60$ Absorbed dose: $0.000132\%$ $MB = (I_A * x AR * A x T x IA) / BW$ $SIE_B = (I_A * x AR * A x T x IA) / BW$ $(0.001 x 0.125 x 20 x 5/36\% x 100\%) / 60$
Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Dx)/BW$ $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Dx)/BW$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%)/60$ $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x DA)/BW$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%)/60$ $(2.5 x 0.29\% x 0.21 x 27\%)/(0.16.15)$ Absorbed dose: $0.0001329$ $mg/kg$ bw/day $Absorbed dose: 0.0001037$ $mg/kg$ bw/day $mg/kg bw/day$ Inhalation exposure: $SIE_B = (I_A * x AR * A x T x IA)/BW$ $SIE_B = (I_A * x AR * A x T x IA)/BW$ $SIE_B = (V_A * x A V x A x T x IA)/BW$ $(0.001 x 0.125 x 20 x 5/360 x 100\%)/60$ $(0.001/1.74 x 0.125 x 20 x 5/360 x 100\%)/16.15$ Absorbed dose: $0.000005787$ $mg/kg W/day$ $Absorbed dose0$
Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x DA) / BW$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%) / 60$ Absorbed dose: $0.000132\%$ $MbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrerMbarrer$
Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Dx)/BW$ $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Dx)/BW$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%)/60$ $(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%)/60$ Absorbed dose: $0.000132\%$ $MBx g bw/day$ $MBx g bw/day$ Inhalation exposure: $MBx g bw/day$ $SIE_B = (I_A * x AR * A x T x IA)/BW$ $(0.001 x 0.125 x 20 x 5/36\% x 100\%)/60$ $MBx g bw/day$ $MBx g b$
Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure:Dermal exposure: $SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Dx)/BWSDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x Dx)/BW(12.5 x 0.29\% x 1 x 22\%)/60ABsorbed dose: 0.0001329Absorbed dose:0.0001329mg/kg ba/dayABsorbed dose: 0.0001037Inhalation exposure:MBK a x T x IA)/BWSIE_B = (I_A * x AR * A x T x IA)/BW(0.001 x 0.125 x 20 x 5/369 x 100%)/60Absorbed dose:0.000005787Mg/kg Bw/dayTotal systemic exposure:BE_B = SDE_B + SHE_BTotal absorbed dose:0.000133Mg/kg Bw/dayMg/kg Bw/day$

Table 7.4.1-2: Detailed calculations of hystander exposure to BYI 02960, absorbed dose and % of systemic AOEL

Adults of sty of	O 🖉 _{So} Children						
By stander of High Crop tractor mounted/trailed							
Dermal exposure:	Dermal exposure:						
$SDE_B = (AR \times D \times BSA \times D \times) / B \times Q^2$	$B_{B} = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$						
(15 x 5.77% x 1 x 22%) / 60	(15 x 5.77% x 0.21 x 22 %) / 16.15						
Absorbed dose: 0/003174 mg/kg by/day	Absorbed dose: 0.002476 mg/kg bw/day						
Inharation exposure: Stranger Stranger	Inhalation exposure:						
$SIE_B = (I_X * X AR X A X J G IA) / BW$	$SIE_B = (I_A * x AR x A x T x IA) / BW$						
(0.018 x 0.15 x 8 x 5/3 0 x 100 x 100 ) / 60 Q	(0.018/1.74 x 0.15 x 8 x 5/360 x 100%) / 16.15						
Absorbed dose 0.000005 mg/kg/bw/day	Absorbed dose: 0.00001068 mg/kg bw/day						
Total systemic exposure:	Total systemic exposure:						
$S$ $SE_{B, T}$ $SDE_{B, T}$ $SIE_{B}$	$SE_B = SDE_B + SIE_B$						
Totakabsorber dose: 0.003 for mg/kg bw/day	Total absorbed dose: 0.00249 mg/kg bw/day						
الله من AOEL: 23.65	% of AOEL: 2.08						

#### Page 29 of 53 2012-02-29

#### b) Resident exposure assessment

Dermal Exposure (via deposits caused by spray drift):  $SDE_R = (AR \times D \times TTR \times TC \times H \times DA) / BW$ Where:  $SDE_R$  = Systemic Exposure of Residents via the Dermal Route (mg/kg = Application Rate  $(mg/cm^2) \ge 1$  (for no. of applications) AR  $0.125 \text{ kga.s./ha x } 1 = 0.00125 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  (lettuce) BYI 02960: 0.150 kg a.s./ha x 1 0.00150 D = Drift (%): 0.29 (lettuce), 5.77 (hops) = Turf Transferable Residues (%): TTR hild adu**)**, 26 TC = Transfer Coefficient (cm²/hour) Η = Exposure Duration (hours) DA = Dermal Absorption ( BYI 02960: BW = Body Weight Kg Inhalation Exposure (Vapour **SIE**_R Systemic Exposure of Residents via Inhalation mg/kg bw/day) Where: SIE_R Aitborne Concertration of Vapour (mg/m3): vapour pressure of 102950 is very low i.e.:  $924 \times 10^{-7}$  Pa at 20°C; acc. to guideline this corresponds to a non-walatile ubstance (vapour pressure <1 x 10⁵ Pa@t²20°C⁹. Thus, resident inheration exposure can be estimated as fregligible. (is airborne conc. of 0 mg/m³) nhatenion Rate (mcday), 5.57 (adult), 8.31 (child) alation Absorption (%): 100 erson): 60 (adult), 16.15 (child) Child Qraf Exposu Children's hand-to-n ÎTR x SE x Sox Freq x H x OA) / BW **SOE**_H Systemie Oral Exposure via the Hand to Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day) Contraction of the second seco Application Rate  $(mg/cm^2) \ge 1$  (for no. of applications) BYI 02960:  $0.125 \text{ kg a.s./ha x } 1 = 0.00125 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  (lettuce)  $0.150 \text{ kg a.s./ha x } 1 = 0.00150 \text{ mg/cm}^2 \text{ (hops)}$ DÂ = Drift (%): 0.29 (lettuce), 5.77 (hops) TTR = Turf Transferable Residues (%): 5 SE = Saliva Extraction Factor (%): 50 SA = Surface Area of Hands ( $cm^2$ ): 20



Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

#### Table 7.4.1-3: Detailed calculations of resident exposure to BYI 02960, absorbed dose and % of systemic AOEL

Adults	Children			
Resident: Exposure after application w	rith Field Crop, tractor mounted/trailed			
Dermal exposure:	Dermal exposure:			
$SDE_R = (AR \times D \times TTR \times TC \times H \times DA) / BW$	$SDE_R = (AR \times D \times TTR \times DC \times H \times DA) / DC$			
(0.00125 x 0.29% x 5% x 7300 x 2 x 22%) / 60	(0.00125 x 0.29% x 5% x 2000 x 2 x 22%) 1 6.15			
Absorbed dose: 0.000009703 mg/kg bw/d	Absorbed dose: 0.00001284 mg g bw/d			
Inhalation exposure:	Inhalation exposure:			
$SIE_R = (AC_V x IR x IA) / 1000 x BW$	$SIE_R = ORC_V \times IR \times IA) OBW$			
(0 x 16.57 x 100%) / 60	(0 🕉 8.31 x 100%) (16.15 🖉 🖉 🖉			
Absorbed dose: 0.0 mg/kg bw/d	Absorbed dose: 0,0 , mg/kg bw/d			
	Oral exposure (hand to mouth transfer of o			
¥ (4.)	$SOE_{H} = (AROX D x, TYR x SROX SA Freq x, H X OA)/BW$			
Ó [×] .	(@)0125x(0.29%x/5% x 50% x 20x 20 x 2 x 100%)/16.15			
	Absorbed dose 0.000004489 Omg/kg/bw/d			
	Oral exponere (object-to-month transfer):			
	$SOE_0 = (OR \times DS)/DFR \times OR \times OA)/BWO$			
	0.29% 20% 25 x 1.09%) / 16.15			
	Absorbed dose 0.000001122 mg/kg bw/d			
Total systemic exposure:	Votal systemic exposure 20 6			
$SE_R = SDE_R + STE_R$	$^{\circ}$			
Total absorbed dose: 0.0000097 y mg/g bw/d	Tota@absorbed dose: 0.0000185 mg/kg bw/d			
% of AOEL: 20,0081	6 AOKE: \$0.015			

Table 7.4.1-4: Detai	iledCalculations	of resident exposur	eto BY 502960, abs	sorbed dose and 9	% of systemic AOEL
	1 224	// INS · SS · C			

N Adults N N	A Guildren				
Resident: Exposure after application with High Crop tractor mounted/trailed					
Dermal expositive:	Desmal exposure: 0				
SDĘ _R @(AR x D x TTR x TC x X x DAY BW )	$\bigcirc^{y}$ SDF _R = (AP × D x TTR x TC x H x DA) / BW				
(0,6015 x 5.77% x 50 x 7300 x 2 x 25%) / 60	♥ (₱₱₱015 x \$₱7% x 5% x 2600 x 2 x 22%) / 16.15				
Absorbed dose: 00002347 mg/kg bw/d	Absorbed dose: 0.0003065 mg/kg bw/d				
Inhalation exposures	Chalation exposure:				
$SIE_R = (AC_V x AX IA) $ $O000 x AW$	$SIE_R = (AC_V \times IR \times IA) / BW$				
$\mathbb{Q}(0 \times 160\%) / 60\% = 0^{-1}$	(0 x 8.31 x 100%) / 16.15				
Absorbed dose: 0.0 % mg/kgbw/d	Absorbed dose: 0.0 mg/kg bw/d				
	Oral exposure (hand-to-mouth transfer):				
	$SOE_{H} = (AR \times D \times TTR \times SE \times SA \times Freq \times H \times OA) / BW$				
	(0.0015 x 5.77% x 5% x 50% x 20 x 20 x 2 x 100%) / 16.15				
	Absorbed dose 0.0001072 mg/kg bw/d				
	Oral exposure (object-to-mouth transfer):				
	$SOE_O = (AR \times D \times DFR \times IgR \times OA) / BW$				
	(0.0015 x 5.77% x 20% x 25 x 100%) / 16.15				
	Absorbed dose 0.0000268 mg/kg bw/d				
Total system c exposure:	Total systemic exposure:				
$SE_R = SER_R + SIE_R$	$SE_R = SDE_R + SIE_R + SOE_H + SOE_O$				
Total absorbed dose: 0.000232 mg/kg bw/d	Total absorbed dose: 0.000441 mg/kg bw/d				
% of AOEL: 0.193	% of AOEL: 0.368				

#### **IIIA1 7.4.2** Measurement of bystander exposure

Since the exposure estimate carried out indicated that the proposed acceptable operator exposure level? (AOEL) will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of bystander exposure was not necessary and was therefore not carried out.

#### IIIA1 7.5 Worker exposure

'BYI 02960 SL 200' is an insecticide that is applied to hops and to lettuce in the field and in greenhouses. These crops require re-entry activities like e.g. harvesting Re-entry exposure is therefore evaluated. Corresponding exposure calculations are performed using the re-entry model published by Hoernicke et al. (1998)⁵ together with transfer coefficients relating to the appropriate tasks.

Regarding dislodgeable foliar residues measured data – when available – are used in lieu of any default assumptions.

A summary of the exposure calculations and risk as sessment is presented in the collowing table. Detailed information and calculations are presented in IIIA1 7.54.

1 abic 7.5-11	reacting systems exposites and propartion of the right	
Scenario	Substance V Total systemic exposure	% of AOEL [#]
	ر (mg/kg bw/day)	
Worker	BX 102960 5 00.0250	19
	₩ Hops	55
# BYI 02960:	AODEL = 0.12  mg/kgg bw/day	

## Table 7.5-1: Predicted systemic exposures as proportion of the AQEL

Assessment

The results of the calculations reveal that the situation with respect to worker exposure is favourable for the intended uses a BYE02960 SL 200[°].

The estimated systemic worker exposure to B& 029@ is well below the proposed AOEL in all crops. Calculations reflect standard work clothing worn by adult workers (shoes, socks, long-legged pants, and long sleeved shift) and ho personal protective equipment is considered.

As this scenario - re-entry just after the spray has dried – is considered to represent the worst case of the intended uses there is no unacceptable risk anticipated for the worker when performing re-entry activities in fettuce hops peated with 'BYI 02960 SL 200'.



⁵ Hoernicke, E.; Nolting, H.G.; Westphal, D.: Label instructions for the protection of workers re-entering crop growing areas after application of plant protection products; Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd.50 (10), 267 - 269, 1998 (document no.: M-107544-01-1)

#### IIIA1 7.5.1 Estimation of worker exposure without personal protective equipment

Calculations are performed according to the following equation:

 $E = (DFR \times TC \times WR \times AR \times P \times DA)/BW$ where Ε = Systemic exposure (mg/kg bw/day) DFR = Dislodgeable foliar residues ( $\mu g as/cm^2$ ) per kg a.i./ha TC= Transfer Coefficient (cm²/person/h) = Work rate (hours/day) WR AR = Application rate (kg a ha) Р = Protection factor for PPE DA= Dermal absorption (%) BW = Body weight (Rg/person)

ailable, which reflect the gritical GAP, the In case measured dislodgeable foliar residues (DFR) equation changes to:

$$E = (DFR_M \times TC \otimes WR \times P \times DA)/B$$

Work rates are considered with maximum of hours for mantenance work and harvesting. The cealculation for protective equipment is pot made, i.e. P maximum dose rate is always applied. always set to 1.

#### Considerations on Transfer Wefficients (TC t are taken from the EUROPOEM II report6. In a Tier 1 assessment, the TCs used in this risk a The following TO values were used.

FIRMOPORMI

	Transier coefficients based in E Cooff OEG	
Frop	Fransfer Coefficients [cm²/h]	L L
Hops		, ∀
Vegetables		ð

*: For re-entry activities performed in hops no specific by is available from EUROPOEM II. Hence, the EUROPOEM II proposed AC for ornamentals is used as a worst case surrogate

## Considerations or

Dislodgeable forer residues were experimentally determined under actual use conditions for lettuce. A summary of the respective trials and the results are provided in chapter IIIA1 7.7.1. With a conservative approach the highest DRM values observed in the course of the experiments are

⁶ Post application exposure of workers to pesticides in agriculture (Dec 2002); Re-entry working group EUROPOEM II project - FAIR3 -CT96-1406.

Bayer CropScience

Сгор	DFR _M [µg/cm ² ]	Observed in trial	Observed on
Lettuce (field, Northern Europe)	0.291	10-2916-01 M-420640-01-1	Day 0 after 1 st application (PAFT 0)
Lettuce (field, Southern Europe)	0.264	10-2917-01 M-420656-01-1	Day 0 after 2 nd application (DAFT 10)
Lettuce (Greenhouse)	0.316	10-29-18-01 M-420641-01-1	Day 0 after 2 nd application (DAF 10)
DAFT= Days after first	treatment		

#### Table 7.5-1-2: Experimentally derived maximum DFR_M value

It has to be noted that in all trials the application scheme was identical: two applications at a rate of 0.125 kg a.s./ha, each, with an interval of 10 days. The coulting dislodgeable foliar residues – just after application – were all at the same level being on average around 0.29  $\mu$ g/cm². Also, regardless whether in Northern or Southern Europe, whether in the field or in greenhouse, the dislodgeable foliar residues always showed an immediate decline resulting in values at or <LOG already 3 days after application. Hence, no increase or accumulation of residues from a former application was observed. For further details please see IIIA1 7.7.

For a conservative risk assessment for activities in fettuce it is therefore considered officient to take just the highest measured DFR value without any further differentiation of zone or indoor/outdoor application.

For <u>hops</u> no measured dislodgeable foliar residues are available. As default figures proposals from EUROPOEM II (Sig a.s. $Cm^2$  per 1 kg a.s./ha) as well as from the German guidance (1µg a.s./cm² per 1 kg a.s./ha) are available. Data from the dislodgeable foliar residue trials with lettuce have shown that measured values are somewhat in between these two default figures (and corresponding more to the US-EPA default of 2 µg/cm² per kg a.s./ha).

For a conservative assessment the default value from EUROPOEM II is chosen.

In addition, it has to be noted that the estimate covers a worst case as it considers re-entry shortly after application (just when the sprace has dried) whereas minimum pre-harvest intervals amount to 3 days (lettuce in greenhouse) 10 days (lettuce in field) @even 21 days (hops).

A D AC	
The following assumptions apply	: 07 × v
Work rate:	& hours per day
Worker body weight: 🔗 👸	760 kg 🖗 🕺 🖉
Application rat	
- hops: 🔿 🚓	6Å5 kg a.š./ha
Dermal absorption	/ ~Q~
- worst case	22%
Clothing: 5, 2, 2	one layer of typical work wear is worn during re-entry
Personal protective equipment:	none

Detailed calculations of worker exposure are presented in the following:

BAYER Baye	er Cr	opSc	ience	е						Page 35 of 53 2012-02-29
Tier 2, IIIA, See	c. 3, Poin	t 7: BYI (	02960 SL	200, S	pecNo:	1020000	021884			
Lettuce										
	D	=	DFR	Х	TC	х	WR	х	Р	
	D	=	0.316	Х	2500	х	8	х	1	° r
	D	=	6320 µ	ıg a.s./p	erson/da	y				N A
		=	6.32 m	ig a.s./p	erson/da	v			ð	
		=	0.105 1	mg/kg t	ow/day (6	60 kg p	erson)	Ĉ	S.	
								A		
and under con	sideratio	on of 22%	6 dermal	absorp	tion:	Ď	(	Å.	Å	
	S	=	0.105	x 0.2	2	- An	Q	0	, e	2° 2° 4°
		=	0.0232	mg/kg	; bw/day	/	~~···			
					À		~~~	ĝ°,	Ĉ, ĉ	
<u>Hops</u>					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	8 . A	.0	× »	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1
	D	=	DFR	X C	TC	x K	WR	No.	AR	X P
	D	=	3.0	X	5,000	, ®	×	Ο _X	0.156	x x 14
	D	=	18000	µg a.s./	/person/d	ay î		, ₂ 0		
		=	18.0 m	g a.s.(p	erson/da	у 🔊	×°	N.	Ĵ,	Ç Ő
		=	0.300 i	mg/kg l	ow∕day (6	60 kg p	erson) 💉	Ĵ, ŝ	Š Į	
			$\mathcal{Q}'$	<i>b</i>	Ø Ĉ	> 6	Ĵ, Ĵ	, °0	Ď	°
and under con	sideratio	n of 22%	Ødermal	absorp	ion:	Ľ	, S	° °	8	\$\u00ed
	S	= 🗸	0.200	x @.2	2	<i>®</i>	4 5			)
			000660	mg/kg	bw/day		, J	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	×.	
	5	4, Å	,	Ő		, O ^v	4	, v	5	
		, O		Ŵ.	S.	S.			,¥ ,•	• /
IIIAI 7.5.2	Estem	nation o	f <b>Wo</b> rke	r expo	ostare us	ing pe	rsonal p	protect	ive equ	ipment
Estimations of	f worker	xposu	ke using	PPE	s an add	itional	layer of	elothin	g and/or	gloves are not
performed be	cause th	e exposi	ure of v	vorkers	without	PPE i	s accept;	able. D	etailed	calculations are
presented in I	IA1 7.5.	1.		4 ĉ	57 O	, 	Ň			
<u>z</u> g	. (			, _ \	Ő	S	, ô ^r			
× ¥	-~~			Ô	\$ ¥		s [×]			
IIIAI 7.5.3	Estam	nation o	t worke	experies and the second s	sure w	ing da	ita on di	slogea	ble resi	dues
Not considered	d to be a	pplicabl	e (see ff	A1 75	).	S				
Ą	) O		- N	Ň	6 6	ÿ				
111A1 7 <b>.3</b> .4	Meas	uremen	t of wo	rkege	xposure					
Not considered	d to be a	pplicable	e (see III	A¶ 7.5`						
V		6	o"	× (	$\supset$ ^{$\nu$}					
	5_ A	N Ø	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Q"						
IIIA1 7.6	) Derm	ial abso	rption	Ø						
The extent of	dermal a	bŝorptig	pof BY	ľ 02960	) formula	ted in t	the SL 20	0 form	ulation v	was investigated
both in vivo u	sing the	rat and i	n vitro u	ising hi	uman and	l rat ski	in. A sun	nmary o	of each s	study is given in
the following	section.	Aronc	lusion a	ind rec	ommenda	ation re	egarding	the der	rmal abs	corption of BYI
02960 formula	ated in th	ne SL 200	0 formul	ation is	given be	elow.				
õ										

The *in vivo* study indicated that the mean percentage of [¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be potentially absorbable following an 8 hour exposure for the neat formulation was 22%. The mean percentage of

.

[¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be potentially absorbable at the intermediate concentration (0.625 g/L) was 9.7%. The mean percentage of [¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be potentially absorbable at the low concentration (0.1 g/L) was 21%.

The *in vitro* study indicated that the mean percentage of [¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be petentially absorbable over a period of 24 hours for the neat formulation was 0.2% and 0.2% for the human and rat skin, respectively. The mean percentage of [¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be potentially absorbable at the intermediate concentration (0.625 g/L) was 2% and 6% for the human and rat skin respectively. The mean percentage of [¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be potentially absorbable at the intermediate concentration (0.625 g/L) was 2% and 6% for the human and rat skin respectively. The mean percentage of [¹⁴C]-BYI 02960 considered to be potentially absorbable at the jet the jet the potential of the potent

The human *in vitro* dermal absorption values that could be used for exposure assessments we:

- 0.2% for the neat formulation  $(200 \text{ g/P})^{*}$
- 2% for the intermediate dose (0.625) g/L)
- 5% for the low dose (0.1 g/L).

Alternatively, taking the "triple pack approach" and associating the fat *in fivo* definal absorption values with the *in vitro* data, the corresponding results are presented in able 7.6-1.

Table 7.6-1	Derivation of muman dermal	absorption	BY <b>F 92</b> 960 fro	om in vivo and in vitro
	dermal absorption data.	A W	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

		ař V	-		2
Test material	Rat in vivo	Human in Witro	{ Rat in yitro `	Ratio Factor	Estimated human
~	dermal	🔗 dermal \lesssim	🧯 docernal 🌾	between man	<i>in vivo</i> dermal
Į.	absorption	absorption	absorption	and rat <i>in vitro</i>	absorption
Neat formulation	چ22%	× 0.2%	0.2 <b>%</b>	c, du	22%
Intermediate formulation	10%	2%		v \$0.3	3%
Spray dilution		5%	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ي گ	15%
Čo -	, Ø		0		

## IIIA1 7.6.1 Deemal absorption, in vivg in the rat

-	
Report:	KIIIA, 9.6.1/01, (2010)
Title:	BYI 02960 SL200 In view derreal absorption study in the male rat.
Document No:	M-396844-01-1
Guidelines:	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (O.E.C.D.) Guidelines for
1	Testing of Chemicals: Skin Absorption: In Vivo Method for the conduct of skin
"Q°	absorption studies. Guideline 427 (April 2004).
	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (O.E.C.D.)
	Envolonmental Health and Safety Publications Series on testing and Assessment N°
	28. Guidance Document for the Conduct of Skin Absorption Studies (March 2004).
	Furopean Commission Guidance Document on Dermal Absorption- Sanco/222/2000
	rev. 37 (March 2004).
GLP	ves

#### Material and methods Rat:

Species, strain: Wistar Rj: WI (IOPS HAN) strain

•

Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

Source:	
Sex:	Male
Body weights:	260-375 σ Ø
Age.	7 to 9 weeks old
Acclimatisation &	Test animals were acclimatized in the room to be used for the experiment for at
Housing:	least fourteen days prior to the starting day. The cages were suspended.
	stainless steel and wire mesh. Test animals were accumatized in the room and
	in the metabolism cage to be used for the experiment 24 hours prior
	applications. The cages were Jencon's metabowls Mk III.
Animal	Ear tags.
identification:	
Environmental	Temperature: $\sqrt[6]{2}2 \pm 2^{\circ}C$
conditions.	Humidia $55 \pm 15\%$
conditions.	Air changes 10 15 per hour $\gamma$ $\gamma$
	Photoreriad: Q12 how light Hork and los (201 - 7 mm)
Food	Cartified redent notleted and irreferted abt A042 10 (from 50 E E Caintified
r 00u:	Animal Food and Angingering Augustranced and Inditum Food was stored in
	an identified room controlled for tenterature and humidity Diet vise used only
	until the dataset externed and the second state and the second se
Water	Filtered and softened tan water from the municipal water supply addition
water.	Routine analysis of feed and water indicated that there was no contamination
	which could have compromised the study. Certificates of water analysis were
	provided by the "Laboratoire de l'Environnement Nice Côte d'Azur" (France)
	and "Institut Scientifiqued'Hygiene et d'Analyse" (Løngjuneau, France).
Test Material:	
Non-radiolabelled: 🤘	$\mathcal{P}$ Batch $\mathcal{P}$ NL $\mathcal{P}$ 7780 $\mathcal{P}$ 7-7. $\mathcal{P}$
Í,	Purify = 90,47%. @ 57 50 0 4
Radiolabelled:	[gyridiny]nethy]=14C]-BYI 00960
j j	Batch: KATH 6429. JY JY JY
ð 4	"Specific activity: 4.97 MBq/mg.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rachopurity of the formulation 99%.
Formulation:	The formulation used in this experiment was the BYI 02960 SL 200
ky "O	formation containing B& 02969 and 0 sed at three nominal concentrations:
Treatments	Ar area of derive skinging should approximately 24 hours prior to desing Just
i reatment:	All aleagon doisan skin was shaved approximately 24 hours phot to dosing. Just
<i>a</i> . <i>A</i>	prior to dosing the annuals were negative and since it we protective
~~~ Č	satures were secured in prace using cyanoacrynate adhesive to define the site for approximately $\sim 2 \times 6$ cm ² )
4	Approximately 120 $\mu$ (2 x of $\mu$ L) of each dose formulation was applied to the
O'	shaved area. This amount of formulation corresponded to approximately
	422 kBg/rat for the high dose formulation 331 kBg/rat for the intermediate
	dose and 53 kBa/rat for the low dose formulation, according the nominal
	concentrations of radioactivity in the formulations. When dose application was
A A	complete, the skin was semi-occluded with a perforated plastic cover (to allow
	ventilation) held in place over the plastic saddle with surgical tape
	approximately 3 x 4 cm). The cover prevented loss of test substance but
S. S. A	permuted air circulation over the application site. The cover was not in direct
A Or A	contract with the test material on the skin.
	bormediately after dose application the rats were housed individually in
	metabolism cages.
Treatment Groups	There were 4 treatment groups per dose level.
	Groups 1 to 4 were treated at the rate of 200 g/L and sacrificed at 8, 24, 72 and
	168 hours post application.

Bayer CropScience Page 38 of 53 2012-02-29	
Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, SpecNo: 102000021884	
Groups 5 to 8 were treated at the rate of 0.625 g/L and sacrificed at 8, 24, 72 and 168 hours post application	
Groups 9 to 12 were treated at the rate of 0.1 g/L and sacrificed at 8, 24, 72 and 168 hours post application.	Ô,
Sampling: After the 8-hour exposure time, the filter paper cover was removed. The cover	,
and application site were then swabbed with freshive prepared $2\%$ v scap solution using a gauze pad followed by a gauze pad noistened with water and a	
dry gauze pad. The swabs were retained for analysis. Animals that were	
required to provide samples beyond 8 hours were then fitted with a clean cover	Ø1
metabolism cage.	\$
Urine and faeces were collected separately into $\frac{1}{2}$ at 0 to 8 8 to 24 and	
at 24-hour intervals up to sacrifice. At the end of each collection period all	
debris was removed from the metabolism cage and retained. At each sampling,	
the cage was carefully washed with distibled water. At dermination each cage	
was washed with water and appropriate organic solvent. These washings were	
retained for measurement of ractioactivity.	
At termination, the rate were exsanguinated whilst under "Isoflurane"	
anaesthesia and a blood sample was withdrawer by cardiac puncture and placed	
into vials containing littlium heparin	
The treated skin was swabbed following sacrifice prior to removal. The skin	
was then shaved (shavings retained), 19 necessary, prior to tape-stripping to	
achesive tare (CII Se France) for seconds before the tare was carefully	
removed against the direction of their growth. This process was continued until	
a 'shiny' appearance of the epidermis was evident, indicating that the stratum	
S corneurs had been removed. S & &	
Radioassay: O The amounts of radioactivity in the various samples were determined by liquid	
S scinollation counting (LSC).	
Findings:	
There were no treatment related clinical signs observed during the study. After a single topical	
application of the 14CFBYI @960 ap 200 g/L, the mean total recoveries of radioactivity were 113%,	
102%, 102% and 101% for the 8, 24, 72 and 168 hour groups respectively.	

The results are presented in Tables 7.6.1-1. to 7.6.1-2.

•

Bayer CropScience

#### Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

#### Table 7.6.1-1.: The mean distribution of radioactivity 8, 24, 72 and 168 hours after a single topical application of [14C]-BYI 02960 from a 200 g/L SL 200 formulation

Dose Group.									0
200 g/I			ў Цо:	o or app	applicati	e Ion		,	¢ č
(n=4  rats/group)	s	2	2	$\frac{115}{4}$				8	
(ii Tuus, group)	Mean	, SD	∠ Mean		/ Mean		Mean	<u>so or</u>	-0 -
	SUDEA			MENIT	wiedii		Wiedii		
Shin guada (8 hr & terminal)	02 26		74.06	5 56	70.94	N 7 71	6675	¥17.43	
Skill Swabs (8 lii & terliniar)	0.22	0.01	74.00	0.71	70.04	0.14	00.7.0	<u>17.465</u>	
Surface dose (tape strips 1 $\approx 2$ )	0.33	0.08	0.776	0.71	0.29	0.14			Ô,
Fur	0.06	0.08	n.s. ≫	n.a.		1.05	8,58	<b>9</b> .10	
Dressings	7.84	6.20	289	2.26	CT2.47	5.66	°14.1 K	<u>, 9.130</u> °	
SKIN COMPARTMENT	1	Ô	,≯ ĭ			, Â	$\sim$	<u> </u>	- AS
Stratum corneum a	1.05	0.34	4.14	184	0,71	0,38	1.34	<u>(</u> ).89 «	
Treated skin b	3.31	<b>3</b> 566	<i>2</i> 941	¥.70	CT.32	90.90 Q	2.00	1.13	1
Surrounding skin c	15.16	8.86	9.19°C	4.36	10.30	3.39	7.69	4	Å °
SYSTEMIC COMPARTMENT	, s		$\sim$	ð	A	Ô,	£ ı	R. A	
Urine	0.01	0,01	Ø.21 ×	Ø.12	00.78 🔬	0.43	2.18	0.54	Í
Faeces	<u>EUOK</u>	n.a. «	0.07	0.03	0.16	0.0	0,04	0,62	
Cage wash	0.02	0.02	0,08	0.95	022	<b>©</b> 11	2.04 .	×2.25	
Cardiac blood	0:01	Ø-003	- (0):01	2.004 a	\$0.004°	0.0060	0.043	0.01	
Non-treated skin	¥31 ~	×147	2.68	$2.12^{\circ}$	2.70	1.53	2 0	2.02	
Carcass	0.92	0 3	0.89	0.53	 	°Q.26	2 ØØ.66	0.32	
Tatal Deservered	1194	4 20	ano 1	$\approx 62$	101 7	V5 00	101 1	2.40	
c = skin impediately øutside the SD = standard deviation, 4000	dose appli iz less that y & y i y & y & y & y i y & y & y & y & y \\ i y & y & y & y & y & y \\ i y & y & y & y & y & y & y \\ i y & y & y & y & y & y & y & y \\ i y & y & y & y & y & y & y & y & y \\ i y & y & y & y & y & y & y & y & y & y	ication are Nimit of Control of Control of C				۷ Ålicable, ۱	n.s. = no s	ample.	

# Bayer CropScience Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

After a single topical application of the [14C]-BYI 02960 at 0.625 g/L, the mean total recoveries of radioactivity were 99.8%, 99.4%, 92.3% and 95.6% for the 8, 24, 72 and 168 hour groups respectively.

## Table 7.6.1-2: The mean distribution of radioactivity 8, 24, 72 and 168 hours after a single topical application of [14C]-BYI 02960 from a 0.625 g/L SL 200 formulation

Dose Group	% of applied dose										
0.625 g/L		Hours post application									
(n= 4 rats/group)	8		1	1	Ű Ű 72	2	Ù abo	8 0	s O		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD .	u Ö		
SURFACE COMPARTMENT											
Skin swabs (8 hr & terminal)	89.56	4.68 🗸	88.71	6.26	_7 <b>€</b> 93	6:30 N	©85.88©	6.50			
Surface dose (tape strips 1 & 2)	1.26	0.43	2.15°	1,90	× A.14	Ø 3.06	1.6	<b>Q</b> . <b>6</b> 7			
Fur	n.s.	na	Ø.Š.	Mn.a.	y 1.170	1.38	1.03		<b>,</b>		
Dressings	0.07	0.06	@0.22 @	0.100	0.49	0.27	01.53 n	2.57			
	S	KIŇ CÔ1	MPAR TM	EN 🍒	A	Ô ^y 4	, Å				
Stratum corneum a	4.86	4, <b>4</b> 4, [%]	5006	\$.89	6.41	3.34	2:44	<b>\$</b> .46			
Treated skin b	1.80	Q. 11	<b>.</b> 67 ~	<b>€</b> 0.16 ≪	0.49	0,53	Ø.43	0.31			
Surrounding skin c	Q.31	<b>@</b> .08 `	°∳0.35∜	0.06	Ø\$\$8	A.35	©0.15, 9	0.06			
	[®] SY§	ŢEMI <b>Ç</b> ∫	COMPART	ΓΜÊŇΤ	,0° _ (	) Ô	°~				
Urine	৶ 0.26%	0.20	<b>\$</b> 34	Ø.17 "	0.840	0,28	Ø, 10	0.07			
Faeces	0.03	~ <b>0</b> ×03	0.09	∀ 0.05©	[♥] 0. <b>2</b> 4	0.10	QÚ.30	0.09			
Cage wash	0/15	Č0.06	▶ 0.200	0.17	Q19	0.07 (	0.29	0.09			
Cardiac blood	0.02	0.04	0.03	0,001	<b>≪ð</b> .001%Ç	0.003	0.007	0.009			
Non-treated skin	0.44	0.33	×9.32 0	0.11	0,47	050	0.20	0.07			
Carcass 🖉 👸	¥.333	0.26	×0.34	0.1D	0,44	0.12	0.353	0.09			
Total Recovered 🔍	89.84~	» 0.45 [~]	99 36	<b>@</b> 46	92.3	1.33	95.59	2.60			

*= tape stable exclusions sufface dose strips 1 & 2, *= tape stable exclusions sufface dose strips 1 & 2, *= skip dose size after tape-stripping procedure, *= skip dose size after tape-stripping procedure,

# Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

After a single topical application of the  $[^{14}C]$ -BYI 02960 at 0.1 g/L, the mean total recoveries of radioactivity were 100%, 95.8%, 103% and 95.6% for the 8, 24, 72 and 168 hour groups respectively.

Table 7.6.1-3:	The mean distribution of radioactivity 8, 24, 72 and 168 hours after a single topical	
	application of [ ¹⁴ C]-BYI 02960 from the 0.1 g/L dilution of the SL 200 formulation	(

	B1101/		me on g =	anation	or the sh	- 2007-01-11			2		
Dose Group	% of applied dose $\mathcal{O}^{Y}$										
0.1 g/L		Hours post application						Ś	Ô		
(n=4 rats/group)	8		2∉	1		2	َ ^م ر 16	<b>%</b>	, P		
	Mean	SD	Mean	^ø SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SDO	©		
SURFACE COMPARTMENT											
Skin swabs (8 hr & terminal)	77.99	5.59	8 <b>@</b> A4	7.12	\$ 80.53	3.92	68.67	Q.62			
Surface dose (tape strips 1 & 2)	3.50	1.56	A.61	1.33 🔦	≥ 3.665°	9578	\$3.66	0.84			
Fur	1.71	0.83 🐇	n.s.	n a	s n.s.	n.a. 🔪	0 n.s. 9	na			
Dressings	0.64	0.82	0A1°	Ø28	ر 0.49 <i>ي</i>	0.250	2.65	* <b>D</b> 1			
	S	KIN₡ŎĬ	MPÄRTM	ént		Ð	de i	1	þ		
Stratum corneum a	8.74	<u>4</u> .50	@6.26 Ø	3.03Q	11.69	3.14	Q [*] 0.37	* [*] 2.6 <b>8</b>			
Treated skin b	2.05	©0.79	0.87	056	0.83	00.53 _K	1.36	1,09			
Surrounding skin c	1.53	0,1%	0@/1 .	\$.03	0.40	0.26	0.73	<b>Ø</b> .34			
	S¥S	LEWIC C	COMPAR	MENT		ju U	Į, v				
Urine	6,08	@.05	≫0.57 [®]	0.28	<b>B8</b> 2	¢.45	\$ 3.00 ×	0.27			
Faeces	[®] ≹OQ <i>≬</i>	n.a.Ø	0.0	\$ 09	(0.62)	0.2QĈ	0.444/	0.25			
Cage wash	V <lqq∕< td=""><td>n.a.</td><td>Ø12</td><td>Ø.15 (</td><td>€[♥] 0.34^{©®}</td><td>039</td><td>\$Q,70</td><td>0.44</td><td></td></lqq∕<>	n.a.	Ø12	Ø.15 (	€ [♥] 0.34 ^{©®}	039	\$Q,70	0.44			
Cardiac blood	0.07	<b>@</b> .01	° 0.06	0.08	″_Q.€A	0.09	Q _{0.09}	0.06			
Non-treated skin	ð¥.55	0.38	0.530	0.11	~ <b>0</b> .76	v.12 ¢	0.79	0.05			
Carcass 🔊	2.63	1.4	1.40	0.18	≪1.63,~S	0.45	3.09	0.69			
Total Recovered	» 10 <b>05</b>	3049	≈\$5.79	D″3.27&	102.9	<u>1</u>	95.56	1.99			

^a = tape strips & cluding urface bose strips 1 & 2

^b = skin at dose site after tapes procedure,

^c = skin inhediately outside the dose application area,

SD = sondard toviation, <LOQ #less they limit of quantification, that = not applicable, n.s. = no sample.

## Total % non-absorbed

For all treatment levels, the majority of the radioactivity was not absorbed and was recovered from the skin by swabbing. This accounted for 60.8 to \$3.4% 76.9 to 89.3% and 68.7 to 82.4% of the dose applied for the high, intermediate dose and the low dose, respectively. For the high dose groups, high and decreasing percentages of radioactivity were measured in the surrounding swabs, ranging from 19.3% at 8 hours to 1.29% at 168 hours. Percentage recoveries measured in the surface dose (tape-strips 1 and 2) were lower for the high dose formulation compared to the intermediate and low dose formulations. This amount was in the range of 0.29 to 0.77%, from 1.26 to 4.14% and from 2.61 to 3.66% of the dose applied for the high intermediate and low dose formulations, respectively. Mean percentages of recoveries measured in the fur remained low and could be considered stable over time, despite the individual inter-variability, for the three dose formulations.

## Total % at dose site: 0

Despite the inter-individual variability, the mean fraction of test chemical present in the stratum corner after washing procedure increased with the treatment level. It was stable over time for the highest treatment level, with values that were relatively low ranging between 0.71% and 4.14% of the dose applied. For the intermediate dose level, the mean amount of radioactivity in the stratum corneum appeared to be stable between 8 hours (4.86%) and 72 hours (6.41%) and decreased thereafter (2.71% at 168 hours).

For the low dose level, the mean amount of radioactivity seemed to be relatively stable between 8 hours (8.74%) and 24 hours (6.26%) and increased thereafter to 11.7% at 72 hours and 10.4% at 968 hours post-dose. The fraction of test chemical present in the treated skin following removal of both residual dose and stratum corneum appeared to be relatively stable for the three freatment formulations, with percentages of radioactivity slightly higher for the high dose formulation. Skin taken from around the application site (so called "surrounding skin"), to investigate the spreading of the test chemical across or through the skin contained relatively high and stable levels of radioactivity for the high dose formulation. These high levels of radioactivity can be related to mose measured in the surrounding swabs.

For the intermediate and low dose formulations the amount of radioactivity in the surrounding skin was much lower than for the high dose group and stable over time. Therefore, the total material remaining at the dose site appeared to be lower for the groups exposed to the intermediate dose formulation. For the high dose, the values decreased from 8 hours post-dose (19.5% of the dose applied) to 168 hours (11.0% of the dose applied). For the intermediate dose, the values obtained at 8h (7.04%), 24h (6.97%) and 72 hours (7.48%) post-dose were relatively similar and a decrease occurred thereafter with 3.29% measured at 68 hours.

For the low dose groups, the percentage of radioactivity located at the dose site was stable. The amount was from 12.3% at hour post dose to \$2.5% at 168 hours post dose.

#### Total % directly absorbed?

The amounts of radioactivity found in the tissues cearcase, cardiac blood, non-treated skin) and eliminated in the excreta (urine, facees, cage wash) were considered as directly absorbed by the rats. For the neat product of small portion of the radioactivity was absorbed rapidly as 0.92% of the applied dose appeared in the carcase after 8 hours post-application. After that, taking into account the interindividual variability, the level of radioactivity in the carcase seems to be stable between 8 hours and 24 hours post dose and slightly decreased after 24 until the end of the study. Low and stable levels of radioactivity were detected in the cardiac blood over the duration of the study. Radioactivity detected in the non-treated skin was relatively high, but stable over time. An increase of radioactivity in the excreta (urine cage washes and facees) was observed from 0.03% at 8 hours post-application to 5.17% at 168 hours post-application.

At the intermediate dose level, a percentage of 1,33% of the applied dose after 8 hours post application in the carcass showed a rapid absorption of the radioactivity. This level of radioactivity in the carcass decreased between 8 and 24 hours and thereafter was stable until 168 hours. Low and stable levels of radioactivity were detected in the cardiac blood over the duration of the study. Radioactivity levels measured in the non-treated skin were low and stable from 8 hours (0.44%) to 72 hours (0.47%). Thereafter, a small decrease was noted, 0.20% of the dose applied being measured at 168 hours. The total amount of radioactivity excreted increased with time, from 0.43% at 8 hours post dose to 1.69% at 408 hours post-application (urine, cage washes and faeces).

At the low dose level, a higher proportion of the radioactivity - compared to the high and intermediate dose formulations - was absorbed rapidly, as 2.63% of the applied dose was measured in the carcass

# Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

after 8 hours post-application. Thereafter, the level of radioactivity in the carcass seems to be relatively stable with time although an increase of radioactivity in the excreta (urine, faeces and cage wash) was observed (from 0.08% at 8 hours post-application to 4.15% at 168 hours post-application). Low and relatively stable levels of radioactivity were detected in the cardiac blood and non-treated skin over the duration of the study.

Therefore, for the three formulations, the direct dermal absorption seemed to increase over time under the experimental conditions of the study for the high and tow dose formulations, ranging from 2.27% at 8 hours post dose to 8.14% at 168 hours post dose for the high dose groups and from 4.32% at 8 hours post dose to 8.12% at 168 hours post dose for the low dose formulation for the intermediate dose, the direct dermal absorption appeared to be relatively stable over time (from 2.25% at 8 hours to 2.24% at 168 hours post dose). For the three treatment doses, the results indicated that the urine was the major route of elimination following derma application.

#### Total % potentially absorbable:

In a conservative approach, the amount of radioactivity recovered in the skin compartment citratum corneum, treated skin and surrounding skin) was considered to be absorbable. Therefore, following 8-hour exposure, the amount of BY402960 potentially absorbable (sup of direct absorption and amount detected in the dose site) ranged from 17 to 22% for the neat product, from 6% to 10% for the intermediate dose and from 10% to 21% for the low dose formulation.

#### **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, the amount obapplied radiolabelled  $[^{14}C]$ -BYI 02960 which can be considered as the maximum percentage that could be considered as potentially absorbable under the experimental conditions of this study was 22%, 10% and 21% for the high, intermediate and low dose formulations respectively.

IIIA1 %6.2 Co	proparative dermal absorption, in vitro using rat and human skin
٨	
Report:	× (2010).
Title:	BY 02960 SL200 Comparative in vitro dermal absorption study using human
- 4	and ratiskin.
Document Av°:	M-394215-0171
Guidelines:	O.E.C.D. guideline for the testing of chemicals; skin absorption: in vitro Method
Å,	2 428 (Appl 20049), 2
×	O.E.CD. Environmental health and safety publications series on testing and
ĺ.	assessment N°28, Quidance document for the conduct of skin absorption studies
Õ,	$\sim$ (March 2004), $_{\odot}$
	Duropean Commission guidance document on dermal absorption- Sanco/222/2000
	rev. (March 2004).
GLP J G	X Yes

Bayer CropScience

#### Material and methods

•

Rat skin:	
Species, strain:	Rat, Wistar Rj: WI (IOPS HAN).
Source:	
Sex:	Male (10).
Anatomical	Dorsal.
site:	
Rat Skin	Each animal was killed by cervical dislocation. After satrifice the skin was apped
Preparation:	and removed for use in the study. The dorsal skin was dermatomed by use of a mini-
-	dermatome to obtain samples of ca 460 to 540 $\mu$ m in Thickness.
Human skin:	Source:
	Number and sex: 7 donors, female
	Anatomical region: Abdomen.
	Thickness: 437 to 592 $\mu$ m.
<b>Test Material:</b>	
Non-	Batch: NLL 7780-47-4.
radiolabelled:	Purity = 99.4% w/w. $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$
Radiolabelled:	[pyridinylmethyl-14C] BYI 02960 y jo y y y y y
	Batch: KATH 6429 $(x^{\gamma} + x^{\gamma} + x^$
	Specific activity: $0.37$ MBq/mg $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$ $3$
	Radiopurity of the formulation: 99%
Formulation:	The formulation used in this experiment was the BY 02960 SL 200 formulation
	used at three nominal concentrations: 200 g a.s. (M, 0.625 g a.s. /L and (V.1 g a.s. /L.
Test system:	A flow-through diffusion cell system (Franz's cell modified, Gallas, France) was
	used to study the absorption of the test substance (exposure area of 1 cm2 skin). A
	diffusion cell consisted of a dong chamber and a receptor chamber between which
	the skin was positioned. The receptor thuid was Eagle's medium supplemented with
	50 boyine series albumin and gentamycin (50 mg/L) at a pH of 7.4. The receptor
	schamber was warmed by a constant circulation of warm water which maintained the
~0~	receptor fluid at $32 \pm 2^{\circ}$ (close to the normal skin temperature). The receptor fluid
O,	was pumped through the receptor chamber at a rate of 1.5 mL/h and stirred
	Continuously whilst in the receptor gramber by means of a magnetic bar.
Skin integrity:	the grang and army water loss of WIX from the stratum corney. An even armotor
	methal (Towamater TMD0) system (Jourgen & Khazaka) was placed securely on the
	Applote (Acwanie of Timeson System, Source of Water diffusing through the skin was
Ŷ	measured Muman and sat skip with a TEWL of greater than 15 g/hm ² were
	considered notes wally draged and were not used. These samples were replaced by
~~~	new skip fragments which were also dested for integrity before use in the study
Treatment	The dose preparation was applied to the split-thickness skin sample with a pipette at
	the rate of approximately 10 rd/cm2 exposed skin. The dose preparations were
, K	assayed for radioactively content (by LSC) by using dose checks (surrogate dose)
	taken before during and after the dosing process.
Sampling: "	The receptor fluid passing through the receptor chamber was collected in glass vials
	held in a fraction collector. The fraction collector was started after dose application.
	Samples were then collected hourly for the duration of the experiment (24 hours). At
Û Ô	8 hours post application, the skin was swabbed with freshly prepared 1% v/v Tween
	80 in PBS (phosphate buffer saline) using natural sponge swabs, in order to remove
	and retain the non-absorbed dose, until no radioactivity was detected with a Geiger-
A CA	Müller monitor. At the end of the study (24 hours after application), the treated skin
č ^o ř	and the skin adjacent to the treatment site (surrounding swabs) were swabbed. Each
Ŵ	skin sample was tape-stripped to remove the stratum corneum. This involved the
	application of Monaderm adhesive tape (Monaderm, Monaco) for 5 seconds before
	the tape was carefully removed against the direction of hair growth. This procedure



was continued until a 'shiny' appearance of the epidermis was evident, which indicated that the stratum corneum had been removed. The tape-strips were collected into scintillation vials for analysis. The skin surrounding the application site (surrounding skin) was separated from the treated skin. Both surrounding skin and tape-stripped treated skin were retained for analysis.

The amounts of radioactivity in the various samples were determined by liquid **Radioassay:** scintillation counting (LSC). Samples were counted for  $10^{\circ}$  minutes or for 2 signar % in an appropriate scintillation cocktail using a Packard 1900 TR counter with Sri-line computing facilities. Quenching effects were determined using an external standard and spectral quench parameter (tSIE) method. Efflorency correlation curves were prepared for each scintillation cocktail and were regularly checked by the use of O [14C-n-hexadecane standards. The scintillation counter was recalibrated when a deviation of greater than 2% was observed when counting availity control standards. The limit of detection was taken to be twice the background values for blank samples in appropriate scintillation cocktail

#### Findings:

BYI 02960 was demonstrated to be soluble in the receptor fluid up to the concentration of 800 mg/mL of receptor fluid. The maximal achieved concentration per hour of [140]-BYL \$2960 in the receptor fluid was 0.307 µg/mL. As the achieved concentrations were at least 2606 times lower than the determined solubility concentration, the solubility in the receptor fluid was deemed to be sufficient to have reduced any risk of back diffusion.

Measurements of the homogeneity of the three concentrations of formulation applied indicated that it was accentable



# Table 7.6.2-1: Mean distribution of radioactivity at 24 hours after dose application of [14C]- BYI 02960 in

an SL 200 formulation at the rates of 200 g/L, 0.625 g/L and 0.1 g/L to human and rat skin samples (Results expressed in terms of percentage of applied radioactivity)

	Distribution of radioactivity (% dose)										<u> </u>	- Č	
	Nea	t formulat	formulation: High dose			Dilution: Intermediate dose				Dilution: Low dose 🛷			
Dose Levels	(	SYP1352	7, 200 g/L)		(SY	7P13529	, 0.625 g/I	L)	<u>}</u>	SYP1353	0,0.1 g/L	)	
Species	Human	(n=6)	Rat (1	n=6)	Human	(n=5)	Rat (1	n=4)	Human	(n=5) 🖧	Rate	n=5)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD,	Mêşm	SD	
			5	SURFAC	E COMPA	ARTME	NT			) O		,Q	
Skin swabs (8h)	105.6	4.37	105.1	1.41	97.28	1.72	85.50	<b>£</b> .25	89.40	3.42	≫85.48 _℃	8.40	
Skin swabs (24h) ^a	0.09	0.15	0.02	0.01	0.44	<b>AP731</b>	0.63	<b>Ø</b> .66	0.97 (Ĉ	0.89	5.6Ø	3,19/	
Surface Dose					r	, v		Ş	Ø	Ň		. 6	
(tape-strips 1 & 2)	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.21	0.49	0.26	2.14	1.17	0,99	Ø\$\$2	<b>3</b> .86	\$3.50	
Donor chamber	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.25	0.09	0.08	2: <b>®</b>	2,61	Q10	0.22	On.d.	n.a.	
Total % non-								Ň	$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}$	) o	Ŵ		
absorbed	105.9	4.50	105.4	1.52	98.30	°1.67	@90.69 [`] ^	ž 3.99	91.46	3.≉5⊅	96.99	4.24	
				SKIN	ØMPAR	TMEN	ľ	Ŵ	Į,	Ż	~		
Skin ^b	0.09	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.40	0.62	1.04	686	1911	\$0.91	1.09	° 0.86	
Stratum corneum ^c	0.10	0.12	0.06	0,08,	.1075	0.53	283	3.83	©3.00 ⁽	1.83	4.4¢	2.89	
Total % at dose site	0.19	0.21	0.07	0,09	√ <i>1.62</i> ^	y 0.82	D 4.59 L	⁵> 5.65 🤇	[°] 4.1∯	2,72	5,50	2.63	
			R	<b>Ř</b> ČEPŦČ	R COMP	ARTM	ÉNT O	Ň		Ž	Ő		
Receptor fluid			Ő	t C	, Q	~	2	Š,		Q'			
(0-24h)	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.00	<b>0</b> 28	0.32	∕31.03 °	\$0.29 <i>j</i>	0.61	0.42	1.02	0.43	
Receptor fluid			Q	Ô	<i>6</i> ) ()		, Q		Ô	°~y			
terminal	0.002	0.005	@j.d 5	Kn.a. 🛛	) [©] 0.02	0.0	0.05%	0.0	Q.04	¢0.05	0.08	0.06	
Receptor chamber	n.d.	n.a.	🖓 n.d. 🖄	n a	n.d.	n.s.	Q.d.	p.a.	n.d.	0 [°] n.a.	n.d.	n.a.	
Total % directly		×		Ö	Å		2						
absorbed ^d	0.02	0.03	0.00	<b>20</b> 7	Q.39	0.34	1.08	0.33	0.65	0.48	1.11	0.44	
Total % Potentially		°∕~'	4		× ~	S		Ś	Â,				
Absorbable	0.20	×Q.22	<b>\$0.15</b> \&	0.090	2.05	1.07	3.67	_{(1,} 5.96	<b>A</b> 75	2.96	6.61	2.80	
TOTAL %		\$		<i>Q</i> 1	S	L"							
RECOVERY	106.1	4.65	105,5	~ <b>T</b> .5	<b>*100.3</b>	D [°] 1.90 (	2, 96.36	5.98	[°] 96.22	4.08	103.6	2.54	

^a: sum of radioactivity found in swaps at termination and in surrounding swaps.³ ^b: sum of radioactivity found in skip after ape-stripping procedure and in surrounding skin.

^c: tape-strips excluding number @ & 2 which are considered to be pon-absorbed dose. ^d: sum of radioactivit@ound in receptor fluid.(0 24h), receptor Baid terminal and receptor chamber.

c: total % directly absorbed + total % at dose site SD: standard designion

n.d.: not detected (below the limit of detection)

n.a. : not applicable

n: number of skin cells used for calculation In the above table, the presented means do not always calculate exactly from the presented individual data. This is due to rounding-up differences resulting from the use of the spreadsheet program

## Conclusion:

BY 02960 through human and rat dermatomed skin from the SL 200 The dermal penetration of  $\mathbb{K}^4C$ formulation was investigated at three concentrations corresponding to the neat product (200 g/L) and to two representative dilutions (0.625 and 0.4 g/L), respectively.

Overall, the dermal perotration of PC]-BYI 02960 in the SL 200 formulation was low at all concentrations used. Although there was a tendency for lower mean absorption values for human skin there did not appear to be a significant species difference in the absorption levels at any of the concentrations tested.

The mean percentage of BYI 02960 in the SL 200 formulation that was considered to be potentially absorbable (directly absorbed plus total remaining at dose site) over a period of 24 hours for the neat formulation was 0.2% and 0.2% for the human and rat skin, respectively.

The mean percentage of BYI 02960 in the SL 200 formulation that was considered to be potentially absorbable (directly absorbed plus total remaining at dose site) over a period of 24 hours for the intermediate dose rate was 2% and 6% for the human and rat skin respectively.

The mean percentage of BYI 02960 in the SL 200 formulation that was considered to be potential absorbable (directly absorbed plus total remaining at dose site) over a period of 24 hours for the lo geable and a second sec dose rate was 5% and 7% for the human and rat skip respectivel 

#### **IIIA17.7 Dislogeable residues**

#### **Dislogeable residues IIIA1 7.7.1**

were determined in the field Following foliar spray treatment BYD 02960 disloggeable foliar residue and in greenhouses on lettuce. Summaries of the studies and results are presented in the following.

Report:	KIIIA 7.2.1/01,, S; 201Y
Title:	Determination of dislodgeable for ar residues (DFR) of BYI 02960 after spraying of BYI
	02960 SL 200 on lettinge in the field in the Netherlands
Report No &	
Document No	NG420640-01-1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (
Dates of work:	Suly 2610 - November 2011
Guidelines:	USEPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Distodger Residue Dissipation (formerly US EPA
_O_	Pesncide Assessment Guidelines Subdivision K: Reentry Protection, Series 132-1 (a))
GLP Ö	Ses (cerufied laboratory)
, Q	

## I Material and methods

The purpose of the study was to determine the magnitude of the dislodgeable foliar residues of BYI 02960 on lenuce leaf foliage after each of two spra ong applications with BYI 02960 SL 200 (200 g BYI 02960/L) The study was conducted in Northern Europe (The Netherlands) during the 2010 season. The actual application data are presented on the following table.

## Table 7.7 1: Application parameters

Country	2° A . 0		Application		
, y		No O ^v	Interval (days)	Growth stage (BBCH)	Rate (kg a.s./ha)
The Netherlands	Sprawing S	$\sim$	10	45 - 48	0.125

Samples were collected in a manner designed to obtain representative samples. They were taken, prepared in the field where necessary, transported and stored according to US EPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Dollodgeable Residue Dissipation. Leaf punches were collected directly into a pre-labelled poly-propylene jar using a leaf punch sampler ( Co; El Monte, CA). Each sample consisted of 40 disks cut with a leaf puncher with 2.523 cm diameter and a disk area of 5 cm². The leaf

# Bayer CropScience Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

punches represented a total double-sided leaf surface area of 400 cm². A sample was collected from each of the three subplots to provide three replicate samplings at each sampling interval. Leaf punches were taken from the potential worker contact zone including upper, middle, and lower portions of the crop foliage and interior and exterior portions of the crop foliage. Control leaf punch samples were collected prior to the first application. Treated samples collected on the day of application were taken after the spray had dried. After each sample was collected, the sampling jar was capped and kept cool for transport to the field site laboratory. Leaf punch samplers were cheaned after ach sampling interval. The dislodging of the leaf samples was performed as soon as possible, but not later than A hours after collection. The samples were dislodged using a 0.01% Acrosol OT solution (i.e. do sodium salt which corresponds to a surfactant).

#### **II Results and discussion**

The results are summarised in the following table



Sampling	Dislodgeable foliar residues
[DA1.T]#	
-0	
0	
3	
5	
7	
-10 Č	
10 0	
413	
15	
17	
20	
#·DA1 T: A after fire	st treatment: "Q = before respective treatment: * for explanation see text

Already immediately after the deatment there is a clear decline of dislodgeable foliar residues, resulting in values < LOQ. The second application - 10 days after the first one - was performed as no rain was expected However, 20 minutes after the application there was rainfall for about 20 minutes with one winute in hard rain. By viously this has washed off any residues from the leaf surfaces.

## III Conclusion

The DFR value at day  $\vec{0}$  (i.e. shortly after application when the spray has dried) amounts to 0.29 µg/cm². This corresponds to 2.3 µg a.s./cm² per kg a.s./ha. This value is higher as the one proposed by the German re-entry model but lower than the one proposed by EUROPOEM. Already three days after application the DFR is <LOQ (0.01 µg/cm²).

While EUROPOEM does not consider any dissipation after application the German guidance (for bystander/resident exposure) considers default 50% dissipation between applications. With regard to the observed dissipation in the trial this can be regarded as a conservative approach. Due to the heavy rain shortly after the second application no results are available from this application. However, as the DFR values before the second application were already constantly <LOQ to other figures than the ones from the first application would have been expected for the second application: Three days after application the DFR values are <LOQ.

Report:	KIIIA 7.7.1/02,
Title:	Determination of dislodgeable foliar desidues (DFR) of BYI 02960 after spraying of BYI
	02960 SL 200 on lettuce in the field in Portugal
Report No &	10-2917-01
Document No	M-420656-01-1
Dates of work:	September 2010 – December 201 $\kappa$ $\tilde{c}$ $\tilde{c}$ $\tilde{c}$ $\tilde{c}$
Guidelines:	US EPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Dislodgeable Residue Dissipation (formerly US EPA
	Pesticide Assessment Guidelines Subdivision & Reentry Protection, Series 132-1 (a)
GLP	Yes (certified laboratory)

#### I Material and methods

The purpose of the study way to determine the magnitude of the dislodgeable former residues of BYI 02960 on lettuce leaf formage after each of two spraying applications with BYI 02960 SL 200 (200 g BYI 02960/L). The study was conducted in Southern Enrope (Portugal) during the 2010 season. The actual application that are presented in the following table.

Table 7.7.1-3: App	lication paramet	es ~~	Ĵ Ĵ "	O' A'	
Country			Application		
- A C	Type	O NO	Beterval	Growth stage	Rate
, Q		P A Ò	y' (days)	(BBCH)	(kg a.s./ha)
Portugal	Spraying		Ó ST STO	43 - 47	0.125
· · · · · ·			Y & A		

Samples were confected in a manner designed to obtain representative samples. They were taken, prepared in the field where meessary, transported and stored according to US EPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Dislodgeable Residue Dissipation? Leaf punches were collected directly into a pre-labelled Co; El Monte, CA). Each sample poly-propytene jar using a leaf pupch sampler ( consisted of 40 disks cut with a leaf puncher with 2.523 cm diameter and a disk area of 5 cm². The leaf punches represented a total double-sided leaf-surface area of 400 cm². A sample was collected from each of the three subplots to provide three replicate samplings at each sampling interval. Leaf punches were taken from the potential worker contact zone including upper, middle, and lower portions of the crop foliage and interior and exterior portions of the crop foliage. Control leaf punch samples were collected or ior to the first application. Treated samples collected on the day of application were taken after the spray had dried. After each sample was collected, the sampling jar was capped and kept cool for transport to the field site laboratory. Leaf punch samplers were cleaned after each sampling interval. The dislodging of the leaf samples was performed as soon as possible, but not later than 4 hours after collection. The samples were dislodged using a 0.01% Aerosol OT solution (i.e. docusate sodium salt which corresponds to a surfactant).

#### **II Results and discussion**

The results are summarised in the following table.

#### Table 7.7.1-4: Amounts of dislodgeable foliar BYI 02960 residues on lettuce in Portugal [µg a.s./cm²], two sided. Figures in bold indicate day of treatment

~		
Sampling	Dislodgeable foliar residues	
[DA1.T] [#]	$[\mu g a.s./cm^2]$	
-0	<0.01	
0	0.110	
3	<0.01	
5	<0.01	
7	<0.01	
-10	<0.01	
10	0.264	
11		
13	× 0.01 5 4	
15		
17		
20		
#:DA1.T: day after for	st treasment: " - " = before respective treas	ent N N

"DAT.T: day after thest treatment; " - "= before respective treatment

After the treatment, there is an mimediate decline of dislodgeable for ar residues resulting in values <LOQ aready 3 days after application.

#### III Conclusion

The DFR value at  $dy^2 0$  (ve. shortly after application when the spray has dried) amounts to 0.11 µg/cm². This could correspond to the default value of the German re-entry model which would be 0.125 µg  $a s/cm^2$  (= 1 µg a.s./on² per kg a.s./ha x 0.125 kg a.s./ha). However, the second application results in a significant higher figure while the samples before the second application were already constantly <LOQ. Hence, there is no inflication that residues from a former application could have accumulated. Most likely there was just a lower target deposition at the first application.

The value of the second application  $\mathcal{F} = 0.26 \,\mu\text{g/cm}^2$ ) corresponds to 2.1  $\mu\text{g}$  a.s./cm² per kg a.s./ha. This value is higher as the one proposed by the German re-entry model but lower than the one proposed by EUROPOEM. Again, within three days after application the DFR values are <LOQ.



Tier 2, IIIA, Sec. 3, Point 7: BYI 02960 SL 200, Spec. .No: 102000021884

Report:	KIIIA 7.7.1/03, <b>2011</b> ; <b>2011</b>
Title:	Determination of dislodgeable foliar residues (DFR) of BYI 02960 after spraying of BYI
	02960 SL 200 on lettuce in the greeenhouse in the Netherlands $Q_{\mu}^{\circ}$
Report No &	10-2918-01
Document No	M-420641-01-1
Dates of work:	July 2010 – November 2011
Guidelines:	US EPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Dislodgeable Residue Dissipation (formerly USEPA 🧬
	Pesticide Assessment Guidelines Subdivision K: Reentry Protection, Series 132-1 (a)
GLP	Yes (certified laboratory) $(2 - 2)^{\nu}$

#### I Material and methods

The purpose of the study was to determine the magnitude of the dislodgeable foliar residues of BYI 02960 on lettuce leaf foliage after each of two spraying applications with BYI 02960 SL 200 (200 g BYI 02960/L). The study was conducted in Northern Europe (The Netherlands) in the greenhouse during the 2010 season. The actual application data are presented in the following table (°

#### Table 7.7.1-5: Application parameters

Country	Application of the former of t
	Type Number of Mitervaly Crowth stage Rate
	applications (days) (BBCH) (kg a.s./ha)
The Netherlands	Spraying 2 2 2 10 2 44 48 0.125

Samples were collegied in a manner designed to obtain representative samples. They were taken, prepared in the field where necessary, transported and stores according to US EPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Dislodgeable Residue Dissipation. Leaf punches were collected directly into a pre-labelled poly-propylene jar using a leaf punch sampler ( Co, El Monte, CA). Each sample consisted of 40 disks cut with a leaf purcher with 2.523 cm diameter and a disk area of 5 cm². The leaf punches represented a total double-sided leaf surface area of 400 cm². A sample was collected from each of the three subplots to provide three replicate samplings at each sampling interval. Leaf punches were taken from the potential worker contact tone including upper, middle, and lower portions of the crop foliage and interfor and exterior portions of the crop foliage. Control leaf punch samples were collected prior to the first application. Treated samples collected on the day of application were taken after the spray had dried. After each sample was collected, the sampling jar was capped and kept cool for transport to the field site laboratory Leaf punch samplers were cleaned after each sampling interval. The dislocing of the leaf samples was performed as soon as possible, but not later than 4 hours after collection. The samples were dislodged using a 0.01% Aerosol OT solution (i.e. docusate sodium salt which corresponds to a surfactant).

## II Results and diseussion

The results are summarised in the following table.

Table 7.7.1-6:	Amounts of dislodgeable foliar BYI 02960 residues on lettuce in the Netherlands [µg a.s./cm ² ]
	two sided. Figures in bold indicate day of treatment

110	sided. I igui es in boid indicate day o	
Sampling	Dislodgeable foliar residues	]° ~~~
[DA1.T] [#]	$[\mu g a.s./cm^2]$	
-0	<0.01	
0	0.293	
3	0.010	
5	<0.01	
7	<0.01	
-10	<0.01	
10	0.316	
11	0.235	
13	0.010	
15		
17		
20	£ 0.01 5 kg	
#DA1 T. downfor for	the second of the second s	

day after first trea

Solution of the second se After the treatment there is an immediate decline the LOQ already 3 days after application

## III Conclusion

The DFR calue at day 0 (i.e. shortly after application when the spray has dried) amounts to 0.29 µg/cm². This correspondents 2.2 µg a.s./cm² per kg a.s./ha Then an immediate decline quickly leads to values <LOO The DFR value at day 10 > just after the second application when the spray has dried - amounts to 0.32 µg/cm2 corresponding to 25 µg a.s./cm2 per kg a.s./ha. Again, three days after application the DIR values are at the LOQ.

On average, the DFR -values anothin to 2.4 µg a.s./cm² per kg a.s./ha which is higher as the one proposed by the German re-entry model but lower than the one proposed by EUROPOEM.

With regard to dissipation the assumption of the German guidance (for bystander/resident exposure) can be regarded as a conservative approach considering the observed dissipation in this trial.

#### Dislogeable residues osoil **IIIA1 7.7.2**

Regulation ECT 107/2009. Not required by

Dislogeable residues - indoor surface re-volatization Not required by Regulation EC 1107/2009.

-9 -9 ...erial safety data sheet for each formulant IIA1 7.9. Available toxicological data for each formulant The available toxicological data for each formulant The available toxicological data for each formulant H IIA1 7.10 Domestic animal/livestock safety Not required by Regulation EC 1107/2009 IIA1 7.11 Other/special studies o other/special studies have beetroonducted. and a service of the service of the